

@napkatz loaded 3250 tweets

Paul Aldige Katz | 178 followers | Washington DC | Change User

Select the info you would like to view for this user:

- @ks603283174 One could always argue all four shots were fairly rapid, but per the witness, Mr. Rittenhouse needed to tilt his rifle upward to make the shots hit the already felled Mr. Rosenbaum. It comes across as pretty merciless, compounded by the lack of 911 call. /Fin Aug 22, 2021
- @ks603283174 The defense seems to be predicting the need to address this. From what I hear, they are bringing in a medical consultant. Probably to argue lethality of the first bullet (likely the one that caused the groan/pelvis injury. 3/ Aug 22, 2021
- @ks603283174 Outside of the premeditation and provocation questions, the biggest questionable shot is the 4th bullet that likely entered Mr. Rosenbaum through the back. Mr. Rosenbaum was on his knees after bullet #1. By the fourth bullet, it's just downright excessive. 2/ Aug 22, 2021
- @ks603283174 It's pretty common among folks who train with firearms. Basically, it's about quick threat neutralization, combined with not getting an unintended target hit; a bullet aimed off center might hit someone else. 1/ Aug 22, 2021
- @BenjaminPennyw1 @punishedpants @gsusswept @__spicywhite Unfortunately, bombs were a known quantity and the officer at the last line of defense couldn't presume she wouldn't run in and blow up half of Congress. Frankly, it was an outstanding shot given the circumstances. Aug 22, 2021
- @laurajimmyy That's largely how it works. There will be a jury questioniare that jurors will need to answer truthfully, under penalty of perjury. Financial support of the defendant will likely be a question. What the prosecutor is asking makes common sense, but I doubt that motion will carry Aug 22, 2021
- @tryingtoprosper You are asking a man who was defended by a courageous officer to condemn said officer? Lol Aug 22, 2021
- @ks603283174 The potential for videos like this are why wise coffee companies choose not to endorse the kid. Whether this is admissible? A coin flip. One could argue the point of its inclusion is to scare the jury about potential future bullets, not the merits of the encounter. Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Now... If that was a lie, OK. I would wonder why. Regardless, if you confess to starting an armed confrontation over property that isn't yours to defend and wind up shooting someone in the back, dang straight there is going to be a trial about it. Sorry for the inconvenience. Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 According to Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black, he

- tried to stop someone from breaking a window, holding a loaded lethal weapon. That led to the chase and the shooting. By his own account, it would be about property he wasn't privileged to defend. Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The legal dynamics change when you are defending people of course. If someone is being unlawfully interfered with, that is a completely different story, regardless of preexisting attachments. Defense of property though, different story. /End Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Frankly, this is just common sense. The last thing you want is a neighbor running over to someone's house with a loaded weapon because they think the car is being stolen. The potential for a heated lethal misunderstanding is high. /2 Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 939.49 specifies specifically what property you are allowed to defend. No, you can't grab a gun and run to defend just anyone's property. And specifically, you can't use lethal force purely over property, which effectively means you can't threaten it over purely property. /1 Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I'm allowing for the argument Mr. Rittenhouse could argue he was in fact hired as a private security person through Mr. Black. Really, that is their story. It becomes a matter of untrained incompetence as opposed to pure vigilantism. 2/2 Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Primarily because Mr. Binger hasn't acknowledged Mr. Rittenhouse was even hired. In that scenario, protecting property that isn't yours with a held firearm is solely an act of unprivileged vigilantism. 1/2 Aug 21, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Mr. Rittenhouse claimed he was hired to protect the car lots and had his weapon as a tool for doing so. That would clearly be legally improper. Shucks, you need to be 18 to work private security unarmed /End Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The court magistrate certainly didn't laugh the prosecution out of court at the probable cause hearing. Again, we'll see what the Judge says in September. Regardless, legally, you need to be trained in armed security to take on an armed private security gig in Wisconsin. /1 Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I still think that renders him in the "not in compliance" camp. He's certainly not "in compliance" with the statute. It's just not Germaine. Hence, "not in compliance", rather than "noncompliance". But shucks, again, I'll be interested in what the Judge says. Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Either that, or they created one hell of a loophole for 12-year olds by mistake. Frankly, it wouldn't surprise me. In any event, it'll be interesting to see how Judge Shroeder rules on this. /End Aug 20, 2021

- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 So the legislative intent, however poorly worded, might have been to require both sides of the AND to be in compliance and that being over 17 means you are in auto-compliance with the under-16 statute. /2 Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 On that, we agree. There isn't a good reason to go with a double "not in compliance" with an "and". Could be taken either way, albeit looking at the Wisconsin hunting gov site, it does seem licensing is universally required, even if you are with a parent. /1 Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 In short, compliance inherently is affirmative. A rule is affirmatively offered and then met. Now, would a 17 year old understand that, much less a 13 year old, I don't know. Compliance is somewhat of a mature word. end/ Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The distinction to me is clear. If you can comply with a rule contained in a statute, you are in compliance with it. If you can't, you aren't "in violation" of it but you also are "not in compliance" with it. 3/ Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy
 @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The phrasing could have easily been "in violation of
 hunting-statute1 and hunting-statute2". Certainly, Mr. Rittenhouse isn't in violation of the
 under-16 statute. But the wording isn't "in violation", it is "not in compliance". 2/ <u>Aug 20</u>,
 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I think it is telling the wording for the hunting statutes is "not in compliance", when the term "violation* is used immediately earlier. It demonstrates the cited statutes contain elective circumstances that can enable the weapons carry. 1/ Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I think legislatures can have multiple objectives when redrafting laws. I could easily see someone saying "how can a 17 year old be in compliance with an under-16 statute? Let's fix that?" Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Probably wasn't the intent of the Law, but technically yes. I can't think of any other reason for the new wording other than to correct that error. Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Basically, he can't be in compliance with the under-16 statute by default and without the hunting cert, he isn't in compliance with the certification statute. Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 No, compliance is an affirmative act. If one is aged out of a statute and can't take an action inside of it to gain compliance, they can't be "in compliance" with it. They aren't in "noncompliance" but they are "not in compliance". Aug 20, 2021

- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 By the time you are 16/17, I think the assumption is parental supervision is less likely (independent drivers), hence mandatory training is a requirement. That's how I read it anyways. /End Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 That's how I read it. I'm not sure a 12 year old needs a hunting certificate to hunt with their hunting certified Mom or Dad. Hence they'd only be in compliance with one of the two statutes. Call it deference to parental supervision. /1 Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Not when there is a joining "and" between the two cited statutes. Swap in "and" for "or" and then the inverse you speak of would be complete. Aug 20, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Hence the shift to "not incompliance", which is awkwardly worded but technically means you are good to go if you satisfy either cited statute. Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I think " and " means you only need to be in compliance with one, not both. Basically, if you are "not in compliance" with both hunting statutes, you have a problem. If you are in compliance with at least one, you're fine. Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Indeed Mr. Black noted to police he knew Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't supposed to have the weapon. He seemed to agree with the prosecution's understanding of the statute. Of course, he might have been referring to lying on fed ownership paperwork. Also bad. /End Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 For Mr. Rittenhouse, this is a lack of paperwork charge. Pretty minor. For Mr. Black, he's more in trouble for the monetary exchange, which effectively is a "sell" of the weapon. /7 Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Hence I'd imagine that the legislative history simply included this assumption. The prohibition on rifle carry for minors if they are not certified hunters or supervised has more to do with preventing accidental shootings. /6 Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 As for the historic context, rifles generally are culturally a hunting tool and yes, the only reason you would put standards around use for minors is if they were taking up hunting. The idea they'd be used against people on purpose i don't believe was foreseen. /5 Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Still, "compliance* is a concept minors might not understand and it's noteworthy the teeth of the minor possession statute is aimed at the adult, not the child. Really the adult in the kid's life is supposed to explain the law to the kid. /4 Aug 20, 2021

- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Likewise, if guidelines for allowing hunting calls for a hunting cert, and you don't have one, you are always "not in compliance" with that rules statute. Not illegal, like it isn't illegal to "not be in compliance" with health standards if you aren't operating a restaurant. /3 Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Likewise, "not in compliance" is not really the same as "noncompliance". The former to me feels like lack of elective action or circumstance. Basically, if you are 17, you can't be in compliance with an under 16 statute. Hence "not in compliance*. /2 Aug 20, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I'd say "in compliance" is not a default for "does not apply". Compliance is generally an affirmative activity. To operate a restaurant, you need to be in compliance with health regulations. Not operating a restaurant doesn't mean you are "in compliance" with the health regs /1 Aug 20, 2021
- @TraumaBased @PeteComplacent @c0ck_sandwich @MeidasTouch Yep. Spray 15 cops with it, you might not see daylight outside of a prison pen for a while. Minimize all you want if you like; doesn't make the asshole's jail cot any softer. Aug 20, 2021
- @TraumaBased @PeteComplacent @c0ck_sandwich @MeidasTouch Pepper spray is literally a chemical weapon bro. If you assault an officer with it, you're in deep shit. This dude hasn't seen the light of day outside of a prison pen or court trip since February. Aug 20, 2021
- @TraumaBased @PeteComplacent @c0ck_sandwich @MeidasTouch The headline of the article you posted literally says "DOJ charges man who allegedly sprayed chemicals at cops in Capitol riot"... Aug 20, 2021
- @deneenknews Raises more questions than answers. 1) who provided the video? 2) how can the prosecutors be sure it's him? 3) who was with Mr. Rittenhouse, if anyone? 4) is there more footage beyond that clip? Aug 20, 2021
- @MMoore6025 @The_Phoenix1218 @YahooNews 1) the prosecution likely has sourcing of the video. If not, then wow. 2) Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal past will be excluded from trial, as it did not factor into Mr. Rittenhouse's shooting decision. Aug 20, 2021
- @TraumaBased @PeteComplacent @c0ck_sandwich @MeidasTouch Several defendants are facing charges for use of chemical weapons. Aug 20, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar No, if it was "proper notice", then proper notice wouldn't be explicitly called for in the statute in addition to physical retreat. Because someone with an AR-15 could simply get some distance and blow someone's head off from 50 feet. Aug 19, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar It really doesn't when there isn't any oral communication and the weapon brought to the fight can kill from 500 meters. Aug 19, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar Retreat in good faith requires proper notice. None was offered. It's noteworthy, Mr. Rittenhouse never tried to communicate with Mr. Rosenbaum once; the gun was the default response after gaining a little distance. Aug 19, 2021

- @ks603283174 @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar It's not helpful. Let's put it this way. It's harder to give Mr. Rittenhouse the benefit of the doubt if he indeed is plotting murder on camera a mere two weeks before. Aug 19, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar And yes, the self defense statute explicitly has an "as an excuse" clause in it. This video, if genuine, demonstrates Mr. Rittenhouse was chomping at the bit to use his weapon. Then decides to introduce it to protect property that wasn't his to protect. Aug 19, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar Eh, more like he was caught from the side by Mr. Rosenbaum while possibly starting shit with the Ziminskis. Basically a small retreat after being outmaneuvered, with a gun point a couple seconds after. An 11th hour swerve wouldn't mitigate looking for trouble on purpose. Aug 19, 2021
- @stevenwynne15 @JustFreedom1776 @KristenBarbar If nothing else, if a jury hears this, it's a bright red warning flag about future flying bullets if they let this kid walk. If I were the defense, I'd fight admissibility tooth and nail. Aug 19, 2021
- @stevenwynne15 @JustFreedom1776 @KristenBarbar This is murder ideation pure and simple, and Mr. Rittenhouse deliberately approached a lot he knew there was trouble at, weapon in hand. Self defense privilege goes away when you are looking for an excuse to use your weapon. This is not what the D team wanted to wake up to. Aug 19, 2021
- @KristenBarbar If I were the defense, I'd fight admissibility tooth and nail. This is basically murder ideation. It's a bright red warning flag a jury interested in risk mitigation might find hard to ignore. Aug 19, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar Basically, there is already a strong "convict the accused murderer bias" in murder cases, purely to keep killers out of neighborhoods. A jury hears this, they might be terrified one of his stray bullets might kill one of their kids one day. Aug 19, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @KristenBarbar Self-defense privilege goes away if you are looking for an excuse to use your weapon, per statute. If I were the D, I'd do everything I could to keep this from being admitted. You basically have murder ideation on video. On those grounds alone, a jury might not let this kid walk Aug 19, 2021
- @MCandahl @LaCrosseTribune Hardly. This is not something a defense team wants to wake up to. Provided it's him, you basically have murder ideation a mere two weeks before the fatal evening. Would make a local jury nervous about acquitting this kid, on risk mitigation grounds alone. Aug 19, 2021
- @EuphratesJohnny @WISCTV_News3 The statute states if you look for trouble as an excuse to use your weapon, there isn't a self-defense claim available. This video undercuts the notion he was simply offering "medical" that night. Aug 19, 2021
- @MMoore6025 @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth This is, BTW, why the charges shift from reckless to intentional with the Mr. Huber death. Killing someone for trying to stop your recklessly un-aimed shots, without even visibly IDIng him/her, is frankly hard to defend Aug 18, 2021
- @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth It actually does. Open carry is merely external display of the weapon. To use it as a tool for private security, one must be 21+ and trained and in uniform, so you don't scare people and then manslaughter them. Aug 18, 2021
- @MMoore6025 @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth Basically it's legally a bad idea to squeeze off a shot when falling backward, in a crowded setting, when there is

- next to zero chance you'll actually hit your intended target. It arguably gives everyone around a reason to secure the weapon. Aug 18, 2021
- @MeganT05542967 @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth Because the police saw a group of people clearly not following the law with their firearms (private security requires licensing and uniforms). Had they done something about that, no one would have died. And Mr. Huber wasn't a pedophile. Aug 18, 2021
- @MMoore6025 @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired two wild shots in the immediate area, before the skateboard hit. This is why Mr. Rittenhouse is in trouble. Anyone could have dived for the weapon at that point, including someone trying not to die from a stray. Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill As for "rule of levity", there isn't a standardized rulebook for when and how it is applied. The reasoning for its existence largely centers on "fair warning". Mr. Black's statements indeed shows he had fair warning. Hence my questioning whether the Judge will allow that argument Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill To be clear, the prosecution withdrew that case. Hence it is technically unknown how a Judge would have ruled or why. There was case law backing Mr. Binger's prosecution. But that didn't involve a trucker who could argue that truck was effectively his home. Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill Correction, "case", not "cases". Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill In the "gun in truck" case, the defendant always maintained he was allowed to have his weapon where it was. And probably was smart enough to STFU initially. Mr. Black made his own cases immeasurably harder. /end Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill Perhaps the biggest unforced error was Mr. Black admitting he knew Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't supposed to have the gun. It's hard to argue statutory ambiguity per principle of lenity when you demonstrate to the police you understand the Law. 1/ <u>Aug 18, 2021</u>
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill In short, it is a horrible idea to talk to the cops without a lawyer. A conviction isn't guaranteed by any stretch, but the defendants didn't do themselves any favors early on. They should have STFU /end Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill Basically, if true Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't "just there", but rather acting actively in an unlicensed armed-with-lethal only private security capacity, with a held weapon. In short, the statements to police from both defendants guaranteed probable cause would be found. 3/ Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill Whether that was simply ginned up to make the shooting more sympathetic or as in fact momentarily the Ziminskis, is unclear. Notably, the reporters angle to Mr. Rittenhouse might have precluded him seeing that interaction, if it happened. 2/ Aug 18, 2021
- @RapeMatters @myobnsob @TruthofTX @thehill Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black both stated to police he confronted someone over a broken window and that let to the chase and shooting. 1/ Aug 18, 2021
- @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth This thread isn't about the criminal case against Mr. Rittenhouse. Aug 18, 2021

- @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth There are standards for private security in Wisconsin (training, age requirements, uniforms, etc...). The cops saw these guys and basically tossed that rule book out the window. And encouraged them. If I were Mr. Rittenhouse's attorney, I'd strongly consider a public authority D Aug 18, 2021
- @AnotherCat6 @suzannehk58 @Daksthetruth Even Mr. Rittenhouse's patrol partner, Mr. Balch, has acknowledged Mr. Huber and others were simply trying to protect the crowd from a shooter on the loose. Something that might not have happened had the cops not emboldened the kid with "we appreciate you guys, we really do". Aug 18, 2021
- @Daksthetruth "we appreciate you guys, we really do". Aug 17, 2021
- @Suntimes "we appreciate you guys, we really do." might become the most expensive words ever uttered by a cop. Aug 17, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @RapeMatters @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 And there would be reasons for that. You might take part in target practice, take your gun for repairs, shop for ammo with it, drive with the gun to those activities, etc... Basically, there are satellite activities around the actual act of hunting. Aug 17, 2021
- @realGordonRose @CoffeeOrDieMag @EvanHafer @freekyleusa The case frankly begs for jury adjudication. It isn't political by any stretch of the imagination. If you light someone up so thoroughly that a bullet enters through the back, there's gonna be a trial at the very least. Aug 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Considering both exception statutes are specifically about hunting, I don't think the court will find it ambiguous. But who knows, I could be wrong. Aug 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Basically, the state chooses to trust 16/17 year olds with rifle possession, if they have undergone hunting training. Because the expectation is it will be in service of hunting. No supervision required. Maybe the judge will agree with your take. September will tell. /Fin Aug 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 In short, there is a societal implicit understanding rifles are for hunting, especially in Wisconsin. While rifles are explicitly defined as dangerous weapons in the statute, if you are in compliance with 29.593, you get to possess one. /3 Aug 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 It is noteworthy firearms safety is only a portion of the hunting training. Other topics, including conservation, is included. And all hunters must take this training, to hunt. /2 Aug 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I'd say 29.593 is cited to insure some training has occured. Supervision isn't necessary, but still, for the context predicted, hunting, the requisite training is still a requirement. /1 Aug 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 And this is pretty clear. The weapon was in the safe, per Mr. Black's dad, explicitly because Mr. Rittenhouse had not completely the requisite

- safety training. Ambiguity clearly wasn't in play. I get your argument. I respectfully disagree. /End Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 At the end of the day, it comes down to why pistols are outlawed for minors but rifles are somehow OK. The short answer is hunting and the legislature got out of the way for 16/17 year olds, so long as the hunting authorities or US army gives the green light. /5 Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Instead, the path to firearm possession is simple for 16/17 year olds. Take a course, even available online these days, and you are good to go for your hunting cert. /4 Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Basically, for 16/17 year olds, there is no supervision requirement or any need to have a wierd "gun in case" exception to a supervision requirement. /3 Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Basically, the legislature wants a more direct instructional role for under 16-year old firearm possession but for 16/17 year olds, they leave it to certification authorities. /2 Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 A couple posts for this. Thanks for that. That said, it wouldn't explain why 29.593 is also referenced in the statute. To me, it's clear. The lawmakers simply gave oversight of 16/17 year olds to those granting certifications. /1 Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Note, even 12-year olds get that case exception. It really just feels like some allowance for bussing and waiting for busses Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Again, I think it's just some weird "kids might be waiting at a bus stop" carve out. Seriously, a hunting camp might have simply made a couple calls and made that sub-statute happen. Schools used to open after Sept here, purely because a big amusement park pulled some strings Aug 15, 2021 ₽
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Yes, I'd imagine because the 16+ version of the hunters certification program might not even require handling of a weapon. Shucks, if you are 18, you can just do it online. Simply put, there might be more to under-16 programs than 16/17 programs. Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The under-16 case thing is probably just some weird carve out, like if kids are briefly waiting for a bus to take them to shooting school. The under-16 statue is still buoyed heavily on the idea on supervision, which is the context of the statute. Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 It outlines the particulars of what the course for the cert would look like. It wouldn't require bringing one's own weapon. It is a safety course, not a shooting course. A bare minimum. Aug 15, 2021

- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 As for the under-16 carve-out, it might just be about kids waiting by a bus stop to be picked up to go to hunting school/camp. Some weird exception someone thought of at the time. Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I'd say yes, so long as they are in compliance with 29.593. Because if you look at the referenced 29.591 from that statute, my guess is you could complete that initial course without even handling a weapon. It really is just paperwork. A substitute for more formal under-16 stuff Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The portion you are referring to is if the weapon is being carried in a case. Because yes, a kid might be enrolled in a course and momentarily be alone with the harmless cased weapon. Not the hill I'd die on. Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 With a certificate of accomplishment, yes. But being under 16, the idea is the kid really wouldn't have much opportunity to be away from their parents. They can't drive. There's simply an implicit expectation of that cooked in. Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The 14 to under-16 statute is supervision oriented. The 16-17 statute embraces the idea that a young hunter has a driver's license and might need to transport his weapon to a shooting range or gun shop for repairs. Basically, it's paper proof the gun isn't for shooting people. Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Basically, the cert is what lets authorities know the possession of the weapon is for hunting purposes. If there is a weakness in the law, it is that a kid with a cert could go vigilante and have a good chance of beating the charge. Just not the case here. Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I think that is a "spirit of the law" argument (which is a bit weak), but I remember he emphasized the missing cert first. Something alone the lines of "we can confirm he doesn't have a cert, but come on, he wasn't hunting." (paraphrase) Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 The whole point of the hunting cert is so kids could transport rifles to shooting ranges for target practice and not get hassled by authorities doing so. "see my card, I'm allowed to do this." Because Mr. Rittenhouse didn't have the cert, the possession likely wasn't legal. 2/2 Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 Lets put it this way. If it was as simple as, "oh, it's a long gun", I don't think the magistrate would have let it past probable cause. 1/2 Aug 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 That said, without the hunting certificate, technically it is likely a valid charge. And shoot, if Mr. Rittenhouse had completed a course in firearms handling and safety, we not be having this discussion today. Aug 15, 2021

- @RapeMatters @AndersWeinstein @CivilLost @Dinosaurcowboy1 @polearmguy @Kittenhouse4 @JustFreedom1776 I think the background of the law is that rifles traditionally aren't used for traditional situational defense; the obvious use case is hunting. The law revisions basically were to make supervision and training a requirement so kids don't kill people or themselves accidentally. Aug 15, 2021
- @smcroasters Vacation shaming is a time honored tradition both sides do. And cheap every time. Aug 15, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Except Mr. Rittenhouse literally telling police he was trying to stop someone from breaking a window and getting chased for it. And video showing the juke move literally right in front of the Ziminskis. If you ignore those things, sure, no evidence. Aug 15, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost If the reporter was behind Mr. Rittenhouse on the sidewalk, he wouldn't have had a good angle on the Ziminskis. The black vehicle would have been blocking them. And you know what, he was wrong about more than a couple things. Aug 15, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I mean sure, we can watch the same video and armchair quarterback this thing over and over again. IDing Mr. Rosenbaum is easy for us. But ultimately, this encounter was at night and over in a few seconds. Aug 15, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost You're really reaching. I think if this was a known guy coming to make good on a murder threat, Dominick Black would have been told. Instead, nope. As for "unprovoked", I think getting into it with the Ziminskis with a loaded weapon is shall we say, provocative. Aug 15, 2021
- @ks603283174 @TexasDesign1 I think there was some radio communication about him, but it was more along the lines of they not knowing what to make of him. I really doubt they knew about alleged brandishes. They had their hands full. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost The whole point of the trial is to assess whether Mr. Rittenhouse can safely be part of society based on his actions, because stray bullets suck. It's not about what Mr. Rosenbaum deserved or didn't deserve. On that note, yes, it's prudent to only evaluate KR's decisions. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Again, if Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't recognize Mr. Rosenbaum, it is completely irrelevant because it doesn't affect the nature of his shooting decision at all. Wisconsin vs Johnson is instructive hear. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Mr Rittenhouse's feet are together, he's looking at them with his weapon held in both hands, and after Mr. Rosenbaum chases him away, you can hear Ziminski say "you aren't going to do shit". Maybe I'm wrong but that feels like a failed enforcement activity. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Again, we'll see. I've read multiple references to what Mr. Rittenhouse told police in the Antioch lobby. Aug 14, 2021

- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Put straight, only information Mr. Rittenhouse is privy to is remotely relevant, because his decisions are what is on trial here. Information beyond his five senses is irrelevant. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I don't think you understand what will be allowed to be admitted at trial. Mr. Rosenbaum had a shirt on his head along with many others. I doubt Mr. Rittenhouse even recognized him. That makes all that stuff moot. Very real chance it won't even be allowed as evidence. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Except the video clearly shows him in a confrontation with the Ziminskis. It fits Mr. Rittenhouse's own statements to police over engaging someone over a window and then getting chased off. In his mind, that is the reason and any prior posturing by Mr. Rosenbaum is moot. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Again, there's no evidence of a conflict before the juke move at all, which clearly happened in front of the Ziminskis. Whether Mr. Rosenbaum was planning an attack or simply keeping track of a weird AR-15 toting kid about to reach his buddy, who knows. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Yeah, nothing suggests that happened. He clearly did a juke move in front of the Ziminskis and high tailed it. Was clearly surprised by Mr. Rosenbaum right then and there. I know you want to prove an earlier conflict, but there is no evidence of it. Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I'd suggest there's simply a limit to what available video can tell us. That "friendly, friendly" could have easily been another guy putting out a fire; there was a whole squad of them. And the people running in could have seen the standoff occuring and rushed in. 2/2 Aug 14, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost No, I think you are simply being fooled by the video that shows people running in from the side. I don't think either of them are Mr. Rittenhouse frankly. The action just doesn't match up with McGinnis's account. He doesn't remotely describe a chase that lasts blocks. 1/2 Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 I don't recall that statement. Not impossible it happened, but I don't recall it. No video seems to capture the initial moments of that confrontation; eye witness accounts will be important somewhat. Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 It won't be introduced. Different circumstances. Different actions. Different Laws. No way the judge would allow it. Perhaps the most important piece of info missing is the full interaction with the Ziminskis before Mr. Rosenbaum chases him away. Aug 14, 2021
- @j_chap0 @Charles89638601 @KimberlyA561 @Tromaville1 @tomservoindrag @RyanLaughlinKOB Sorry, my bad friend. Aug 14, 2021
- @j_chap0 @Charles89638601 @KimberlyA561 @Tromaville1 @tomservoindrag @RyanLaughlinKOB How did a fourth bullet to Mr. Rosenbaum's back save Mr. Rittenhouse's life? Aug 14, 2021

- @VaunMayesMKE @Gather4Justice @rolandsmartin @TheYoungTurks @Mysonne This is just causal court banter. I've been in courtrooms and yeah, these are people allowed to talk to each other. Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 Yeah, the FB app drops the timestamp. It's ?t=4170 if you load the video in a desktop browser and then add that to the URL Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 One last try. https://t.co/CAXhsYgTmz Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 This is a close up https://t.co/CAXhsYgTmz Really shows what the first two shots do. Defense will argue this all just happened really fast. Prosecution will say he had to move the gun to even make the last shot work Aug 14, 2021 2021
- @ks603283174 4) B1 is likely groin/pelvis, B2 is likely head graze. At this point Mr. Rosenbaum is on the ground. 5) B3 is likely another groin graze. 6) B4 is likely the wound to the back that punctures vital organs. This is why the defense is hiring a medical expert. 2/3 Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 The sequence from what I can tell is 1) KR approaches car lot and squares off with Ziminski, with held but maybe not aimed weapon (over windows?) 2) JR chases KR off, KR pointing weapon once in response. 3) KR reaches edge, hears FU!, turns and fires. 1/2 Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 I've heard the audio and have tried to have it make sense synced to the video. I don't think it's him. The conflict seems to start at the Car Lot, per McGinnis and that yell happens earlier. Different person I think. Aug 14, 2021
- @Bailey1647 1) he was bailed 2) even the defense seems to know the fourth bullet to the back wasn't cool. They've hired a medical expert, likely to argue lethality of earlier bullets. Aug 14, 2021
- @PorscheFanCO @proftheatre @squealydan1 @JenLawrence21 @DrPaulGosar Shots fired. Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 Sure. To me, it was an obvious pretense/excuse to he lording over people with a lethal weapon. He was bragging on video about being vicious earlier in the night. Just not the mindset of a medic. Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 Mr. Rittenhouse to me was more along the lines of seeking out trouble under the flag of protecting property and offering "medical" to people clearly not hurt, with the hope that maybe that gun would get some use. Conviction remains a coin flip in my opinion. 2/2 Aug 14, 2021
- @ks603283174 Portland has a no open carry policy, unlike Kenosha, WI. Also, this guy clearly pointed his weapon at people who were only calling him out on that. Different laws. I'd say more obvious aggressive behavior from this guy honestly. 1/2 Aug 14, 2021
- @JDavis6987 @Davighd2 Notably not true. He keeps on running after the shot. I doubt he noticed it. He spins with his gun ready to fire when he hears "f#ck you". The video is pretty clear on that. Aug 13, 2021
- @maryjofoley @ALumia_Italia OK, enough criticism. Can't we all just admit this is a well-rounded OS?

 Aug 13, 2021
- @bethkoob @MacFarlaneNews Public safety is an inherent public interest. Whether it would have swayed the Judge is an unknown. Regardless, it as an issue has been taken off the table. Aug 13, 2021
- @bethkoob @MacFarlaneNews So... are you arguing the video should be released? I'm unclear on that. Aug 13, 2021

- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator So yes, I'd say this is a coin flip. One of the videos seems to show Mr. Rittenhouse confronting the Ziminskis while holding his weapon. Mr. Rosenbaum then surprises Mr. Rittenhouse and chases him away, leading to the shooting. Aug 13, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator 1. The defense team is bringing in a medical expert. The only reason why you would do that is to argue which bullet was the killing shot. 2. Mr. Rittenhouse received a call about the lot being attacked and proceeded over with his firearm. The encounter wasn't random. Aug 13, 2021
- @MacFarlaneNews The mayor did an outstanding job keeping the locals AWAY from this. Makes the argument kinda hard. I don't think "This is a BLM friendly city judge" is gonna cut it. Aug 13, 2021
- @bethkoob @MacFarlaneNews Public safety. At that point, he is in the public and could arguably do more harm. If the government is sitting on video that indeed shows he's dangerous, it would make sense to make the video public simply to warn people to avoid the guy. Aug 13, 2021
- @bethkoob @MacFarlaneNews No, you misunderstand. HE is NOT fighting detention. He's chosen not to. And also simultaneously fighting public release of the video. Without him being out in public (by choosing to remain detained), for now, there arguably is no compelling reason to release the video. Aug 13, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator And in circumstances and totality, if Mr. Rittenhouse electively went to the Car Lot because he KNEW there was trouble with a loaded weapon and got into it with the Ziminskis, resulting in someone chasing him away, it does have the elements of a reckless homicide. Coin flip. Aug 13, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator Basically, against an unarmed guy who hasn't even touched you, this is a tad excessive. You'll notice when they are treating Mr. Rosenbaum on the ground, there are no bullet holes in the front of his chest. And Mr. Rittenhouse re-angled the gun to get that back shot. 2/2 Aug 13, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376
 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator At least one entered from the back and the reporter described what happened. Coroners report shows the same thing. Mr. Rosenbaum is on his way down after the first shot and by the third, Mr. Rittenhouse angles his gun and keeps shooting, the fourth entering through the back. 1/2 Aug 13, 2021
- @bethkoob @MacFarlaneNews Public safety, which is a compelling interest. If you give the man bail and the video shows truly violent crime, the public should have that tool to figure out if they want to be in proximity of this alleged violent individual. By staying detained, that argument is moot. Aug 13, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Here's the juke move right at the lot. Right after Mr. Rittenhouse has a moment with the Ziminskis. https://t.co/6ct28j4Sq0 I think the other video is an optical illusion. The juke move isn't shown, so it looks like the same person running in might be the same running out Aug 13, 2021

- @Badams820 @WoodrowForCO @EGorgoneon No, the Republican doofus on the House floor challenging the election kept the reps there until the mob was literally upon them. That's why they wanted to break down the door; the mob could actually see them. Had the evacuation started earlier, maybe the traitor would be alive Aug 13, 2021
- @Badams820 @WoodrowForCO @EGorgoneon It's cute you think that. Aug 12, 2021
- @Badams820 @WoodrowForCO @EGorgoneon Dang right Chauvin will get a new trial. The federal trial is coming up! #federalprison Aug 12, 2021 @
- @tweetmix @IndifferentCow @MacFarlaneNews My guess is a FOIA request could cause the video to be released one day. With a trial in the mix now though, keeping it under seal might make sense. Aug 12, 2021
- @scfm69_2 @MacFarlaneNews You could make the argument it could bias a jury pretrial. Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Zooming in on the Regg Live video, I don't think any of the people running in from the side are Mr. Rittenhouse. Backs McGinnis's view this simply started at the car lot. Gonna shut-up now. Just wanted to make a correction. https://t.co/nDN0qCQTcNAug 12, 2021
- @IndifferentCow @MacFarlaneNews You could make the argument that in order to have a fair trial, there's no reason to release evidence to the general public and bias the jury.

 Aug 12, 2021
- @bethkoob @MacFarlaneNews If he's not out in public, there's not a compelling reason for the public to see the video. Not a bad argument, if you want an untainted jury. Aug 12, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator Ultimately, no video footage captures the initial altercation, which seems to happen off the Car Lot. So I think eye witnesses ultimately will be helpful here. Ultimately though, Mr. Rittenhouse's biggest legal problem for this shooting is likely the fourth bullet. Aug 12, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator Ultimately though, the bullet seems immaterial, as there is a loud "f#ck you" yelled. That does get Mr. Rittenhouse to immediately turn with his gun immediately ready to fire. If you were playing D on this, I'd personally focus on the yell, not the shot. Seems more germane Aug 12, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator Not really. Provided you've started crap with a long range weapon, I don't think the people around are beholden to hope you don't take them out from a safe distance (AR-15 / 500 meter range). Not without a good faith communication, per statute. Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Still, admittedly, that would challenge the idea any window incident happened at the Car Lot. Again, very weird. There are at least two articles that attribute that explanation to Mr. Rittenhouse himself. 2/2 Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I don't view McGinnis's account as full frankly. Regg Life's video seems to suggest an initial confrontation happened well short of the Car Source lot (maybe not with Rosenbaum). McGinnis's account seems to start at Car Source. 1/2 Aug 12, 2021

- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator That's not remotely true bro. From the camera angle of that video, Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't flinch when the shot occurs. He keeps running. That and he didn't mention the shot when talking to the police. I think it will wind up being moot. Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Albeit really, any plotting on Mr. Rosenbaum's part Mr. Rittenhouse isn't privy to is legally irrelevant. He seemed to think it was anger about him confronting them about the broken windows and who knows, that might have been the last straw on the camel's back 2/2 Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Or he didn't see/hear him. Or he did and wanted to warn his pals ahead about a weird kid with a held AR-15 coming their way. Arguably, this is mutual. KR perhaps heads to the lot to imply use of lethal force to get them to stop. And JR is perhaps eager for a fight. 1/2 Aug 12, 2021
- @HartfordPhoenix @BrbnNeat @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Even if what you are saying were true (it isn't), the lack of alternatives would only make getting the vaccine that much more important. Logically, I don't follow you. Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Eh, I stand corrected. Damn that's stupid. Aug 12, 2021
- @HartfordPhoenix @BrbnNeat @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI I don't understand the undocumented point. If more ICU resources are being expended on them, there are less potential beds for you. All the more reason to get vaccinated and not test a limited resource. Aug 12, 2021
- @HartfordPhoenix @BrbnNeat @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Uh, both those states are blood red Republican. Aug 12, 2021
- @KristaMHilton What else would ICU beds be full of? Aug 12, 2021
- @BrbnNeat @HartfordPhoenix @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Meanwhile Mississippi is begging for almost 1,000 out of state medical workers. https://t.co/cYtcSqxhJ2 Aug 12, 2021 @
- @BrbnNeat @HartfordPhoenix @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Because of medical privacy. If this wasn't a problem, the governor of Texas wouldn't be begging for help. https://t.co/VDIHq0Eraw Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost A big question is was he explicitly told to go over there to handle trouble (as the article suggests) or did he simply run in that direction because he was cut off from the other location. I don't pretend to know which for sure, but I'd imagine there are call records if so 2/2. Aug 12, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Yes, keep reading. More stuff happens after that, including him getting repelled by the cops and then getting notice the other car lot was being attacked. At that point, based on Dominick Black saying he saw Mr. Rittenhouse run over, I'd say medical offers were over then. 1/2 Aug 12, 2021
- @DineshDSouza Before she was radicalized into a domestic terrorist. Sad. <u>Aug 12, 2021</u>

- @BrbnNeat @HartfordPhoenix @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI But beyond all that, the bottom line is our ICU capability isn't equipped for this. Texas is begging other states for medical resources, because they are effectively getting overrun with hospitalizations. Elective medical procedures are out too. Aug 12, 2021
- @BrbnNeat @HartfordPhoenix @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI 1) Anyone can sue anybody in this county, even if the lawsuit is junk. 2) Presuming the survival rate is 99.7%, that's the equivalent of flying knowing there's a 1 in 300 chance you'll die in a crash. 1/2 Aug 12, 2021
- @HartfordPhoenix @BrbnNeat @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Frankly, politically, telling your team to not get the vaccine makes zero sense. Aug 11, 2021
- @HartfordPhoenix @BrbnNeat @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Basically if you die in a hospital due to COVID, it is 96.33% likely you didn't get the vaccine. Not 99.99%, but really, it's still a damn good reason to get the jab. Aug 11, 2021
- @HartfordPhoenix @BrbnNeat @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Hospitalizations no, deaths, it's closer. Example is this one hospital. https://t.co/btkqiz3ihf 6 out of 7 patients hospitalized didn't have the vaccine and 14/15 deaths were for unvaccinated patients. Aug 11, 2021 11
- @BrbnNeat @Columbos_Dog @DHSWI Pretty sure you misread the news article. 83% of new cases are Delta. Has nothing to do with vaccination status of the individual. https://t.co/WjJ0o0WVTW Aug 11, 2021 @
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator Also, if you are wondering why the defense is getting medical experts, it's because they are planning for the need to show that bullets 3 and 4 weren't the killing bullets. Because from the video angle, they are a bit excessive. Aug 11, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator What is also abundantly clear is the strong potential for witness testimony. There are a decent number of people in the immediate vicinity. Filling in the blanks probably won't be hard. Aug 11, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator What is clear is that information is being selectively offered here. For instance, this video jumps at the 18 second mark, which would conveniently edit out aggression from Mr. Rittenhouse toward the Ziminskis. https://t.co/onoOSN2dER Aug 11, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator There have been questions on if there was an encounter seconds earlier involving a small fire and possibly a brandish, but right now, that is purely speculation. Indeed, Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black didn't bring it up to police and the reporter didn't note it either. Aug 11, 2021
- @DisgruntledJge1 @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator No, that specific altercation happened AT the Car Source. The brief chase was across the parking lot, mid-way Mr. Rittenhouse pointing his gun back. I've heard "the couple blocks" thing, but that hasn't been confirmed by anyone. Aug 11, 2021
- @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @DisgruntledJge1 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator That would be an unlawful provocation

- for a few reasons. 1) He legally can't defend the property 2) There's a lawful curfew to keep exactly this from happening. 3) He's creating a confrontation with a held weapon. 4) Really, what was the expected outcome here? Aug 11, 2021
- @HOwl1776 @Jeremiah_Chr1st @Supersi48617376 @DisgruntledJge1 @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @DonutOperator Frustratingly, there is limited video on the moments that led up to why Mr. Rosenbaum chased Mr. Rittenhouse. Mr. Rittenhouse does seem to have initiated a confrontation with the Ziminskis, with a held weapon, over the van. https://t.co/6ct28j4Sq0 Aug 11, 2021
- RT @ReallyAmerican1: BREAKING: After an initial approval, Fox News has rejected our ad buy of January 6th testimony from capitol police off... Aug 11, 2021
- @JacLassiter Yeah, he is innocent until he is proven guilty. If nothing else, it makes the final judgement stick better. You don't want accusations of bias from the get-go. It's home confinement with electronic monitoring. Not a picnic. Aug 11, 2021
- @HankleDesigns @Acesandy1 @_billyjacobs_ @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator More like a friend of a friend. The owner had no idea who he was. Illegal to work armed security unless you are 21+ and trained anyway. Aug 10, 2021
- @unscriptedmike The Constitutional argument is that Texas's Constitution requires adequate education and turning schools into PICU pre-chambers isn't in line with that. It's a decent legal argument and unconstitutional EOs have no weight. Aug 10, 2021
- @AnonSnark @SeditionHunters @kittyclinton2 The statement of facts has some fairly serious charges. He was one of the guys assaulting officers. Aug 10, 2021
- @DJSweeneyDC @PDocumentarians @SmileItsNathan Yeah, he's basically a walking failure. A doofus. Aug 10, 2021
- @Multiverse They left out the plot armor. Aug 10, 2021
- @getshtton @mindyconway2020 There hasn't been a legal definition of "assault rifle" since 2004, when the 1994 crime bill expired. But visually, that gun looks a lot like what the 1994 definitions were. Aug 10, 2021
- @getshtton @mindyconway2020 It wasn't. But the average layperson wouldn't be able to tell that. Even cops have trouble. Indeed, Tamir Rice was gunned down by police for having a similar type of air gun. Basically, this guy is a doofus who should be thrown in the clinker. Aug 10, 2021
- @PortlandPolice Compressed air rifles are in your city's definition of firearms. It is absolutely not legal to open carry them in public spaces. To claim otherwise is reckless and incorrect. https://t.co/bwBTx03E26. Aug 10, 2021
- @camorris Portland's definition of firearms includes compressed air guns.
 @PortlandPolice. This is a chargeable offense. Not doing so is bullcrap.
 https://t.co/bwBTx03E26. Aug 10, 2021
- @PDXICR "Pashley said it is legal to carry an airsoft gun in public". Bull-crap. Portland's definition of firearms includes compressed air guns. @PortlandPolice https://t.co/bwBTx03E26. Aug 10, 2021
- @tondelayo_29 "Pashley said it is legal to carry an airsoft gun in public". Bull-crap. Portland's definition of firearms includes compressed air guns. @PortlandPolice https://t.co/bwBTx03E26. Aug 10, 2021
- @notmikeschmidt @HansoDroid @alex_zee Open Carry inside the city limits with an air rifle is a no-no, but yes, the state's definition of firearms is limited to "powder" weapons.

- Basically, this twerp is just a cowardly doofus looking to create trouble and then draw in the cops when he feels "threatened". Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost That said, there are gaps in video coverage and one can only zoom in on incomplete information so much 2/2 Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Also, I think the "medical" thing was over at that point. If this article is accurate, Mr. Rittenhouse got some notification the Car Source lot was getting attacked, grabbed a fire extinguisher and ran over. 1/2 https://t.co/dL4TPc6ndJ Aug 10, 2021 Aug 10, 2021
- @TexasAmerica @JudgeClayJ Trump won 33% of Dallas. Maskless & w/delta? That is enough to fill up the ICUs. Aug 10, 2021
- @payd2trade @StolfiAlberto @jesus_jimz There's no evidence that is true. Aug 10, 2021
- @jesus_jimz If I were the out-of-state personal, I'd say f#ck you until the ban on mask mandates is lifted. Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost One guess is Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't expecting multiple simultaneous people. As the reporter noted, he'd attracted the attention of more than one person, including the Ziminskis; indeed he seems to briefly get into it with them. Hence the abort. Just a possibility. Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I do agree though, 2 & 3 seem like stronger prosecution cases, if for no other reason Mr. Rittenhouse could have made a self defense claim at anytime or even a good old fashioned "STOP!" Going with non-denials wasn't particularly helpful. Again, maybe 17-itis. Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost It might be a case of extreme 17-itis, (tough to put my head there at 41), but it's like "really kid, what is the plan here"? The likelihood of getting into a fight that ends with a gunshot seems so expectedly high. 2/2. Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I think the prosecution will focus on what was Mr. Rittenhouse thinking when he ditched his chaperone and headed off where he knew 100% he'd find trouble. With the only means of defense a held lethal weapon. Having been explicitly threatened earlier, according to Balch. 1/2 Aug 10, 2021
- @LevisBecker @Perlsgirl1 @joncoopertweets In spirit, it get it. But the firearms statute specifically uses "firearm" in it's language and that is defined in the state statute as a powdered weapon Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I'm certain it will be successfully raised. There's little reason for the judge to not let the jury hash it out. The real wildcards here are eye witness testimony and unknown premeditative evidence, if any. To date, no video clearly shows what started what. Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I'm sure it will be successfully raised. When I said compelling, I was referring to what would convince the jury it was perfect self-defense. The med expert hire

- is telling. The defense is counting on needing to create doubt the 4th and maybe 3rd bullets were needed for death Aug 10, 2021
- @AndersWeinstein @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 Not impossible, but it would mean the Chicago Tribune got the attribution wrong. It's possible they had access to more pages of the report than the TV station. In any event, one can only zoom in so close on limited data. Next hearing isn't until September. Hitting snooze. Aug 10, 2021
- @LevisBecker @Perlsgirl1 @joncoopertweets The issue is the brandishing law is a state statute and thus the state's definition of firearms would apply. The gun was an air rifle and while it was illegal to open carry it in Portland, it probably can't be charged as a firearms brandish. Aug 10, 2021 &
- @Perlsgirl1 @joncoopertweets Illegal in the city of Portland. Aug 10, 2021
- @joncoopertweets Basically, the guy was being an asshole and a bully more than a lethal threat. 2/2 Aug 10, 2021
- @joncoopertweets It was an air rifle. Portland could charge him for open carry of it as that would be a local statute and their gun def. includes air rifles. The brandishing law is a state statute and the state definition of firearms excludes air rifles. 1/2 Aug 10, 2021
- RT @ConahtheCreator: This bully is Mark Lee. a rowdy, gun-toting asshole showed up in downtown Portland, waving their gun at people of colo... Aug 09, 2021
- @iendeduphere1 There's no excuse when you're intent is clearly to cause a ruckus and you literally walk into police custody. Release on recognizance makes some sense, but charges should still be filed. Aug 09, 2021
- @CaseyHo @The_Branch_Booj @BeWyrd @greatbigjames @FinchHaven @PDXzane From a 14A.60.010 charging perspective, the fact it is an air gun makes no difference. A compressed air rifle is classified as a "firearm", per statute. https://t.co/WghlSwonGc_Aug_09, 2021
- @iendeduphere1 At least for city-level charges. A firearm pointing charge might be hard to pursue (state statute), however, charges should be made under 14A.60.010. Aug 09, 2021
- @iendeduphere1 Doesn't change the dynamics of criminality. https://t.co/bwBTx03E26
 Firearm: a pistol, revolver, gun, rifle, or other mechanism, including a miniature weapon, which projects a missile or shot by force of gunpowder or any other explosive, by spring or by compressed air. Aug 09, 2021
- @Chicago1Ray That would seem to vary by state: https://t.co/XZFqQZJF6u Subject to change of course. Aug 09, 2021
- @Antifa5032 @UsVSbullies File a police report. Aug 09, 2021
- @UsVSbullies I think he was pointing the weapon at the person yelling at him about his illegal carry. https://t.co/Sk5qSyzJNf Still, not cool with the journalist at the very least in the field of fire. Aug 09, 2021
- @AllisonLCarter @GeorgeTakei I trust my body to run a marathon, which is why I don't need to train for it. Aug 09, 2021
- @Supersi48617376 @TheIndianLib @IamSean90 @Kodiak_64 Yeah, that is like exactly why I blocked him. At some point, it simply becomes moronic. Aug 09, 2021
- @Crash1yz @MrAndyNgo Basically, this doofus called police, walked into their custody, and pretty much admitted to 14A.60.010. Good for up to six months in jail. The brandish? I don't see justification for it. Basically another misdemeanor (up to six months). Wonder if this is first time offense. Aug 09, 2021

- @Crash1yz @MrAndyNgo Still meets Portland's definition of a firearm.

 https://t.co/bwBTx03E26. "Firearm: a pistol, revolver, gun, rifle, or other mechanism, including a miniature weapon, which projects a missile or shot by force of gunpowder or any other explosive, by spring or by compressed air." Aug 09, 2021
 □
- @ryanran12 @everett_lunday @MrAndyNgo As for the brandish, considering the carry is not legal, that might complicate a self defense claim. The brandish itself carries a potential sentence of up to six months. https://t.co/KMEj7HImogAug 09, 2021
- @ryanran12 @everett_lunday @MrAndyNgo I'd also add that Open Carry in the city of Portland is illegal (concealed is fine https://t.co/d7CbgS67VS) If this doofus walked into the police station with a loaded firearm, it's basically asking for up to six months in the clinker. Aug 09, 2021
- @ryanran12 @everett_lunday @MrAndyNgo No. At best, it is in response to one unarmed guy who was yelling at him from about 20 feet away. Certainly doesn't warrant that type of brandish, especially with the photojournalist in the field of fire. https://t.co/Sk5qSyzJNf Aug 09, 2021
- @everett_lunday @MrAndyNgo Lol. It is a big no-no to point a loaded lethal weapon at anyone with the finger on the trigger. Sane people don't pose for pictures by threatening to murder the photojournalist taking their shot. Aug 09, 2021
- @MrAndyNgo This is highly illegal and no, this is not walking calmly. https://t.co/qENTqcaqic Aug 09, 2021
- @Transigence @MrAndyNgo This is highly illegal. Note where the trigger finger is. https://t.co/vcc5hiOXmR Aug 09, 2021
- @Himbotomy @PplsCityCouncil Frankly, the KR situation could have started with someone seeing an illegal murder threat like that and deciding to do something about it. It's somewhat an unresolved question whether someone chasing this guy away could be killed in "self-defense" for doing it. Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 Either that, or the story was a rehearsed lie. It's not completely impossible Mr. Rittenhouse made up a story that was more incriminating than what actually happened. If that is the case, well that would be weird. Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 "At some point during the night, Rittenhouse said, he tried stop an unnamed man from hitting windows and the man began to chase him." Understand, Mr. Rittenhouse saw other militia members pointing their weapons all night. I suspect that is what happened. <a href="https://www.august.com/august.co
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 All it needed to establish was probable cause, which is a low bar. The prosecution undoubtedly has witnesses other than the two specified in it and yes, Mr. Rittenhouse's own statements about electively confronting Mr. Rosenbaum with a held weapon isn't particularly helpful. 2/2 Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 If Mr. Rittenhouse didn't know Mr. Rosenbaum didn't have a right to possess a gun, then that wouldn't factor into his shooting judgement, right? That's why it is moot. Understand the charging document was a two-day doc written to get Mr. Rittenhouse into custody. 1/2 Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 If that were the case, then the defense team wouldn't be trying to introduce Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal past as evidence at trial.

- Because with Mr. Rittenhouse confessing he started the armed confrontation, they are digging up quite frankly. Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 Basically, it doesn't contain all the evidence. Not remotely. Probable cause is a low bar and proof of the first homicide against an unarmed person was more than enough to clear that bar. In other words, expect more evidence and more eye witness testimony. 2/2 Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 Mr. Rittenhouse told police he "tried to stop" Mr. Rosenbaum from breaking a window. I think it's laughable that effort would only have been auditory. The charging document only needs to establish probable cause, which is a fairly low bar. 1/2 Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 And really, he doesn't. If he KNEW about Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal past, maybe that would be helpful. But he doesn't. All he knows is he just threatened this guy with a gun, and that guy wants the threat from that to stop. 2/2 Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 I don't think you understand. Mr. Rittenhouse's actions and judgement is what is on trial here. If Mr. Rittenhouse had just threatened to kill Rosenbaum unlawfully, then he actually needs to have some reason to think the response to that isn't simply to terminate that threat. 1/2 Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @IamSean90 @Kodiak_64 Humans can of course turn around and fire. It actually happened in this case. Soo.. Bye <u>Aug 09, 2021</u>
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 If Mr. Rittenhouse threatened to kill Mr. Rosenbaum with the AR-15, then Mr. Rosenbaum had lawful self-defense privilege to go for the disarm. That's all he did. You can't use lethal force on lawful interference, per line 1 of the self-defense statute. Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 Mr. Rittenhouse had no knowledge of Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal history. The prohibited possessor point is moot. And sentence 1 of the self-defense statute says you can only use lethal force on unlawful interference. Being scared is only half the equation. See Wisconsin vs Johnson. Aug 09, 2021
- @IamSean90 @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 No "adequate notice" was given. That is important when the threatening weapon can kill from 500 meters. The common sense rationale of the statute is that the threatened should no longer feel threatened. With a long range weapon and no adequate notice, not the case. Aug 09, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Fair enough. Nite <u>Aug 09, 2021</u>
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator So, we aren't talking about Mr. Rosenbaum anymore? Just wondering. Because all that is after he was shot. Aug 09, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Not germaine to Mr. Rosenbaum's shooting. Aug 09, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator That was after Mr. Rosenbaum was shot, so not really germaine to the validity of his shooting. Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 @IamSean90 He consulted a buddy and then didn't have backup. Which means he had to move away from his buddy in the direction of the person he was yelling at. Aug 09, 2021

- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator There wasn't a "mob". It's one kid threatening lethal force on someone if that someone doesn't obey him, over a matter of property. That is a murder threat with a lethal weapon, and yes, that would allow for a self-defense response. Aug 09, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator It is illegal to use lethal force over property. It would establish the person is threatening murder and yes, that is unlawful interference on one's person. "Do this and I'll kill you with my gun" essentially. It would legally allow for a disarm, which is all that was tried. Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 @IamSean90 https://t.co/9qNB6CuXTK I won't lie. I question if this is a made up cover story. Mr. Rosenbaum didn't have a bat from what I could tell. Almost like the weapon was made up to help justify the shots. Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 @IamSean90 Dominick Black's statement to the police does indeed recount advancing on Mr. Rosenbaum. So no, this isn't bad faith on my part. And it was a yelled command, not an ask. While holding a loaded lethal weapon. Again, maybe they were lying to police, but if so, why. Aug 09, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator If someone threatened to kill you with a long range rifle and then skips away, would you believe you were safe? That's kinda the whole point of the "adequate notice" portion of the statute. Because in this case, increasing distance alone doesn't establish safety. Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator A held loaded weapon at low ready while yelling a demand to stop breaking property. Possibly worse. Gotta say, if someone yelled at me to stop doing something while holding a loaded weapon ready to kill me, I'd kinda think the gun had something to do with it Aug 09, 2021 婦
- @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 @IamSean90 Sure it is. If someone aggressively yelled at you to stop doing something after advancing on you at low ready with a loaded weapon, would you feel 100% safe continuing to do what you are doing? That and it's very possible a witness will confirm a gun point. Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 @IamSean90 And no, scurrying off after threatening someone with an AR-15 isn't the "adequate notice" the statute requires. Maybe something along the lines of "sorry man, my bad, putting away my gun now". 2/2 Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 @IamSean90 When he yelled at Mr. Rosenbaum to stop hitting windows while holding a loaded lethal weapon at low ready. If someone aggressively demands something of you with that type of carry, the gun is the authority behind the demand 1/2 Aug 09, 2021 ©
- @TheIndianLib @Kodiak_64 @IamSean90 The statute also explicitly requires good faith communication, not a simple retreat. Because yeah, an AR-15 can be used to snipe people you threatened up close once you've gotten some distance. Basically, an AR-15 is a shitty weapon to threaten murder with. Aug 09, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator If you unlawfully threaten deadly force (allegedly), you trigger self-defense privilege for the person you are threatening. If they try to end the threat you are posing, you can't kill them for it. It would be use of force on lawful interference. Aug 09, 2021

- @Kodiak_64 @TheIndianLib @IamSean90 Not really. He would need to clearly communicate an end to hostilities when the initial threatening weapon has a range of 500 meters. Disengagement with long range weaponry is a tad trickier than when fists are involved. Aug 09, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @IamSean90 https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 "At some point during the night, Rittenhouse said, he tried stop an unnamed man from hitting windows and the man began to chase him." Aug 09, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator It's irrelevant. In Wisconsin, it is illegal to use lethal force purely over property. Hence to threaten it over property is akin to threatening murder. Awkward in a riot scenario, but that is the Law. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator If someone ran up to you and yelled GIVE ME \$100 DOLLARS!!! while holding a gun at low-ready, would you think you were being asked or being robbed? Simply put it's bad idea to yell commands at people while holding a loaded weapon that can kill them. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Mr. Rittenhouse admitted to police he started the armed confrontation over a window, for property he wasn't legally privileged to defend. Aug 08, 2021
- @smcroasters "I'm not drawing a parallel between the two". Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator I've already seen it. I'm sorry if you don't know what a selfish unaimed shot looks like. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Yes, but provocation is an important element. If someone squeezes off an unaimed shot that endangers a crowd, EVERY bystander in the area would have self-defense privilege and would be allowed to try to stop further shots. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Basically, this trigger pull is inherently selfish. The chance of hitting his original target is next to zero, but he trigger pulls anyway, to hell with everyone else in the area. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator No, he totally does. When the guy running up tries to jump kick him, Mr. Rittenhouse is really late to aim. The first shot goes high and misses. But as he is falling backwards, he squeezes off another shot without remotely aiming. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator The underlying question is would it be a reasonable assumption for Mr. Rittenhouse to rule out a scared bystander jumping in after he had already started squeezing off shots, purely in a bid not to die. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator A key thing to understand is Mr. Rittenhouse can only make shooting decisions based on what he knows. Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal history is irrelevant. If he didn't see Mr. Huber briefly chasing him, the fact that he was is irrelevant. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Basically, its a really bad idea to make a killing decision without

- knowing who you are shooting at. Mr. Rittenhouse squeezes off a couple shots and then someone tries to stop more shots from happening. Without discriminating between pursuer or bystander, Mr. Rittenhouse fires. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator The problem is Mr. Rittenhouse ran into an area that had several people already there. Then he fires at least one un-aimed shot, endangering them falling backwards. So the person who tries to take his gun might have been a pursuer OR someone there just trying not to die... Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Put straight, if a gunfight starts next to you and one of the guys is just firing bullets without aiming, and you and your friends are endangered, you get to go for the gun, right? You don't have to simply die, right? And if you try for the gun, can you be killed for it? Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator The first guy who ran up to him tried a jump kick thing. It was too late for Mr. Rittenhouse to get off a clean shot, but he still fired twice, one really without aiming. That shot, into a crowded area, would have enabled anyone to go for the gun, simply to not die from a stray. Aug 08, 2021
- @TexasDesign1 @ks603283174 Yeah. I guess what I'm saying is don't be surprised if there is an acquittal. Not for the neofascist reasons you hear on Twitter, but it could happen. Aug 08, 2021
- @TexasDesign1 @ks603283174 It's not a horrible argument frankly. A 17-year old vigilante getting a pat on the back and a thumbs up for his gun held vigilantism. It's why Gaige is suing the city for \$10 million. 2/2 Aug 08, 2021
- @TexasDesign1 @ks603283174 Personally, if I were the defense, I'd try for a public authority defense. "We appreciate you guys, we really do." and allegedly "we're going to push them by you so you can deal with them" were said by the police. Arguably, could have been taken as deputization. 1/2 Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator I'm saying once someone is already firing wildly into a surrounding area, both those measures are justified. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator As for the "running away" talking point, that isn't convincing when the initial threatening weapon has a range of 500 meters. Basically, an AR-15 is a sucky weapon to antagonize someone with, because withdrawal in good faith is dang hard to do. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator That is why the charges shift from reckless to intentional at that point. Arguably, anyone was privileged to dive for the gun once a bullet was wildly fired into the crowd. Mr. Rittenhouse made a killing decision without remotely knowing who he was shooting 2/2 Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Mr. Rittenhouse fired a wild shot into the immediate area before the skateboard hit happened. He was falling backwards and trigger pulled without aiming. The issue is Mr. Rittenhouse triggered pulled on someone who could have simply been trying to stop more stray bullets. 1/2 Aug 08, 2021

- @itslegitnow @LeakyHeadGasket @Swilsonn36 @QbeTex @futureoilshill Yes, you can be an idiot looking to start crap over property with a lethal weapon and perhaps still be found innocent for mitigating factors (public authority defense, expectations of a minor, etc...) The two are not mutually exclusive. Aug 08, 2021
- @TheIndianLib @IamSean90 Mr. Rittenhouse told police he started the armed confrontation over a window. Mr. Black told police the same thing. If true, it would likely mean lethal defensive force was used against lawful interference after an unlawful threat of lethal force. Aug 08, 2021
- @SgtFMRoads @BigBingConsumer @primewehraboo @Kyle99205415 @JacksonSills @DonutOperator Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black told police Mr. Rittenhouse started the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum over a window. If true, it means Mr. Rittenhouse brought a held loaded weapon into an elective dispute over a window. If not true, it means he lied at the police station. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th I just wonder where the hat went in the second frame? Such low meme quality. Aug 08, 2021
- @JackVonFaustus @ShaggyOtis @WeDoLoveTurtles @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator Uh no it isn't. He was not in compliance with the supervision and certification statutes that would have enabled the carry. Their numbers are literally in the statute. The court magistrate already heard this motion and still found probable cause. Really, it is a long shot. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th No, I'm pretty sure police recovered it from Dominick Black after Mr. Rittenhouse turned himself in. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Oh, Mr. Black was a moron. But frankly, Mr. Rittenhouse didn't even need to tell police he was even involved or where to recover the weapon. He could have simply remained silent. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Interesting. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Oh, he gave up his buddy on the straw purchase, without hesitation. This would be nothing to him. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Right?!?! It's frustrating to consider, but frankly, there is logic to it. Indeed, Gaige has already put in a legal claim with the city for their liability in all this. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Provided the motion on the gun possession charge fails, I'd also heavily lean on the statute's reason for existing, which codifies 17 year olds don't have the judgment for dangerous weapons, and that the blame for resulting deaths per statute flows to the adult. Aug 08, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th If I were the defense, I'd argue Mr. Rittenhouse felt he was granted law enforcement power when the cop who gave him the water said "we

- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th It's amazing people think pointing out potential weak points in a legal defense automatically means I think I've proven guilt. There are understandable arguments for considering acquittal. The neofascists online just aren't making them. Aug 08, 2021
- @JackVonFaustus @ShaggyOtis @WeDoLoveTurtles @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator And as far as this not being a niche option, it kinda is. No attorney would ever counsel a 17 year old to be in possession of a rifle in Wisconsin without the requisite certification/training. Aug 08, 2021
- @JackVonFaustus @ShaggyOtis @WeDoLoveTurtles @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator The magistrate is not the prosecutor :/ Aug 08, 2021
- @JackVonFaustus @ShaggyOtis @WeDoLoveTurtles @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator So the Kenosha court magistrate didn't know the basics of the Law? Aug 07, 2021
- @JackVonFaustus @ShaggyOtis @WeDoLoveTurtles @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator Yes, he was not in compliance with 304 and 593. Note the wording isn't noncompliance. Those statutes lay out elective options for enabling the carry Aug 07, 2021
- @JackVonFaustus @ShaggyOtis @WeDoLoveTurtles @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator I don't think so. He had to be in affirmative compliance with at least one of two statutes that would have enabled the carry. He wasn't in compliance with either, hence the carry permission wasn't enabled. Kinda like getting a learner's permit for being able to drive a car early Aug 07, 2021
- @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator So he quite possibly either gave self-defense privilege to the window breaker OR he lied his butt off at the police station and gave a false account about a window breaker. In either case, there's no way a prosecutor can't bring this to trial. 2/2 Aug 07, 2021
- @OptimasCrime @ShaggyOtis @HOwl1776 @DonutOperator The actual statute (939.49) on property defense is that you can't threaten lethal force purely to protect property. Mr. Rittenhouse told police he tried to stop someone from breaking a window and clearly, the only tool he had to do that was his held weapon. 1/2 Aug 07, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th I do have better things to do. This is true. Bye for now. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Yes, he met with Mr. Rittenhouse in the immediate aftermath and Mr. Rittenhouse gave his account to him. I'd wonder about the telephone game, except Mr. Rittenhouse's conveyed account to police was spot on the same. Aug 07, 2021 ₽
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th So, there was a broken window? Because there are Twitter accounts a tad more official than yours saying it didn't happen. I really don't care. An affirmative defense will need to be offered in November. I wonder which story will actually be offered up. Aug 07, 2021

- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th The reporter is very clear there is a time gap where he lost track of Mr. Rittenhouse. He's pretty forthcoming about that. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th I'm surprised you're barking up this tree considering you seem convicted Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black were lying. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th So what you are saying is Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black lied to police about what happened? I mean, this is their statements to police and the descriptions are pretty explicit. I'm not sure you grasp the importance of a shooter lying about a shooting, if that is your claim. Aug 07, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Mr. Black explicitly said Mr. Rittenhouse yelled. It's on video. Aug 07, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Uh, I didn't bring up the method of how he arrived at the police station. The article got that wrong. Not really Germaine or important to anything I brought up. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th If you yell at someone to stop doing something while holding a weapon while on an explicit mission to defend property, it is a confrontation by definition. It wasn't an ask, it was an order. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th He told one guy and immediately followed it up with a lie about not shooting anybody. Docking a truth to a lie is a bad idea. Aug 07, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Again, he was pretty sympathetic on his take of the kid. Basically a frightened teenager. If he had an ax to grind, he sure as hell didn't show it here. Aug 07, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th So, Mr. Rittenhouse's and Mr. Black's statements to police about starting a.confrontation over a broken window. Rehearsed lies or the truth? Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Might be being voluntarily withheld pending the trial. The hardware may have taken a couple minutes to establish the connection for live streaming and the moment he describes just might not have been recorded. It's happened to me a few times. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th By being physically there yes. I don't know the point you are trying to make. Are you calling him a liar? Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Uh no, he said Mr. Rittenhouse passed within 10 feet of him and said it. We are talking about Halliburton right? Aug 07, 2021 ☑

- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th I mean, gaslight all you want. The audio is there. Also: https://t.co/p2uTwXSgo4 "he was full-on terrified and he said, 'I didn't shoot anybody, I didn't shoot anybody.'" Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Get better headphones. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Then your ears are bad or your speakers suck. It's pretty clear right after he turns his head. As for the reporter onsite who said he heard it, I don't think he's lying. Again, he had a pretty sympathetic take on the kid. Aug 07, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th I mean, its right here. Right after the police comment. It's a horrible idea to dock a lie immediately onto a truth. Blows the credibility of the truth out of the water. https://t.co/rfF9PVIaYV Aug 07, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Are you saying Mr. Rittenhouse didn't lie about not shooting someone? Aug 07, 2021 ₽
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th It's right here. https://t.co/rfF9PVIaYV He tells Gaige he is getting police, and then looks away and said "I did not shoot anybody". A little muffled but its there. I think he simply checked out after shooting #1. He just didn't want to deal with it. Aug 07, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th The guy's a journalist who if nothing else gave a pretty sympathetic take on Mr. Rittenhouse, if you actually bothered to read the article. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th I just gave you the link. Name of reporter quoted is Halliburton. Also, if you watch the video of him briefly talking to Gaige, you can make out him saying he didn't shoot anybody. It's right after he breaks eye contact with Gaige. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th https://t.co/W2JqpimDI4 Kyle passed within 10 feet of Halliburton. "I didn't shoot anybody," he told the journalist. View Source worked for me. Aug 07, 2021 @
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th One might argue that signifies the desire to avoid confrontation, however, lying about not shooting someone while maintaining a held weapon and running toward more protestors could also be viewed as inherently tortious provocation. Aug 07, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Reporters heard him yell "I didn't shoot anybody!" after shooting #1. BusinessInsider article had it. Behind a paywall now. He also said it to Gaige right after saying he was going to the police. Basically, he was pretending to be a bystander after shooting someone. Aug 07, 2021

- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th If Mr. Rittenhouse had made a self defense claim to the concerned people after shooting 1 instead of lying about shooting anyone and then darting off with his gun still out, we might not be having this pleasant conversation. It's too bad. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p If someone is recklessly introducing poorly aimed shots into the immediate vicinity you are in, yeah, that is unlawful interference on your person and others. First party and third party defense is indeed on the table at that point. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th Yeah, he trigger pulled falling over backward without a prayer of hitting his intended target. With tons of people around. Again, if you can't line up your shot and trigger pull anyway, you're just endangering innocents in the surrounding area. Aug 06, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Her opinion would need to be remotely influential for such action Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p @Th If you can't aim properly and you are in an environment full of people, you don't trigger pull hoping you magically hit your intended target and not a mother to two. This isn't hard stuff. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p I don't twist things. What will legally matter is what Mr. Rittenhouse knew at the time of his shots. The decision to blindly kill someone trying to disarm him after firing wildly was probably his biggest mistake of the night. 2/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p That's irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse introduced a wild shot into an environment and then killed someone trying to stop further shots, without remotely knowing who he was shooting. 1/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Did he remotely aim the second shot? Nope. Did that bullet fly out at ground level. Yep. If you are in the immediate area of flying bullets, can you go for the gun to stop them from killing you or an innocent? Yep. Can you be legally killed for that? Nope. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Basically, both bullets missed. The second at ground level. That's at least one stray bullet introduced into the immediate environment which is actually pretty full of people. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p If you watch the video, they are poorly aimed, and the second shot frankly is him just falling backward, and shucks, why not squeeze off another shot. Because if you kill an innocent because you can't be bothered with aiming, well, that's the bystander's problem. Aug 06, 2021

- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Honestly, 940.60 places culpability for shots fired on the providing adult, as the statute codifies under-18 year-olds simply don't have the judgement to handle dangerous weapons. I do wonder if the defense will change its tune if the motion to dismiss this charge fails. Aug 06, 2021 ₽
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p I'm simply making a legal point. Wisconsin vs Johnson is instructive here. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p I want to be able to sue rioters for damages and/or have the state compel compensatory work out of them. You can't exact compensation from someone who is dead. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Oh, I've seen the video. Mr. Rittenhouse squeezes off a shot while falling backward and then kills someone trying to take the gun, without remotely knowing who he was shooting. It could have been a soccer mom trying not to die and he still would have pulled the trigger. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Because Mr. Rittenhouse had introduced at least one bullet wildly into the environment. Mr. Huber likely had 1st and 3rd party defensive privilege to do so, in order to keep an innocent from being killed by a stray. You can't use lethal force on lawful interference. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Gaige wasn't a felon BTW. I don't know why people continually lie about that. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p They totally were. Poorly aimed, the last one while falling backwards. Every trigger pull is a decision and particularly the last one was particularly poor. He had zero chance of hitting his intended target. Hence, a wild shot. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Not really, the second shot was as he was falling backward and flew out at ground level into the crowd. It's amazing no one was killed. But yeah, at that point, anyone could go for the weapon in a bid to simply not die from a stray. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Basically, the two wild shots likely privileged anyone in the vicinity to go for the weapon, purely to keep the strays from flying. Mr. Huber tried to end the shots using fairly minimal force (police found no significant injury on Mr. Rittenhouse), and died for it. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Hardly. Anthony Huber hit him with a skateboard once after two shots were wildly fired (one handed hit, not particularly hard) and Mr. Rittenhouse killed him for it on his way out. Without really knowing who he was shooting at. That's part of the legal problem there. Aug 06, 2021

- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p It's just a common sense thing frankly. Why did Mr. Rittenhouse do it? Why did he think the vandal would listen to a kid yelling an order, unless the gun granted that power? You need to take care when introducing a held weapon into someone else's life. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p "tried to stop" is the operative wording. It's hard to imagine Mr. Rittenhouse holding a gun perpetually at low ready, yelling a command for someone to stop breaking a window, and the held weapon not to be part of that. Especially when he ran over there to "defend" property. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p If it is the latter, then this isn't helpful to the defense's case, as it means Mr. Rittenhouse lied about the initial provocation behind why he was chased. You have a "I lied before but trust me now" situation. Kids, never talk to the cops without a lawyer. 2/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p There's two possibilities: 1) The initial altercation about the window happened off camera, leading to the chase the witness saw. Possibly a car parked on the street. 2) Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse lied their asses off at the police station. 1/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p If someone is chasing you with a mask unprovoked, on those grounds alone, you'd likely have the ability to point a weapon, per 939.48(1). You'd clearly be dealing with unlawful interference at that point. The key question is whether prior provocation removed that right. Aug 06, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Yeah, the magistrate heard the argument and wasn't convinced. If it were as simple as "oh, you're 17, no biggie", then I think it would have been tossed then. But again, who know. Mr. Richards seems to be a competent lawyer who probably is annoyed by the online circus. Aug 06, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p I think the defense is misreading the law frankly. They think "not in compliance" with an elective statute that enables an elective privilege somehow means a violation that shouldn't apply because you aren't hunting. Yeah, I think they are wrong, but who knows. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @Charles89638601 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black didn't recount that in their statements to police. Indeed, Mr, Rittenhouse said he shot "a kid". Probably the shirted mask. Hence, without recognizing Mr. Rosenbaum, all prior discussions or threats are moot. Aug 06, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p I know you need to say that to sell thongs, but really, the ramifications of a local jury trial really doesn't amount to much. There is the longshot motion regarding 948.60. Kinda interesting, but meh. Aug 06, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p I look forward to the affirmative defense offered by the

- defense team. Frankly, they have to offer something coherent. If it doesn't match up with the police statements, it introduces credibility issues for Mr. Rittenhouse at a time when his credibility might matter the most. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @SmokeyBetts @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p No. Most people don't illegally arm themselves with visibly held weapons and try to defend other people's property with lethal force, despite a lawful curfew order with only first amendment exemptions. The ramifications of this case will be decidedly minor. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p How can I protect a dead man? I don't get your logic here. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p If someone ran up to you and yelled at you to stop doing something, with a weapon at low ready, I can't imagine you'd feel the gun wasn't an integrated part of that command. As Mr. Rittenhouse stated earlier, he was there to "defend" the property and "we don't have non-lethal". Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p @Th If someone is seriously suggesting Mr. Rosenbaum was trying for molestation right then and there, they aren't being serious, sorry. I just don't have time for that. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Actually, he did, in the police lobby https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 "Rittenhouse said, he tried stop an unnamed man from hitting windows and the man began to chase him." Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black told police Mr. Rittenhouse started the armed confrontation over a window. He was holding his weapon at the time. I'm sure witnesses will clear this right up. Aug 06, 2021 ₣
- @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p If the minor has threatened him with a lethal weapon unprovoked, then at the very least, he can go for the weapon, which is all Mr. Rosenbaum did. Reckless homicide cases are routinely ugly. Rosenbaum can be a monster and Mr. Rittenhouse can still be guilty. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p I think you've earned a block. If you aren't going to veer into the absurd, there's no point to this anymore, bye. Aug 06, 2021
- @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Uh no. Mr Rittenhouse's shooting decision is on trial here. Did he know about Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal past? No? Then its completely non-admissible. The defense team must raise an affirmative defense and can't leverage information the defendant didn't know. Full stop. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p After he most likely unlawfully threatened murder, then yes. But we are going in circles. Aug 06, 2021

- @SmokeyBetts @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p That's the fascist method of justifying the shooting. Doesn't really work in court though. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Ownership of the actual physical building is irrelevant. If you are an agent (employee, hired security, etc..), you'd have the same privilege. The fact that they are on the roof suggests they had legal access to the place. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p It is saying "don't enter my enclosed space where I have limited ability to escape, because then I can justify killing you." Defending someone else's outdoor property electively with a held weapon is simply legally very different. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Not at all. If you are a mob that enters a building, making it hard to leave, the use of lethal force is generally more permissible due to castle doctrine. The rooftop Asians were basically saying, don't come in here. They never shot at anyone outside. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p They were on the rooftops of their buildings. Basically, for someone to come get them, they'd have to enter the building, in which case you'd have a very good argument for castle doctrine defense. Dominick Black took a rooftop position for likely similar reasons. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p They never killed anyone. And it was their property to defend. And they could make the argument if someone set the building on fire, they'd naturally die from it. Or that they were warning people they might get shot if they entered the building. Just different scenarios frankly. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p The point is deploying to defend outdoor property armed with only lethal force is defective on its face. Because legally, you can't threaten lethal force over property. We could also go into laws that require armed private security to be 21+ and trained. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p I get that. He wasn't going to shoot someone over pepper spray in that instance, especially when he was under the protection of several adults there. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p I know the context. In that scenario he was on the car lot not necessarily fishing for trouble or brandishing his weapon. And in the presence of other adults supervising him. Aug 06, 2021 ₽
- @SmokeyBetts @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Dominick Black's statement to police: https://t.co/GkhcVm4cL4 Chicago Tribute article about Mr. Rittenhouse's statements. https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Armed doesn't equal a held weapon at low ready. The smart militia

- members had their guns strapped to their chests or backs for hands-free carry. A held weapon primed and ready to be fired? That's simply a bit different. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Mr. Rosenbaum had been in the vicinity of the gas station the tear gas was deployed at. He likely put the shirt over his head around that time. Aug 06, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost
 @JDavis6987 @p 1) If the story is true, then Mr. Rittenhouse started the confrontation.
 2) If it is a rehearsed lie, then why make stuff up if the shooting on the merits was justified? Talking to police without a lawyer present is usually a bad idea. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost
 @JDavis6987 @p You clearly, don't understand the sequence of events that evening.
 Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p If you approach the thief with a held weapon at low ready while making the demand, that's kinda the gray area we are all talking about. Again, you seem to think a held weapon a second away from shooting isn't threatening. I tend to disagree. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p If you are in the presence of tear gas, actually yeah, its a countermeasure. https://t.co/ajAoHkXt0S Aug 06, 2021 @
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p No. I can't run over to a neighbor's house with a lethal weapon and stop their empty car from being stolen at night if I see it happening. I might be upset about it, but it isn't my private property to defend. Full stop. Aug 06, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p It is literally what he and Mr. Black told police. I'm only taking both of them at their word. Are you saying that was a rehearsed story that wasn't true? <u>Aug 06</u>, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Right after Mr. Rittenhouse elected to start a confrontation with him? Doesn't fit a robbery narrative. Sorry. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Protection from the tear gas the police deployed earlier. Protects the eyes somewhat. He's not the only one who did that. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p If a drunk driver runs over a child molester, yeah you don't go to the funeral. Maybe you are kinda glad. But you sure as hell do something about the drunk driver. Why anyone thinks this is about supporting Mr. Rosenbaum in any way is beyond me. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p That isn't remotely true. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p If someone yelled at me to stop doing something after walking up to me with a gun held at low ready, frankly, I don't know what I'd do. What I would understand is that the held weapon is the authority behind the demand. Aug 06, 2021

- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p That happened 40 minutes prior. Irrelevant. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p And yet, we have the two statements Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse gave police. Tells me what was described happened before what was caught on camera. Either that, or they lied to the police. If this whole trial is happening because of that, well, OK. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p That is simply legally incorrect. Aug 06, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @JDavis6987 @p Why did Mr. Rittenhouse tell police he shot someone after telling them to stop hitting a window? Why did Mr. Black repeat that same story? I mean, I get why you want to shift to the fire thing, but that doesn't jive with what was told to police. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p In circumstances and totality though, this is about vigilantism. Mr. Rittenhouse described his intention to defend private property that wasn't his. Under 939.49, you don't have any privilege to do so. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Not at all. The moment Mr. Rittenhouse likely threatened Mr. Rosenbaum with lethal force over property, Mr. Rosenbaum had privilege to go for the disarm. Full stop. If you are saying that threat didn't happen, OK. That is what the trial is for. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p "the right to stand up against rioters and looters". Yeah, electively hunting them with a held weapon at low ready over property is inherently illegal. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p After very likely being unlawfully threatened with lethal force over a car window, yes. That would put him under the unbrella of lawful self defense. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p By Mr. Rittenhouse's own admission, he was there to "defend" property that night with a "we don't have non-lethal" attitude. Then he runs off electively to do just that. It's not like he randomly passed the place. Basically, this is a local referendum on vigilantism 2/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p If a held weapon is the authority behind the elective yelled demand that destruction of property stop, then no, per 939.49, you can't then claim self-defense and shoot when people respond to that threat. 1/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p With a lethal-first attitude, yeah, everyone is going to have to think about that one. Property damage is bad. People pointing guns at each other and introducing stray bullets into neighborhoods is maybe the one thing that is worse. Aug 06, 2021

- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p And there's the fascist logic again, assuming a jury will ignore 939.49 because it happens to be convenient. They might acquit someone who was desperately looking for a fight that night with a lethal weapon. It's not impossible. It would be at their own peril. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p How does "we don't have non-lethal" jive with 939.49? How is "tried to stop" not married to the held weapon? There is a reason Mr. Barnes has insinuated the conflict started over Mr. Rittenhouse putting out a street fire. Because the defense of property thing is really bad. Aug 06, 2021
- @KevinTheBusman7 @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack @barnes_law "friendly friendly" really isn't clear. Sounds like he is signaling his militia buddies for backup after brandishing his weapon to me. And retreat with a 500 meter range weapon alone really doesn't do it. May 2001.2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Basically, this isn't rocket science when he has a held weapon and has bragged earlier in the night about being vicious. Logically, how is he going to repel these vandals except through threat of lethal force. He has no other tools. It's an inherently illegal posture 2/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @CivilLost @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @p Here's the thing. 939.49 explicitly says you can't threaten lethal force to defend property. Mr. Rittenhouse noted earlier in the night "we don't have non-lethal" and in this event, electively rushed to the car lot with his gun 1/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack @barnes_law Basically, the only obvious reason Mr. Rosenbaum has for disarming Mr. Rittenhouse is he had just been unlawfully threatened by his weapon, per Mr. Rittenhouse's own statement. Mr. Rittenhouse can't then shoot an unarmed Mr. Rosenbaum, has his interference isn't unlawful 2/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack @barnes_law The point of the cited case is the defendant should have been able to raise a perfect defense claim based on the homeowner discovering he saw illegal pornography on the homeowner's PC, creating an illegal incentive to kill him to avoid prison. Hence unlawful interference 1/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack @barnes_law As for the warning shot from the other party, it's moot. It is clear in the videos Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't react to it. At all. And he doesn't see it. Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse's statements to police also don't mention it. Hence, not important. 2/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack @barnes_law So... The point the case lays out is even if one feels they are in danger, use of force can only be used against unlawful interference. If the only reason Mr. Rittenhouse has for shooting Mr. Rosenbaum is that he had threatened Mr. Rosenbaum with murder, he can't shoot him. 1/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Read line 1 of the Wisconsin self defense statute and Wisconsin vs. Johnson (https://t.co/tbUVxX4SFE) and maybe you'll figure it out. Aug 06, 2021

- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Moot, moot, and not true. Again, see Wisconsin vs Johnson. Simple fear of death is insufficient in this case to establish a perfect defense. Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Not when the whole point of your weapon is long range superiority. Again, an AR-15 isn't a knife, bat, or fist. So yes, the Wisconsin statute requires sufficient communication in addition to withdrawal. The law says you can't threaten lethal force over property. Full stop. Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Use words. Remotely try to establish a withdrawal in good faith. But once you've threatened to murder someone with a rifle, well, that's quite the hole. If you choose to simply shoot your way out of your murder threat, you might have a court date. Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Mr. Rittenhouse didn't seriously try any oral communication to resolve the issue. So your "doesn't believe him" point is moot. As Mr. Rittenhouse said on camera, "we don't have non-lethal". Hence the lack of even trying. Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack If Mr. Rittenhouse knew of Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal history, you would have a semblance of a point. Because he didn't, that's moot. See Wisconsin vs. Johnson Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack 1) Mr. Rittenhouse actively sought out and started the fight, per his statement to police. 2) Pursuit to the ends of the earth no. Pursuit well within the range of the weapon (AR-15's 500 meters), I'd say yes. Basically, an AR-15 is a sucky weapon to threaten murder with. Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack If the withdrawal isn't clearly communicated to be in good faith then, yes, you can pursue. Wisconsin's self-defense statute is very clear on that. If you threaten to murder someone with an AR-15 over a window, trotting away 30 feet in no way ends the inherent threat. Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Now, if you want to say 948.55 provides a legal rationale for excusing some of Mr. Rittenhouse's recklessness (legal codification for unfitness of under 18 year olds to be armed) and that Dominick Black should be the one in hot water, at least there is an argument there. Aug 06, 2021
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Which would be legal. If Mr. Rittenhouse threatened him with his weapon (likely to me), Mr. Rosenbaum would be allowed to chase him and go for the disarm. Put straight, when your weapon of choice is a long range rifle, trotting away doesn't revert self-defense privilege. Aug 06, 2021

 ■
- @jdawes12919207 @Supersi48617376 @Jason52741632 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Mr. Rittenhouse confessed to police he started the armed confrontation over a car window. 939.49 is clear you can't threaten lethal force solely to protect property and Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera saying "we don't have non-lethal". Gives Mr. Rosenbaum defense privilege Aug 06, 2021

- @Jason52741632 @Supersi48617376 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack This surprises some people but if someone is about to light your car on fire (and no one is in it) you can't grab a gun and threaten to kill them if they don't stop. You can use some some force, but lethal force from the get-go? No. Aug 06, 2021
- @Jason52741632 @Supersi48617376 @Kittenhouse4 @ScooterHerCrack Stupid and untrained. 939.49 clearly says you can't defend property only with lethal force. Mr. Rittenhouse said on camera "we don't have non-lethal". Basically, his whole premise for defending property was unlawful that night. And he told the cops he started the confrontation. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost It's a fine line the teenager didn't get. Ultimately, the notion of actively defending outdoor property only armed with lethal force is flawed on its face. Things are different for indoor stores, where castle doctrine can be valid 2/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Eh, Mr. Rittenhouse rushed to a car lot he heard was being vandalized with a held weapon. In defense of that property, with the held weapon, he yelled at someone to stop. As he stated earlier, "we don't have non-lethal". 939.49 says you really can't do that. 1/2 Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15
 @CivilLost As someone with a bit of a security background, consider deleting that off of Twitter. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p That... Is not how this works. A hung jury results in a retrial, should the prosecutors elect to do so. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p Well... This is getting weird. Nite all. <u>Aug 06, 2021</u>
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost And all of this in violation of curfew, with an illegally possessed weapon, after bragging about being vicious in camera. I mean, if he lied to police, wow. That means he really screwed himself over. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost In short, per his own account, Mr. Rittenhouse picked a fight he thought he could easily win, because he had a gun and an armed militia buddy behind him, until he didn't. He scampered off, but never disengaged in a way that I believe would reestablish self-defense privilege. Aug 06, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost A) You have no idea he didn't point the weapon. This is probably where eye witnesses will come in. B) Mr. Rittenhouse didn't have a reasonable expectation Mr. Rosenbaum would comply with his yelled order except for fear of his gun. Nothing else really makes sense. Aug 06, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p It's not really speculation. The authority for the yelled command stemmed from the held weapon. Frankly, there isn't another plausible reason for him to think anyone would listen to a 17-year old kid. Note, there isn't an " or I'll call the cops" there. Aug 05, 2021

- @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @p I mean, I don't think my logic is particularly wrong here. I'm just going off of what both young gentlemen said. If they lied to the police and made their own cases that much worse for it, wow. You really can't blame a prosecutor for pursuing this. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p Not every moment was caught on video chief. I mean, there is Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse's statements, which claim stuff not on video. "It's not on video so it didn't happen" doesn't really hold up as a defense. Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @SkarletJoNancy @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @p For now, I have no strong reason to believe Mr. Rittenhouse lied, hence I'm going with his statement and Mr. Black's statement for now. That said, sure, there is a chance they are covering up worse behavior. I just wouldn't be surprised 2/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @SkarletJoNancy @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @p It's just that both scenarios are bad. If Mr. Rittenhouse's story is true, he electively started the confrontation. If he lied to police about it, it means he didn't think the shooting was justifiable on the merits of what happened. 1/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost My point, which seems lost on you, is if there was unlawful provocation off camera, then self-defense privilege likely would not be reestablished simply by skipping away as if nothing happened. Nothing more. Nothing less. Aug 05, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @p Eh, we don't know that. That said, I wouldn't be surprised if the statements were rehearsed lies by Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black. It's quite possible the bat was invented as justification. Who knows. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @p Why did Mr. Rittenhouse believe Mr. Rosenbaum would stop doing that? What implied authority, besides his held weapon, was going to move that needle? So why do it? Let's just say I'd keep the kid off the stand. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost It's not about what an expert would know about AR-15s, but what a reasonable person would judge a long range weapon to be capable of. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p OK. Fair enough, I see that in the video. I stand corrected on that point. (note the lack of double down). Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost That is the effective range of an AR-15. It's why it would naturally be hard to regain self-defense privilege if that is the weapon used for initial provocation. A long range weapon is not the same as a fist or a knife. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p It's funny you believe he is a witness. It's funny you all think I'm a prosecutor. I could actually see some reasonable arguments for acquittal on the serious stuff. I'm just not seeing those arguments made. Aug 05, 2021

- Rittenhouse said happened. So we know there is more than what is on video. They confirmed the video isn't the whole story, so I expect eye witnesses to fill the gaps. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p Open carry simply means the external display of the weapon. Nothing more, nothing less. If you have a weapon held at low ready while aggressively demanding something of someone, I'd argue it is the authority behind that demand. But feel free to disaagree. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p You actually have no idea what words were used or what Mr. Rittenhouse did with the gun at the time of the command. As I've repeatedly said, eye witness testimony will probably clear that up. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p No, I'm saying a brandish is highly likely and I have a strong belief witnesses will confirm it. I'm also saying yelling loud commands at someone with a held weapon could make a reasonable person feel threatened. Disagree if you want, but that's my argument. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987
 @CivilLost @p Then why did Mr. Rittenhouse ask his militia buddy to "have his hip"? I mean, there is no other explanation except he knew escalation was likely. <u>Aug 05, 2021</u>
- @SkarletJoNancy @freekyleusa @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p You seem to be saying that even if Mr. Rittenhouse brandished his weapon, he could still kill in self-defense. I simply don't legally think that is defensible. And I fairly confident witness testimony will confirm a brandish. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Not talk, "yell", as described by Mr. Black. With a held weapon. After conspiring with his militia buddy to have his back, because he knew he was triggering trouble. These are not helpful things for a reckless homicide charge. Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p Dude, this is the suspect saying he started the confrontation with a held weapon. I would have advised him to keep his trap shut. Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @p Actually, I really don't. I took a pretty long break from this. But I'm trying to square away how you are discounting the statements Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse themselves gave police. It's like you are pretending they don't exist. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p With a held weapon at low ready, and perhaps pointed....? Again, this is where witness testimony will come in. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p It's called provocation, which is measured by what a reasonable person would determine to be threatening. It's fairly well covered in the self-defense statute. Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p Mr. Black is specifically recounting what Mr. Rittenhouse told him. Mr. Rittenhouse told a similar story to the police. So yeah, it is apparent "the entire thing"

- wasn't caught on camera, but rather the aftermath of a bad decision. https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p The "reasonable person" standard is a long held legal standard. Aug 05, 2021
- @drexrawson @thehill Bombs had already been found. They couldn't let someone past the last barricade who might blow up half of Congress. Sorry. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @p Per the story, Mr. Rittenhouse asked his militia buddy "to have his hip". In short, he felt he needed protection for the encounter he was able to trigger. So yes, he knew the inherent risk to starting it. He did so anyway. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Yes, the standard is would a reasonable person be threatened. If someone aggressively yelled at me to stop doing something with a held weapon, frankly, getting shot would go through my mind. Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @p What is not true? I mean, the statement is literally on video. Are you saying Mr. Black is lying? Or Mr. Rittenhouse lied to him? Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p "Open carrying" usually means guns secured visibly to the body, not perpetually held at low ready in bad lighting. And if you are barking orders at someone with a gun at low ready, it is inherently integrated into the order. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Fair enough. nite! Aug 05, 2021 @
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Mr. Black's statement to police indicated Mr. Rittenhouse confronted Mr. Rosenbaum with a held weapon, after asking his militia buddy to have his back. In short, he started an armed confrontation he knew in advance would go south. Over a window. https://t.co/GkhcVm4cL4 Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p 1) I don't bluff. 2) I've personally seen the video. 3) I know for a fact the prosecution has it. Yeah, its a damaging video. Mr. Rittenhouse loved the camera that night. Big legal mistake. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p No, that isn't the point you just made: "it is going to be hard to find jurors who were not impacted in some way by the rioting and looting and personally disgusted by it." Be honest about what you said. None of that has any bearing on the actual shooting. At all. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p An AR-15 has a range of 500 meters. Once someone threatens to murder you with one, running isn't perhaps the best strategy. Also, Mr. Rosenbaum had friends in the area. 3rd Party defensive privilege likely might also kick in during this scenario. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Well, now you're simply preaching fascism. Ignore the laws when you

- simply don't like the person shot. It's a dangerous game to play once the other side decides to do the same thing. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p No, you are willfully excluding potential witness testimony, the video where Mr. Rittenhouse brags about being vicious, and Dominick Black's statements. Sooooo. OK. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p So... You can be threatened with lethal force and decide not to use the self-defense privilege the law entitles you to. That's fine. Your call. But you can't find fault with others who might decide they need to do something about the person threatening unlawful force on them Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse told Mr. Black he "shot a kid"; that's on record. Mr. Rosenbaum had his shirt covering his face at the time of the shooting; it's clear Mr. Rittenhouse had no idea who he was shooting. So moot. And another reason why talking to cops is a bad idea. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p I'm not trying the case. Unlike you, I'm allowing a little room for uncertainty, as I don't have access to witness testimony. You're treating this case as an all but certain acquittal, when that is the last thing it is. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p And they could have gone to prison for that. Castle doctrine doesn't start at the outdoor property line. If you think people who point firearms at eight year olds shouldn't go to prison, you are a deeply damaged person. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p I'm not sure why you keep on saying "2 blocks" when that factually hasn't been remotely established. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p The journalist didn't say Rosenbaum "snuck up" behind Mr. Rittenhouse. I've read the criminal compliant. He said Mr. Rosenbaum was moving toward him, which would be understandable if Mr. Rittenhouse had just threatened to kill him off camera. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Indiscriminate round-up of protestors gets cities in trouble all the time. https://t.co/gMusxuA6Y7 Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Someone is a second away from shooting you with a held weapon and after they loudly order you to stop doing something, you aren't worried they are going to shoot you if you ignore them? I gotta say, I think that is an unrealistic standard. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p That's not accurate. "Steal" implies to permanently take. The journalist said no such thing. And he is clear he didn't see what led to the confrontation. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p If someone yelled at you to stop doing something while confronting

- you with a weapon held at low ready, would you feel safe continuing said activity? Be honest now, and if you don't feel safe, answer why? Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Mr. Rittenhouse's statements to police will be admitted. Mr. Black's statements will be admitted. Testimony about brandishes will be admitted. Mr. Rittenhouse bragging about being vicious will be admitted. This is all not so great stuff. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p More like Mr. Rittenhouse quickly exited after threatening Mr. Rosenbaum with a long range weapon over a window. You can't do that and suddenly have self-defense priv restored. Talking to police without a lawyer = bad. https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Mr. Rittenhouse confessed to police he engaged Mr. Rosenbaum over a window. https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 You are probably watching the aftermath. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I'm qualifying my statements based on probabilities. Mr. Rittenhouse had his weapon perpetually held around low ready. If that's his default, I'm confident he did something more than that when "trying to stop" Mr. Rosenbaum. Frankly, low ready could trigger self-defense priv. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Mr. Rittenhouse can only use what he observed with his five senses as reasons for shooting Mr. Rosenbaum. Full stop. And if he initiated the confrontation with a held weapon, which he seems to have confessed to doing, the bar for proving that is indeed high. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost If Mr. Rittenhouse had run to the defense of this man instead of most likely threatening Mr. Rosenbaum over a window, you might have a semblance of a point. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Uh, yeah, he said his intentions were to be vicious while smiling from ear to ear on camera. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost It's not irrelevant when Mr. Rittenhouse bragged about being vicious on camera, has eye witnesses testifying under oath he brandished his weapon at them, and his own confession he started the armed confrontation. If you don't understand that deep hole, well... Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost It is what the Law allows frankly. If someone threatens to kill you unlawfully with a long range weapon, the person being threatened has a self-defense right that doesn't go away until the conflict is clearly over. With a 500 meter ranged weapon, well... Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Irrelevant. You can have a decent sized group of agitators but still a much larger group of peaceful protestors, out lawfully per government order. Sorry, you can't simply point at everyone and call them a "mob". Legally unjustifiable. Aug 05, 2021

- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost The problem is you are saying everyone out that night was part of a mob. That is factually incorrect on the face of it. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Again, you are alleging what is inherently unprovable. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost If you are part of a mob, by definition you are more than a "Gawker". Again, you paint far to wide a brush. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost If you actually look at the videos, the majority of people are hanging around texting on their phones. Yes, there are unsavory types, but to argue everyone was a rioter/looter is defective on the face of the statement. A small minority can cause expensive damage. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost I mean, there is literally no evidence of that. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost No, the curfew had a First Amendment exemption. It was in the text of the order Anyone out peacefully protesting had a lawful right to be there. If you have evidence to show Mr. Grosskreutz specially was there to help rioters/looters, please bring it forward. Otherwise, BS Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost You're making the argument everyone there was a looter/rioter. Factually, that isn't supportable. Hence your point is moot. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Not really. There's plenty not to like about the videos from a self-defense perspective. And again, there are Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse's own statements that Mr. Rittenhouse initiated the armed confrontation and that Mr. Rittenhouse knew it could go poorly. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Not necessarily actually. Self-defense in Wisconsin is an affirmative defense in court. Mr. Rittenhouse's attorney will need to present a compelling case the shot was in self-defense. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost No, not really. The standard for Mr. Rosenbaum will likely be a guy just threatened with murder from a guy brandishing an AR-15. If Mr. Rittenhouse didn't want to put someone in that state of mind, he probably shouldn't have possibly threatened murder. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Irrelevant. Again, you should read Wisconsin vs. Johnson. https://t.co/tbUVxX4SFE If someone is outside your house under contract to kill you, but you don't know that, brandish him to be an ass, and then kill him when he reacts, that's still technically murder. Aug 05, 2021

 ■
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost It doesn't matter what an "expert's" opinion is. You have a man quite possibly threatened with murder who has to make a decision under that duress. Simply put, Mr. Rittenhouse very likely never regained self defense privilege. Aug 05, 2021

- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Honestly "Charlesiskylerittenhouse", if you are indeed a witness. I would get off Twitter. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa @p Again, it is irrelevant and won't be admitted at trial. Wisconsin vs. Johnson is instructive here. The question is did Mr. Rittenhouse have a legal rationale IN THE MOMENT for pulling the trigger. A criminal past he doesn't know about clearly isn't that. https://t.co/tbUVxX4SFE Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost An AR-15 has a range of 500 meters. Yeah, once someone threatens your life with one, you really can't just feel safe if they skip off. It's why the self-defense statute requires appropriate communication before self-defense privilege can be restored for the initial agitant. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost No, it makes perfect sense. You run from knives, but swarm guns. Because you can't outrun a bullet from a highly accurate AR-15. That's just common sense. Aug 05, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost OK. fellas. I've been pretty clear I don't know 100% if the rifle was actually pointed or brandished. I HIGHLY suspect so. What I do know is the carry style itself was inherently threatening, basically at perpetual low ready, as noted by multiple people. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Honestly, if you watch the video from the right angle, Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't react to the shot at all. Yeah, the shot will be admitted, but the idea of the gun being stolen or not? Pretty extraneous stuff. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Mr. Rittenhouse admitted to Mr. Black and the police he started the confrontation. A confession usually isn't meaningless. Perhaps he thought he was legally privileged to threaten lethal force over a window. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Irrelevant. Won't be introduced at trial. And even if it were, the idea it was stolen is plausible. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost 1) I suspect there will be sworn witnesses. 2) How do you "try to stop" someone from doing something when the only tool in your arsenal is a held weapon you already have at low ready? Yeah, I think a jury might make the tiny leap necessary here. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @Charlesiskyler1 @freekyleusa @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost So, you say he lied, but then say maybe he didn't? hmm... Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Whether Mr. Rittenhouse fired initially doesn't matter. If he threatened Mr. Rosenbaum with a held weapon, then Mr. Rosenbaum would have self-defense priv. to disarm. My strong guess is that happened, given the statements we have and Mr. Rittenhouse's pledge to be vicious. Aug 05, 2021
- @SkarletJoNancy @Charlesiskyler1 @JDavis6987 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa Why everyone is obsessed with Mr. Rosenbaum's background is beyond me. It won't be admissible in court, as Mr. Rittenhouse had no way of knowing about said

- background. Put straight, what is on trial are his shooting decisions, based on what he knew at the time. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Well, you just made that up, so.... Whose the liar? <u>Aug 05, 2021</u>
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse was not legally privileged to shoot Mr. Rosenbaum should he ignore his commands. As a such, a threatening carry and quite possibly a brandish would be illegal bullshit. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Again, its irrelevant. He doesn't have a dog in this fight. He's facing felony charges himself. He has no compelling reason to lie about what happened. And again, it might just confirm what Mr. Rittenhouse already has confessed to. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost If someone confronted you, yelling at you to stop doing something while holding an AR-15 at low ready, would you feel safe continuing said activity? I don't think you can answer "Yes" truthfully there. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost "At some point during the night, Rittenhouse said, he tried stop an unnamed man from hitting windows and the man began to chase him." Simply put, you have two similar statements that indicate Mr. Rittenhouse started it. One by the actual suspect. 2/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Again, you have Mr. Black's statement to police, and Mr. Rittenhouse pretty much said the same thing to police, apparently. https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 1/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @HerfelLee Here's another one: https://t.co/KEZcGYdyBQ Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost You're making the assumption every witness was a rioter/looter. That simply isn't remotely the case. And frankly, it's irrelevant. Reckless homicide cases by nature aren't particularly pretty. It also doesn't help Mr. Rittenhouse bragged about being vicious earlier. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost It comes down to who started it. If Mr. Rittenhouse started the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum with a held weapon, self defense privilege likely goes to Mr. Rosenbaum. Mr. Rittenhouse, having unlawfully threatened with the weapon, can't fire against lawful interference. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost And yes, if you brandish a weapon at someone over breaking a window or other vandalism, it is highly illegal and privileges the other party for self defense. Ultimately, you can't fire over breaking a window or vandalism, so brandishing is a big no-no. 2/2 Aug 05, 2021 @
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Hearing a confession from a suspect is NOT hearsay, albeit Mr. Black will need to testify in court formally. Mr. Rittenhouse had his weapon most likely at low ready at least. I suspect he brandished it; an eye witness might confirm. There are several slated for the trial 1/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @HerfelLee I mean dude, there's all the video in the world out there. Here is another one: https://t.co/KEZcGYdyBQ A violent mob trying to break down the last barrier of

- defense. Someone getting shot trying to enter a secure area. It is what it is. Some lines you just can't cross. Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Ultimately, you have someone violating curfew with a held weapon yelling a command at someone to stop doing something. It's hard to not see how the authority of the command doesn't stem from the held weapon or the inherent threat being made should the recipient not comply. 3/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Some things to keep in mind. 1) Mr. Rittenhouse was not legally privileged to threaten lethal force to defend any property. 2) Mr. Rittenhouse's carry style was inherently threatening, noted by multiple ppl. 3) Mr. Rittenhouse brandished other ppl according to witnesses. 2/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @Investor4201 @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @CivilLost Right here. https://t.co/GkhcVm4cL4 According to this relayed account, Mr. Rittenhouse even asked his militia buddy to have his back before challenging Rosenbaum. In other words, he knew in advance the encounter could go south 1/2 Aug 05, 2021
- @HerfelLee Bombs had already been found. This might come as a surprise, but Capitol Police can't let someone compromise every level of defense and then blow up half the lawmakers in the chamber. Aug 05, 2021
- @drexrawson @thehill Yeah, it's bullshit because the mob was yelling at the top of their lungs. It's on video. Any commands would naturally not been heard. Aug 05, 2021
- @drexrawson @thehill The swat team wasn't visible because they hadn't taken a position by the door yet and the dumbass mob cracked the glass on the doors, obstructing the view through them. And the mob was yelling at the top of their lungs, drowning out any commands. It's proven on video. Aug 05, 2021
- @drexrawson @thehill Uh, the violent mob breaking down the barricaded door with weapons were shouting at the top of their lungs. Any warnings from the officer were drowned out. That said, multiple people were yelling about the gun. She stupidly chose to disregard those warnings. Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @stevenwynne15 @Investor4201 @CivilLost The analogy really fails when you take into account Dominick Black's statement to the police, involving Mr. Rittenhouse starting the armed confrontation over a window. That really isn't "minding our own business". Aug 05, 2021
- @highstakesbet @NerdHQ4U @nurseemom @thehill There's no reason to loudly close a case involving a legal homicide. Castle doctrine alone justifies the shot. As far as insurrection charges, wait. Premeditative evidence takes time to build out. Aug 05, 2021
- @jacquelinenana Didn't happen. Aug 05, 2021
- @HerfelLee And your 20 feet away idiocy? Dude, the shot was from maybe three feet away. The officer was literally on the other side of the barricade. People were yelling about his gun, she just decided to try her luck. It was a lethal mistake. Aug 05, 2021
- @HerfelLee Uh, that's the problem chief. A violent mob was using weapons to violently break down the last barricaded line of defense to get to lawmakers the Capitol Police is sworn to protect. That is clearly shown on video and is the unvarnished truth. It more than justifies the shot. Aug 05, 2021

- @HerfelLee Uh, what specific evidence would overturn the video we already have? Castle doctrine alone justifies the shooting. And it was an excellent shot. Aug 05, 2021
- @Tiffeeee @EscanorSucks https://t.co/OS4UDJPXAN Didn't haopen Aug 05, 2021
- @akasgtmom @SpeakerPelosi @GOPLeader @POTUS @MayorBowser @DCGovWeb
 @DCPoliceDept @CapitolPolice Didn't happen. https://t.co/OS4UDJPXAN Aug 05,
 2021 2021 https://t.co/OS4UDJPXAN Aug 05,
- @RealMattCouch Didn't happen. https://t.co/OS4UDJPXAN Aug 05, 2021 @
- @JDavis6987 @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa Not at all. For Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal past to be relevant, Mr. Rittenhouse would have had to know about it. Because he didn't, moot See Wisconsin vs. Johnson. https://t.co/tbUVxX4SFE Aug 05, 2021
- @freekyleusa @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @CivilLost Minding your own business doesn't mean starting a confrontation with someone over a window using a held weapon, per Dominick Black's statement to police. I don't know what the Twitter-verse analogy of that would even be. Aug 05, 2021
- @Charlesiskyler1 @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa I'm not saying its flattering. At all. But will the judge take an unarmed high school fight involving Mr. Rittenhouse's sister and apply that to an armed confrontation weeks later with strangers? The bar for inclusion feels high to me. Aug 04, 2021
- @kam33en @truth_revisited @Charles89638601 Mr. Rittenhouse confessed to the police he started the armed altercation with Mr. Rosenbaum. Mr. Black also relayed the same story to police. Probably happened off camera. Let's just say its never a good idea to talk to the police without a lawyer present. Aug 04, 2021
- @HerfelLee Simply put, there is no additional data that would remotely make the shooting unjustified. The problem is you are asking everything of the Capitol Police Officer and asking nothing of the violent mob trying to violently get to Congress. Aug 04, 2021
- @HerfelLee All irrelevant. You have a violent mob with weapons violently breaking down the last line of defense to get to federal lawmakers. The gun is noted multiple times but the dumbass doesn't care. Costs her life. https://t.co/nznbDNiYVI Aug 04, 2021 @
- @HerfelLee There is literally a freely available video that shows her getting shot for trying to breach the last line of defense to Congress along with a violent mob. <u>Aug 04</u>, 2021
- @vankat54 Then President Trump could have pardoned every January 6th participant with a one-pager. He called for an army and then betrayed every last one of them. Some are going to prison for years. Aug 04, 2021
- @Cpo10za @Will_Bunch Not sure. Presuming a conviction (an if), you have to think real hard about pardoning a convicted murderer. They go out and kill again, and your political career takes a hit. Aug 04, 2021
- @nat_sharpe_ On a lower level, yes, I believe that is the case. It's why its often still fairly emotional when a high school relationship somehow doesn't carry forward to college or from college to post-graduation. Aug 03, 2021
- @nat_sharpe_ The underlying biological motivation for romance is procreation and having a strong mate for all aspects of that, including child rearing. Even if that isn't the surface goal, the underlying instincts make a "shorter commitment" a hard one. Aug 03, 2021

- @stevenwynne15 @KatSimkhovitch @MarkHoliday17 @Lord_Archrr @TheOldPoet @alshadowdancer @JenCages @CivilLost @L_istigatore @Booda_the_Great @22CB22CB @LadyOfTheOcean1 @ThePoorMan95 @TreatHunterDog @Saline_tRick @SHSH47678048 @Ravenblue1111 @NinishNinja @JDavis6987 @JeffreySHarper1 @krskipp @Jetson77 @snojustice1 @MMoore6025 @BsSlayah @Ravenblue111 @Vickie627 @sludgebomb2000 @MenVoters @SeniorBoobies @BackInBlack1975 @Silver_Strike @chronic_chels @LisaNicholo @misshellca @verbalese @Flattielover @Omy_aching_back @_Sassy_Nurse @PoliticsPot @Sinner_Lilith @Klee34036780 @NButkis51 @ThereseOSulliv2 @waywardmegan15 @catsequalneko @gopisdirty @catlady111310 @Epitome3 @BringOutTheDead That's legally not true. The interference on one's person also must be unlawful, per line one of the self defense statute. This is explored in-depth in: Wisconsin vs Johnson https://t.co/tbUVxX4SFE Aug 03, 2021
- @lsferguson @GOP I think you mean felony murder. Where is the cowardly MAGA dude who heard the warnings about the gun, and still boosted a woman through to test the waters? Charge him. Aug 03, 2021
- @torigriffin Fantastic. There can be a countersuit for the cost of the ammunition round. Aug 03, 2021
- @CaptainSFC Irrelevant. Bombs were found outside political party headquarters. Clearly targeting politicians. Well, where were a lot of them that day? It was an outstanding shot. Aug 03, 2021
- @CaptainSFC Two pipe bombs were found. Once explosives are in the mix, it is a VERY bad idea to try to compromise the last line of defense to get to federal lawmakers. https://t.co/6pPmDD1blw Aug 03, 2021 @
- @CaptainSFC Unfortunately, with bombs having already been found, you can't let someone breach the last line of defense and possibly blow up the lawmakers. Outstanding shot. Aug 03, 2021
- @SeanFulce2040 Where's the cowardly MAGA guy who heard the warnings about the gun and boosted a woman through the opening to test the waters? #felonymurder Aug 03, 2021
- @w_terrence Nope. Aug 03, 2021 @
- @CaptainSFC The MAGA men who heard the warnings about the gun and boosted a woman through the opening to test the water. #felonymurder #magamencowards Aug 03, 2021
- @hauda01 @robreiner When you try to violently break through the last line of defense to get to the lawmakers as part of a violent mob, you might just get shot. Aug 03, 2021
- @GeorgeTakei Mathematically, this seems like something Disney could have very easily worked out with her in good faith. They charge \$30 per Disney+ purchase, so this wouldn't have been hard. Aug 02, 2021
- @RenStatesman @barnes_law The actual defense team are the criminal defense attorneys registered to the case in the Wisconsin court system. Aug 02, 2021
- @stellasplace1 @barnes law "associated with". That is kinda key. Aug 02, 2021
- @TiffanyJAgain No, I said "immediate vicinity". The shots I'm talking about were about a minute after the shots that killed Mr. Rosenbaum. Lots of people on Twitter forget about these couple of shots. Aug 02, 2021

- @TiffanyJAgain You're missing the part where immediately before the skateboard hit, Mr. Rittenhouse fired off two wild shots. Basically, if you're in the vicinity of someone taking poorly aimed shots, you likely have legal privilege to do something about it (1st party and 3rd party defense) Aug 01, 2021
- @TiffanyJAgain 1) Mr. Rittenhouse had already wildly fired twice in the immediate area before the skateboard hit. 2) the hit was to the shoulder without much force. Indeed, the police found no evidence of serious injury. 3) Mr. Rittenhouse killed Mr. Huber on his way out. Just different. Aug 01, 2021
- @MsAnnaEliza @drsimonegold Nurses routinely are around people least able to fight off a COVID infection should they get infected. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn Irrelevant when the weapon used to threaten murder has a range of 500 meters. You can't threaten to murder someone and then skip away 50 feet thinking everything is wrapped up in a tidy little package. Doesn't work like that. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn I'd say if you've just threatened to murder someone with a long range gun, the assumption their interference on your person is unlawful is kinda a stretch. You have to pretend they have zero self-defense rights. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn It completely matters. If Mr. Rosenbaum was acting in lawful self-defense against Mr. Rittenhouse after being threatened with murder, Mr. Rittenhouse can't kill him. Line 1 of the self-defense clause says you can only use force against unlawful interference. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn That's the "proper notice" element of it. Would a reasonable person think they were no longer in danger after being held at gunpoint, with the gunman withdrawing 50 feet but maintaining a held weapon? Of course not. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn It's simply the Law. If someone were to threaten to murder you with an AR-15 and retreated, say 50 feet, when you got mad about it, would you deem yourself safe? Of course not. The whole point of the withdrawal clause is to show the original antagonist is no longer a threat. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn He didn't give notice at all. He scurried off with a weapon that he could kill them all with at long range. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn Yeah, he ran away when the militia backup he thought he had wasn't there and he found himself having just threatened murder with no backup. Per Dominick Black. So yeah, he ran without giving proper notice with a weapon that can kill from long range. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn The definition of vicious is "deliberately cruel or violent". That's his mindset. Yeah, it matters quite a bit. It shows his posturing that night was to be threatening. As he was when he "tried to stop" Rosenbaum. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn I think the video where Mr. Rittenhouse brags about being vicious and his own statements to the police about starting the armed confrontation aren't helpful. Witnesses don't need to provide the whole story only close some gaps that aren't on video. Aug 01, 2021

- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn You think they'll actually put Mr. Rittenhouse on the stand? I sure as hell wouldn't. "Mr. Rittenhouse, what did you mean when you were bragging about being vicious earlier?" Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn And they'll also be wary of an out-of-towner who forces a gun into the lives of their locales and kills them when he forces a hasty decision out of them. From a risk mitigation perspective, this is a no-brainer. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn No, not really. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn The fact you think everyone there fit those two camps... No, not really. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn We clearly disagree on the ease of all that. Most witnesses under oath will be deemed credible. Simply put, they don't really have a dog in the outcome. Mr. Rittenhouse already admitted to starting the confrontation. And he threatened to kill someone else earlier. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn Another witness will be testifying Mr. Rittenhouse held him at gunpoint that evening while he was getting into his car. That will establish method of operation. And as I said, I think another eye witness will clear this up. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn If you're holding a weapon at low ready (or quite possibly aimed), yeah, that could come across as threatening. I suspect some eye witnesses will clear this up. Indeed, Mr. Rittenhouse bragged earlier in the night about being vicious. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn "At some point during the night, Rittenhouse said, he tried stop an unnamed man from hitting windows and the man began to chase him." Dominick Black's statement to the police also echoed this. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn That's where "with proper notice" comes in. If you threaten someone with an AR-15, you can't simply scurry off when the other guy gets mad and call that "proper notice", because you could just snipe away from 100 feet. The article is clear who started the confrontation Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn Source: https://t.co/2307ugzCR5 And "good faith, with proper notice" is really hard, when you've just decided to threaten someone with a long range weapon. Sorry, I don't see it here. Aug 01, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @turtlepower220 @Brandon_Bahret @ActualCorn 1) Mr. Rittenhouse confessed to the police he started the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum. 2) Withdrawal from a fight is contingent on the range of weapons involved. The AR-15 has a range of 500 meters. Aug 01, 2021
- @MamaPopokiATX The moral of the story is don't talk to police without a lawyer. Mr. Black confessed he knew Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't supposed to have the weapon. Pretty much eliminates "the law is ambiguous defense." Jul 31, 2021
- @MamaPopokiATX No, he literally blurted it out in the police station lobby when he went to turn himself in. His friend Dominick Black repeated the same story to police.

- None of this was under duress. You'd be surprised how much people give up in "friendly conversations" with police. Jul 31, 2021
- @MamaPopokiATX Mr. Rittenhouse confessed to police he started the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum. Probably happened off camera. Mr. Black, his friend, also told police the same story. That changes the dynamics of things. Jul 31, 2021
- @Shiestermiestel @IgG1purifier @NATHANINSOCAL @daveriverz @freekyleusa @FoxNews @ShannonBream @TuckerCarlson There are elements of Mr. Rittenhouse's story that don't add up. What I don't think is wrong is him initially confronting Mr. Rosenbaum, with a held weapon. I'm hoping there is an eye witness who saw that. Jul 30, 2021
- @IgG1purifier @Shiestermieste1 @NATHANINSOCAL @daveriverz @freekyleusa @FoxNews @ShannonBream @TuckerCarlson Mr. Rittenhouse confessed to Mr. Black and the police he initiated the armed confrontation over a window. Jul 30, 2021
- @michaelmalice Mr. Rittenhouse would have shot a couple people and that would have been used as a reason for the insurrectionists to break out the cache of AR-15s the feds found. Massive casualties. Jul 30, 2021
- @evleaks Moto G5 Plus. Had decent performance, but the camera disappointed and it died on me twice (second time out of warranty). https://t.co/M4hnYP0Xep Jul 30, 2021
- @evleaks For its time, the Lumia 820. Awesome OS. Cortana AI. Decent camera. Replaceable Battery. Wouldn't run one today, but when it launched, it was top rate. https://t.co/THWbJrR8V4 Jul 30, 2021
- @Lord_Archrr @jdorchen @realHeatherD @JustFreedom1776 If an eye witness saw Mr. Rittenhouse brandish his weapon at Mr. Rosenbaum, then regaining self-defense privilege with that weapon is a hard sell, given you can run away and just snipe people. I suspect such testimony will occur. Jul 29, 2021
- @Lord_Archrr @jdorchen @realHeatherD @JustFreedom1776 Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black told police Mr. Rittenhouse initiated the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum over him pounding on a window. What they described clearly occured off camera and regaining defensive privilege is a high climb when your weapon can kill from 500 meters Jul 29, 2021
- @AaronHorrocks @blckriflecoffee @CoffeeOrDieMag Here's the thing. BRCC will more than happily block people who do nothing but tell them how they should run their company. Full stop. Jul 29, 2021
- @Kenosha_News Great article. As a note, I think the maximum sentencing per charge is 6 years, not 3 years. Jul 29, 2021
- @Orcanut1 @freekyleusa @selfdefense @2A "sorta" really describes it. He falls in pretty quickly with what they were doing that night. I'm sure the prosecutors have this and the other Rundown Live video. Jul 29, 2021
- @deneenknews Noticed this. Didn't see any action on outstanding motion to dismiss. <u>Jul</u> 29, 2021
- @BenjiSales Master Chief was an ineffective love sick puppy in this one. It's never a good idea to make your protagonist ineffective. Jul 29, 2021
- @SNCshares Yeah, it was one of the most unfortunately pathetic things I've ever seen on video. Tragic yes. Meaningful, no. <u>Jul 29, 2021</u> ▶
- @SNCshares @DeannaDeanna061 @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit Nah, she'll let the dead be the dead. No lawmaker on the committee is seriously going to question the guard

- who helped save their lives. A meaningless death will remain a meaningless death. The only matter left is accounting. The estate owes the US gov the price of one bullet. <u>Jul 29</u>, 2021
- @SNCshares I said to bombs had been found. I never said they were on her. Learn to read. Jul 29, 2021
- @SNCshares @DeannaDeanna061 @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit She made it to literally the last line of defense before you'd actually get to the lawmakers. To make it dumber, security with pistols drawn had already been noted at the front of the chamber. Like a dumbass, she thought she could get in through the back. Nope. Gun there too. Jul 29, 2021 ☑
- @SNCshares @DeannaDeanna061 @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit I think the naive person was the poor woman shot down like a dog. Jul 29, 2021
- @SNCshares @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit I'd imagine found bombs get conveyed pretty quickly through police radio chief. Jul 29, 2021
- @SNCshares @DeannaDeanna061 @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit It was a cold blooded felony murder. A MAGA guy heard the warnings of the gun and still boosted her through the opening. They should send him to prison for a decade or two. Jul 29, 2021
- @2012BNEWS @SNCshares @gatewaypundit Stop projecting. Lol Jul 29, 2021
- @SNCshares @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit Two bombs were found at the RNC and the DNC chief. In other words, politicians were being targeted with explosives. So when a violent mob tries to force their way past the last line of defense to more politicians, well, that's when flying lead happens. Jul 29, 2021
- @DeannaDeanna061 @SNCshares @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit She was effectively felony murdered by the MAGA men who heard warnings of the gun, but boosted her through anyway. There are some lines in life where if you cross them, you're dead. The last line of defense to Congress happens to be one. Jul 29, 2021
- @SNCshares @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit I never said she had a bomb, only that they were know risks. But if you are the last line of defense before the lawmakers you are sworn to defend, you don't have the luxury of assuming there isn't an explosive device. it's weapons free at that point. Sorry, Jul 29, 2021
- @Entendre667 We've all seen the video pal. If you can't hear people yelling about the gun and not see a male helping her through the opening, then putting the video URL here isn't gonna make you less blind. Outstanding shot. Jul 29, 2021
- @Entendre667 It was kinda a big deal https://t.co/BH2yecCar5_Jul_29, 2021 @
- @SNCshares @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit 2 bombs were found chief. They had to be disarmed. And yes, she was boosted through the opening while people were yelling a gun was waiting for her. If MAGA idiots hadn't broken the door glass, making it opaque, maybe the guard could have seen the reinforcements. 2 bad. Jul 29, 2021
- @2012BNEWS Keep getting women killed champ. Jul 29, 2021
- @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit I can't think of a better representation of MAGA than loud begrudged men yelling for action and then shoving a women through to actually catch the bullets. The fact you can't see that is sad. Jul 29, 2021
- @juliaioffe Player Jul 29, 2021 🗗
- @SamuelZ73716928 @dblk118 @LisaRichter77 @ChickenNugLover @freekyleusa @Kylesmom19741 @kylesmom20031 Is this a trick to trigger a violation of bail requirements? Jul 29, 2021

- @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit If she got through, many more would have followed. It sucks that brave MAGA men saw the gun, and boosted a woman through the opening to test the waters. You are in insanely brave company. Such wonderfully brave MAGA men, letting women catch lead for you. Jul 29, 2021
- @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit You don't know a bomb is non-existent until you take out the moron trying to blitz their way past the last line of defense to get to the lawmakers. It was an outstanding shot. Jul 28, 2021
- @freekyleusa No, not really. This case is unique in so many ways and criminal jury decisions really don't create precedent. At best, this is a local referendum on the validity of armed vigilantism with perpetually held long range weapons, and what creates irredeemable provocation. Jul 28, 2021
- @HairHoKitty @Politics_PR Yeah, a lawyer isn't gonna be able to do much for this one: https://t.co/K2ojbm0ncl Jul 28, 2021
- @2012BNEWS @gatewaypundit Bombs were in play. The guard at the last line of defense couldn't risk half the chamber getting blown up. Sorry, there are just some lines you can't cross. Jul 28, 2021
- @AncappaThaRappa It would have been worse. Mr. Rittenhouse would have used his weapon on a few people and then the lethal weapons outside the Capitol the FBI found later would have been brought into the mix. Jul 28, 2021
- @agentbizzle Launchpad McQuack "It could have been worse. It could have been something new." Jul 28, 2021
- @MouthCrayon @freekyleusa I'm not saying an acquittal is impossible. Just that the shootings are nothing alike. Adult vs Minor Castle vs Non-Castle Sworn Officer vs Vigilante Not Looking for Trouble vs "Running into harm's way" Jul 28, 2021
- @MouthCrayon @freekyleusa Not really. One person was on the job and had to repel invaders in an enclosed space (castle doctrine in play). The other person electively went to a protest/riot. and per their own confession, started an armed conflict with someone they later shot. Not legally comparable. Jul 28, 2021
- @DeltaCanuckian Yep. Jul 28, 2021
- @Shuttlecock Got it working after a reinstall. Brilliant graphics. Probably will keep it on easy and enjoy the flying for a while. Jul 28, 2021
- @TexasOilCoInc1 The MAGA men who saw the gun and boosted her through to test the waters. Brave chaps. #felonymurder Jul 28, 2021
- @MediaRival The MAGA men who saw the gun and boosted her through to test the waters. Brave chaps. #felonymurder Jul 28, 2021
- @Truecubbyblue15 @freekyleusa Blocked. Guess I touched a nerve Jul 28, 2021 @
- @getshtton @ks603283174 As for Dominick Black flipping, a lot is riding on his motion to dismiss (decision by 7/29?). If the judge shoots it down, you might see more cooperation. Jul 28, 2021
- @getshtton @ks603283174 Mr Rittenhouse bragged on camera about being vicious earlier in the night. If not an outright desire to kill someone, he definitely was intending to be threatening. Jul 28, 2021
- @XboxP3 @MSFSofficial Downloaded it, and it crashes after a few seconds of n the launch screen. Suggestions? Jul 28, 2021
- @Shuttlecock We can't get past the launch screen over here. I get the feeling this will require a week or two patches Jul 27, 2021

- @florinpop1705 LOGO. <u>Jul 27, 2021</u>
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Irrelevant, no picture is necessary with sworn testimony. Maybe Mr. Rittenhouse should have worn a body cam. Would have been smart. Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed What was described by Mr. Rittenhouse isn't on any footage. So it clearly happened off camera. Sworn testimony will have to do! <u>Jul</u> 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Sure I can. If his default posture was low ready, imagine his gun gesture when he is "trying to stop" someone from doing something. In any event, I'm sure eyewitnesses will clear this up. Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Mr. Rittenhouse's carry style was inherently threatening. He was at low ready perpetually. This was noted by multiple people, including in the criminal complaint. That makes everything else you said irrelevant. Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Because Mr. Rittenhouse's carry style was inherently threatening. He was at low ready perpetually. <u>Jul 27, 2021</u>
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Clearly, what was described wasn't captured on footage. Non-existence of footage doesn't mean something didn't happen. And if you think running for your life against a hyper accurate AR-15 is a good plan, I can't help you. Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed And yes, if you threaten to kill someone with a long range weapon, you've easily triggered self-defense and third-party defense privilege for everyone in the immediate area. And no real way to withdraw in good faith when your weapon has a range of 500 meters. Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Lol. How do you "try to stop" when the only tool at your disposal is the held AR-15? Note the wording is "try to stop", not "told to stop". Understand, eye witnesses who can confirm this probably are shielded from the public. Jul 27, 2021
- @Truecubbyblue15 @freekyleusa Source 2: Police interview of Dominick Black https://t.co/GkhcVm4cL4 Jul 27, 2021
- @Truecubbyblue15 @freekyleusa Source 1: https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 "At some point during the night, Rittenhouse said, he tried stop an unnamed man from hitting windows and the man began to chase him." 1/2 Jul 27, 2021
- @Truecubbyblue15 @freekyleusa Mr. Rittenhouse literally confessed to the police he initiated the confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum. Dominick Black also told police the same. He literally confessed to starting the confrontation. Jul 27, 2021
- @Truecubbyblue15 @freekyleusa Mr. Rittenhouse told police he initiated the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum. Mr. Black relayed the same story to police. Probably happened off camera. This would change the self-defense dynamic significantly. Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Put straight, you can't brandish a weapon at someone over them pounding on car windows. And if your still held weapon has a range of 500 meters, withdrawal as a means of regaining self-defense privilege is very much a hill to climb. Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed The video clip of Dominick Black relaying the same story: https://t.co/GkhcVm4cL4 Jul 27, 2021 @

- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 "At some point during the night, Rittenhouse said, he tried stop an unnamed man from hitting windows and the man began to chase him." If an eye-witness confirmed this try was with a weapon... Jul 27, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @NVRAGN3 @tariqnasheed Mr. Rittenhouse told police he started the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum. Mr. Black relayed the same story. This likely happened off camera before the footage we have. That changes the dynamics of self-defense privilege dramatically. Jul 27, 2021
- @enbyberrypie @LikeTrivia @YayaYastic @elliekuric @theserfstv Quick heads up. Mr. Rittenhouse told police he started the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum. Mr. Black also confirmed that to police. Probably happened off camera; what you see is the aftermath. Jul 27, 2021
- @The_Raven97 @Major_Coins @SLVTLVSTPPP @AsianDawn4 @GOPChairwoman @JudiciaryGOP I think you mean prosecute, not persecute. Jul 27, 2021
- @Thurrottfeed @thurrott I get the feeling sales of the extra storage card will suddenly go up... Jul 26, 2021 @
- @210_tw @EvanHafer Here's the thing. The vigilantism you describe, a "force multiplier", is inherently illegal in Wisconsin. Black Rifle Coffee perhaps believes he should be acquitted for any number of reasons, however, the company is not going to glorify an inherently illegal posture. Jul 26, 2021
- @ElectricCardif @owlgodd1 @fr3b0 @AmyAThatcher Meh, life is short. Enjoy the block Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher Well, let's leave it at that. Night Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @fr3b0 @AmyAThatcher You can go from low ready to actively firing in a split second. Low Ready vs aimed isn't a strong functional difference when it comes to fleeing at AR-15. They are truly accurate and deadly weapons. That said, my guess is there is an eye witness, but that is just that, a guess Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @fr3b0 @AmyAThatcher And truly, it doesn't really need to be a gun point. Any emphasis on the weapon while demanding something would qualify as a brandish. Basically, is the gun being used as leverage in the demand Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @fr3b0 @AmyAThatcher It was mentioned in a Chicago Tribune article. And Dominick Black said the same thing. That's on YouTube. Of course, the real question is whether there is another eye witness. I suspect there is, but is nonpublic information. Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher No. This is a classic inside/outside castle doctrine question. The moment someone succeeds in entering the home by force, you have a lot of discretion to threaten and use lethal force. Outside the home, not really. Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher No, because if he was the initial aggressor, he needed to do for than flee. He had to establish he was no longer an active threat, which he failed at miserably. Again, the range of the gun meant he remained a threat at 500 meters <u>Jul 26</u>, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher Uh, yeah, you legally can't point a lethal weapon at someone for pounding on a window that isn't even yours, demanding they stop. Simply put, the law doesn't allow for property protection vigilantism Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher It's why it is a bad idea to threaten to murder people with an AR-15. Because legally, they get to act like someone who has the trauma of being

- threatened with execution. You demand cognitive perfection from someone staring down the barrel of a gun. I don't think a jury will. Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher Mr. Rittenhouse issued a murder threat, ordering Mr. Rosenbaum to stop hitting a car window while pointing a weapon. This is highly illegal and a good premise to disarm anyone. Basically, if you threaten to kill someone, you will probably have to own the reaction. Jul 26, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher And if you think running away from someone who has threatened to murder you with a highly accurate AR-15 is actually a good plan, I can't help you. Jul 25, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher Rittenhouse only fled when chased. Why chase him? He's just threatened to murder you and maybe if you give him the chance he'll spin around and do so. Or snipe you from a safe distance. Also the charge is reckless homicide. It's not about intentionality. Jul 25, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher Now, if Mr. Rittenhouse had a method of carry that allowed for back mount or chest clip, arguably he could have demonstrated moving away from a ready to shoot status. Unfortunately, he had a hunters sling, which requires hands. Jul 25, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher In short, a jury can and will take into account the weapon involved with any provocation and use that to calibrate if someone truly withdrew from a confrontation. In this case, I'd say no. Jul 25, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher It comes down to this. If someone threatened to murder you with a long range rifle and then skipped away maybe 50 feet, would you feel you were no longer being threatened? Of course not. An AR15 is a crappy weapon to threaten murder with, because withdrawal in good faith is hard Jul 25, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher Unfortunately, you ignore the fact that Mr. Rittenhouse'a weapon had an effective range of 500 meters and he kept it at low ready. As such he never faithfully withdrew from the confrontation and thus likely never regained self-defense privilege 1/2 Jul 25, 2021
- @PizonChristine @LovesTheWords There isn't much going on. Next motion hearing isn't until September. That said, Dominick Black's motion to dismiss his gun charges might be decided on 7/29. That will be very relevant to the Mr. Rittenhouse case. Jul 25, 2021
- @owlgodd1 @AmyAThatcher Mr. Rittenhouse told the police he initiated the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum over a window. Dominick Black's statement to the police echoed the same. Likely happened off camera. There's a real chance Mr. Rosenbaum chased someone who was threatening to kill him. Jul 25, 2021
- @_p_rittenhouse Lol. I would use them if they were in my neck of the woods. <u>Jul 25</u>, 2021
- @freekyleusa @XxPh3420 @MIAagainstFash Not sure what you mean. Jul 25, 2021 @
- @KEENRULER @meauxgreen @RobertGrulerEsq The biggest obstacles to Mr. Rittenhouse's freedom are the statements he and Dominick Black gave police. You should NEVER discuss any criminal matter in the presence of law enforcement, without a lawyer present. Ever. Jul 25, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @RobertGrulerEsq Sorry man :(Jul 25, 2021 @
- @Darksolstice99 @ashamancurtis They denounced the folks who justify Mr. Rittenhouse's actions with logic like "no one died, only communists." There is a

- disgusting segment who have Jesus-ified the kid for their own dark views of the world. Jul 25, 2021
- @barnes_law They said nothing specific about the kid. They had some choice words for folks who were defending Mr. Rittenhouse's actions using fascist like logic. Even the actual defense team wants that shit to stop. Jul 25, 2021
- @blckriflecoffee @AaronHorrocks @CoffeeOrDieMag I believe the proper corporate answer would be "we support situational self-defense and are waiting for the full facts of this matter to be known in court, as the video doesn't show all of what happened." 2/2 Jul 25, 2021
- @blckriflecoffee @AaronHorrocks @CoffeeOrDieMag You do understand Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black told the police Mr. Rittenhouse started the armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum, correct? What you are inherently saying is someone who goes around threatening to murder someone with an AR-15 can't be disarmed. 1/2 Jul 25, 2021
- @MatthewCh27 @PettersenMark @TVSiangdun From what I could tell, the sister threw the first attack and then Mr. Rittenhouse jumped in a second or two later. Looked like a group beat down to me. Jul 25, 2021
- @SanMiguelNews @elonmusk The "it's valuable because it's scarce" argument is strained when new currencies launch almost every week. Jul 25, 2021
- @SanMiguelNews @fr3b0 Of course not. This isn't so much about "duty to retreat" so much as it is regaining defense privilege after a serious lethal provocation. Given the range of the weapon involved, that's a hard hill to climb. Jul 25, 2021
- @SanMiguelNews @fr3b0 The difference is purely this. The whole point of faithful withdrawal from a fight is to show you don't remain an active threat. That's the common sense rationale. If someone threatened to murder you with a long range rifle and then skipped away 75 feet. would you feel safe? Jul 25, 2021
- @SanMiguelNews @fr3b0 The prosecution was clear in their last motion that Mr. Rosenbaum and others responding to Mr. Rittenhouse were acting in lawful defense. Mr. Rittenhouse could only use lethal force against unlawful interference, per statute. That's line one of the self-defense statute. Jul 25, 2021
- @SanMiguelNews @fr3b0 Both Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black told police Mr. Rittenhouse started the confrontation chief. I leave a little room for doubt because maybe they both lied. Retreat with a 500 meter range weapon isn't the same as retreat with a knife. You'd be foolish to think otherwise. Jul 25, 2021
- @MIAagainstFash @freekyleusa @XxPh3420 Oh, I doubt that. The latest motion came days after the NY Times article, and Mr. Rittenhouse wouldn't be at all required to answer questions under oath.. And his attorneys would have never let him. Jul 25, 2021
- @freekyleusa @XxPh3420 @MIAagainstFash The fact you are even responding to this shows this is an unneeded headache. If nothing else, it requires the defense to spend \$\$\$ on a response motion. Ultimately, I do question the relevance and so to will the judge. The idea the graph is forged. Poppycock. Jul 25, 2021
- @jd_erickson @veo_ortega @marcan42 The first charge is reckless homicide. Basically, it was a dumbass move with a 500 meter range weapon that possibly made it impossible for Mr. Rittenhouse to regain any privilege of legal self defense. Per Mr. Rittenhouse's own statements, he wasn't just there. Jul 25, 2021

- @jd_erickson @veo_ortega @marcan42 Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black (relaying Mr. Rittenhouse's story) told police Mr. Rittenhouse started the armed confrontation over a broken window. Hence the chase we saw on camera. Basically, he seemly confessed to threatening to murder Mr. Rosenbaum off camera. Jul 25, 2021
- @wowstartsnow @CSareen The approach looked really good on tablets, such as the Dell Venue 8 Pro. It just didn't make sense on large screen devices. Jul 25, 2021
- @SHANE5231 @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq That's not an accurate telling of the account. At all. Sorry I've read McGinnis's summary of what happened. At this point, you're just claiming stuff in bad faith, hence enjoy the block. Jul 25, 2021
- @SHANE5231 @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq The moment that triggered the pursuit of Mr. Rittenhouse isn't on video. I don't know why people keep claiming it is. It's such an easily verifiable lie. And no, there are no public eyewitness accounts of that moment either. Jul 25, 2021
- @SHANE5231 @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq There's no evidence Mr. Rosenbaum stalked Mr. Rittenhouse. It's an assumption you're drawing. I'll go with the account from Mr. Rittenhouse via Mr. Black, thanks. If Mr. Rittenhouse wishes to clear this up, the witness stand is his. Jul 25, 2021
- @SHANE5231 @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq Dominick Black is his best buddy. The interview seems genuine; I see no reason to question it. Why would you chase someone who just threatened to murder you with a long range weapon? Maybe because you realize you aren't safe within 500 meters of the kid. The D fire was b4 this. Jul 25, 2021
- @SHANE5231 @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq It's not hearsay, due to the defendant himself having made statements to the person relaying the information to the police. Now true, for this not to be hearsay in court, Mr. Black would need to give testimony. Hence why his trial is first. He won't be able to take the 5th. Jul 25, 2021
- @SHANE5231 @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq According to Dominick Black, Mr. Rittenhouse started an armed confrontation because Mr. Rosenbaum was pounding on car windows. Jul 25, 2021 ₽
- @SHANE5231 @Reverend_Rico @TexasDesign1 @Matters3Freedom @RobertGrulerEsq Again, that is contrary to the statement Dominick Black gave the police, based on what Mr. Rittenhouse told him. You can watch the interview yourself. Jul 25, 2021
- @SHANE5231 @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq You can want the Dominick Black police interview yourself. It's on YouTube. Jul 25, 2021
- @MJM128 @TexasDesign1 @RobertGrulerEsq The Dominick Black police interview indicates this is the case. He relayed to police what Mr. Rittenhouse said happened. Mr. Rittenhouse also made statements jn a police lobby; it is highly speculated he said a similar thing. Jul 25, 2021
- @Reverend_Rico @TexasDesign1 @Matters3Freedom @RobertGrulerEsq No video shows the moment that led to Mr. Rosenbaum chasing Mr. Rittenhouse. If there was video to this effect, we would have already seen it. Mr. Rittenhouse did apparently tell Mr. Black he tried to stop Mr. Rosenbaum from breaking a car window. Jul 25, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @RobertGrulerEsq They aren't turning on you bro. Being friendly is a great way to get suspects to blab. Dominick Black has an outstanding chance of being convicted because he was brought in for a "conversation". Jul 24, 2021

- @WaskelweeWabbit @devarosa11 @SenTedCruz The protocols for just getting people on the ship were haphazard. It wasn't for lack of wanting help. Jul 24, 2021
- @RobertGrulerEsq Which of course speaks to why you NEVER give statements to police without a lawyer. EVER. Jul 24, 2021
- @RobertGrulerEsq There's an old astronomy fact. The visible matter we can see with telescopes only accounts for 1/3rd of the mass necessary to explain our galaxy's rotation. I suspect the statement Mr. Black gave to police and what Mr. Rittenhouse said in the police lobby will be important. Jul 24, 2021
- @RobertGrulerEsq The Dominick Black statements to the police, regarding Mr. Rittenhouse starting the armed altercation, in their opinion probably makes the chase argument irrelevant. An AR-15 can kill from 500 meters. It doesn't have the same retreat argument as say, a knife. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews In any event, I think we're done Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews The range of the weapon will likely negate any retreat argument. As I noted, Mr. Rittenhouse simply could have followed up on his death threat with a distance shot. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews It probably happened before anything was captured on video champ. Existence of video isn't a qualifier here Jul 24, 2021 @
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews Go find it :) Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews The interview of Dominick Black at the police station is on video champ. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews The prosecution argues in their latest filing Mr. Rosenbaum was acting in lawful defense doing so. Mr. Rittenhouse can only use lethal force against unlawful interference, per statute. If the murder threat was real, that isn't a bad take. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews Yes, Mr. Rosenbaum closed the gap after Mr. Rittenhouse apparently threatened to murder him off camera (based on statements from Dominick Black) Jul 24, 2021
- @XxPh3420 @MIAagainstFash The implication of the hat autograph is Mr. Rittenhouse is saying he is the biggest fan of the Proud Boys. That's a headache the defense team doesn't need frankly. Probably won't be introduced into court, but still, a hell of an unforced error. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews That said, I wouldn't be surprised if a jury ultimately placed blame for the deaths on the adult who provided Mr. Rittenhouse the weapon, drove him to the area, and then left him with strangers to play militia. Not an impossible outcome. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews As for your point about witnesses, they are under police and court instruction not to discuss this case outside the courtroom. No news is not good news. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews Then there is the video earlier in the night where Mr. Rittenhouse bragged about being vicious, while grinning from ear to ear. Doesn't speak well to his intentions. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews In short, if Mr. Rittenhouse indeed told Dominick Black and the police he started the armed confrontation, which seems likely, the very nature of his consistently held weapon makes a withdrawal argument hard. He could simply snipe them all away at first opportunity. Jul 24, 2021

- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews An AR-15 is a sucky weapon to provoke someone with. The common sense rationale for withdrawing from a fight is the antagonist is no longer a threat. Works fine with fists, knives, bats, etc... A weapon whose whole point is long range advantage... Not seeing it. Jul 24, 2021
- @fr3b0 @SanMiguelNews A jury will need to decide what withdrawing means when your held weapon, which you keep at low ready, has a range of 500 meters. Simply put, if someone threatens to murder you with a long range gun, the retreat distance might be 500 meters. Jul 24, 2021
- @SanMiguelNews Mr. Rittenhouse's friend Dominick Black relayed to police that Mr. Rittenhouse started an armed confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum over a broken window. Essentially it is very possible Mr. Rittenhouse threatened to murder Mr. Rosenbaum off camera. Jul 24, 2021
- @ProtectOurRepub @PatriotHuth @Edweezy_22 @DoctorMcCoy2021 @BuzzPatterson @TeamPelosi Irrelevant. Once bombs have been found, you know explosives are in the mix, and you really have to ask yourself why is a violent mob breaking down doors and viciously attacking officers to get to Congress? Simply put, she couldn't be allowed in chamber, at any cost. Jul 24, 2021 ₽
- @Nomar5g @GrowlinAtTheSun @AP Wasn't really his friend's rifle. Mr. Rittenhouse supplied the money and his friend illegally bought the rifle for him. Dominick Black is facing up to 12 years in prison for it. Jul 24, 2021
- @PatriotHuth @Edweezy_22 @DoctorMcCoy2021 @ProtectOurRepub @BuzzPatterson @TeamPelosi Bombs were in play. Sorry, if you try to breach the last line of defense to Congress, the guards can't assume you aren't going to blow up half the lawmakers. There are just some lines in life where if you cross them, you're dead. Jul 24, 2021
- @JackPosobiec Because professional officers who are forced to kill rioters who might be smuggling bombs into the Congressional chambers are just doing their job. <u>Jul 24, 2021</u>
- @WeWork Depends on if I'm hungry. Jul 23, 2021
- @freekyle15 @PolitiFact @HouseGOP @JoeBiden The definition was of course repealed along with the 1994 Law, however the definition certainly isn't made up. Jul 23, 2021
- @freekyle15 @PolitiFact @HouseGOP @JoeBiden The definition is in the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 https://t.co/c7evxdxFeO See SEC. 110102. Jul 23, 2021
- @inside outfield @smcroasters https://t.co/nwKsvmvmJ8 Jul 23, 2021 @
- @jimmy_grogan @barnes_law Dominick Black relayed a story to police that Mr. Rittenhouse initiated the confrontation with Mr. Rosenbaum over striking a window. Mr. Rittenhouse seems to have made similar statements to police. If this is true, then Mr. Rittenhouse had threatened to murder Mr. Rosenbaum. Jul 23, 2021
- @smcroasters https://t.co/IMB6RRywAo Jul 23, 2021 @
- @jimmy_grogan @barnes_law It's simply a matter of precedent. There's so many unique factors in this case, it simply would never be applied as precedent in most other cases. That and jury decisions in criminal cases don't create precedent. Jul 23, 2021
- @jimmy_grogan @barnes_law Not really. Most self-defense cases don't start with armed vigilantism and a long gun. Jul 23, 2021

- @RantsOnMute @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Which is why it is a damn good idea to get the shot, because banking on the vaccinated people around you for protection is perhaps not such a hot bet anymore. Basically you can't be a free rider. Jul 23, 2021
- @Stillwatermark @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Don't be lazy. I've shared it in tons of replies on this thread Jul 23, 2021
- @KymC1019 @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Hundreds of millions of people have gotten the shot. Synthetic spike proteins aren't dangerous. https://t.co/LWcJpuGNmT Jul 23, 2021 🗗
- @MossbergCorp Looks like a kid's toy. Hard no. Jul 23, 2021
- @Tmann72687526 @maxxflyy27 @MikeAda69588676 @Dennisabm @snojustice1
 @RobertBrownieJ8 @BassistOld @NuckChorris16 @newsjunky2 @DrMonic39867490
 @Cerulea78083156 @Silver_Strike @alclark1776 @steeb_ryan @VinceGottalotta
 @Synyster63 @ThinkyTexan @Vickie627 @KsLiberal @MinnesotaExpat
 @ShlomoIndiana @Forseti_Pazzo @JDW714 @jdd2169 @Klee34036780 @para82nd_1
 @imvala @dovgvlad @JamesRH71 @SST456 @hugh_bothwell @TomDoubting
 @76Buzzsaw @sglockenspeil @OliviaC14337638 @GeneHomon @giddy_bunny
 @WendyClapp1 @22CB22CB @JonSmith922 @WaterType2 @LadyOfTheOcean1
 @theLouBecker @realeftypickit @MuscleBalancing @ListNever @SmokeyStafford
 @nkayla41076 @SwoleKyloRen @Dominique85_86 A far right rag. Lol Jul 23, 2021
- @Tmann72687526 @maxxflyy27 @MikeAda69588676 @Dennisabm @snojustice1 @RobertBrownieJ8 @BassistOld @NuckChorris16 @newsjunky2 @DrMonic39867490 @Cerulea78083156 @Silver_Strike @alclark1776 @steeb_ryan @VinceGottalotta @Synyster63 @ThinkyTexan @Vickie627 @KsLiberal @MinnesotaExpat @ShlomoIndiana @Forseti_Pazzo @JDW714 @jdd2169 @Klee34036780 @para82nd_1 @imvala @dovgvlad @JamesRH71 @SST456 @hugh_bothwell @TomDoubting @76Buzzsaw @sglockenspeil @OliviaC14337638 @GeneHomon @giddy_bunny @WendyClapp1 @22CB22CB @JonSmith922 @WaterType2 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @theLouBecker @realeftypickit @MuscleBalancing @ListNever @SmokeyStafford @nkayla41076 @SwoleKyloRen @Dominique85_86 The NYT reporter didn't state that and the statements given to police by KR and Dominick Black don't support that either. Jul 23, 2021
- @DigitalCMH @StevanVaughn @smcroasters Show me where I said he was guilty. I said a trial was called for and laid out important points of consideration for a jury. More to the point, I said prosecuting KR in no way means you are supporting Rosenbaum. Jul 22, 2021
- @MikeAda69588676 @Tmann72687526 @Dennisabm @maxxflyy27 @snojustice1 @RobertBrownieJ8 @BassistOld @NuckChorris16 @newsjunky2 @DrMonic39867490 @Cerulea78083156 @Silver_Strike @alclark1776 @steeb_ryan @VinceGottalotta @Synyster63 @ThinkyTexan @Vickie627 @KsLiberal @MinnesotaExpat @ShlomoIndiana @Forseti_Pazzo @JDW714 @jdd2169 @Klee34036780 @para82nd_1 @imvala @dovgvlad @JamesRH71 @SST456 @hugh_bothwell @TomDoubting @76Buzzsaw @sglockenspeil @OliviaC14337638 @GeneHomon @giddy_bunny @WendyClapp1 @22CB22CB @JonSmith922 @WaterType2 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @theLouBecker @realeftypickit @MuscleBalancing @ListNever @SmokeyStafford @nkayla41076 @SwoleKyloRen @Dominique85_86 Eh... That's quite the stretch. Jul 22, 2021

- @maxxflyy27 @MikeAda69588676 @Tmann72687526 @Dennisabm @snojustice1 @RobertBrownieJ8 @BassistOld @NuckChorris16 @newsjunky2 @DrMonic39867490 @Cerulea78083156 @Silver_Strike @alclark1776 @steeb_ryan @VinceGottalotta @Synyster63 @ThinkyTexan @Vickie627 @KsLiberal @MinnesotaExpat @ShlomoIndiana @Forseti_Pazzo @JDW714 @jdd2169 @Klee34036780 @para82nd_1 @imvala @dovgvlad @JamesRH71 @SST456 @hugh_bothwell @TomDoubting @76Buzzsaw @sglockenspeil @OliviaC14337638 @GeneHomon @giddy_bunny @WendyClapp1 @22CB22CB @JonSmith922 @WaterType2 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @theLouBecker @realeftypickit @MuscleBalancing @ListNever @SmokeyStafford @nkayla41076 @SwoleKyloRen @Dominique85_86 With respect, based on the statements, Dominick Black and KR gave the police, it is highly likely KR threatened Mr. Rosenbaum with his rifle over him hitting a car window. Hence the chase and shooting. Off camera but there are statements to that effect Jul 22, 2021
- @MikeAda69588676 @Tmann72687526 @Dennisabm @maxxflyy27 @snojustice1 @RobertBrownieJ8 @BassistOld @NuckChorris16 @newsjunky2 @DrMonic39867490 @Cerulea78083156 @Silver_Strike @alclark1776 @steeb_ryan @VinceGottalotta @Synyster63 @ThinkyTexan @Vickie627 @KsLiberal @MinnesotaExpat @ShlomoIndiana @Forseti_Pazzo @JDW714 @jdd2169 @Klee34036780 @para82nd_1 @imvala @dovgvlad @JamesRH71 @SST456 @hugh_bothwell @TomDoubting @76Buzzsaw @sglockenspeil @OliviaC14337638 @GeneHomon @giddy_bunny @WendyClapp1 @22CB22CB @JonSmith922 @WaterType2 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @theLouBecker @realeftypickit @MuscleBalancing @ListNever @SmokeyStafford @nkayla41076 @SwoleKyloRen @Dominique85_86 I'm not a KR fan. At all. I'm just saying he isn't being accused of rape. In that instance he started beating on a girl who got into a fight with his sister. Really wasn't called for but definitely not a rape allegation. Jul 22, 2021
- @MikeAda69588676 @Tmann72687526 @Dennisabm @maxxflyy27 @snojustice1 @RobertBrownieJ8 @BassistOld @NuckChorris16 @newsjunky2 @DrMonic39867490 @Cerulea78083156 @Silver_Strike @alclark1776 @steeb_ryan @VinceGottalotta @Synyster63 @ThinkyTexan @Vickie627 @KsLiberal @MinnesotaExpat @ShlomoIndiana @Forseti_Pazzo @JDW714 @jdd2169 @Klee34036780 @para82nd_1 @imvala @dovgvlad @JamesRH71 @SST456 @hugh_bothwell @TomDoubting @76Buzzsaw @sglockenspeil @OliviaC14337638 @GeneHomon @giddy_bunny @WendyClapp1 @22CB22CB @JonSmith922 @WaterType2 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @theLouBecker @realeftypickit @MuscleBalancing @ListNever @SmokeyStafford @nkayla41076 @SwoleKyloRen @Dominique85_86 Respectfully, this was a fight he joined in on. There has been no allegation of rape. I think it reflects poorly on him, but let's be clear what it is. Jul 22, 2021 ₽
- @Maga4Justice @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law II think people with autoimmune diseases and such are being informed the vaccine might not necessarily save them from an infection. Of course, that is a great reason why everyone else should get vaccinated. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters Of course, a state suit can be appealed to SCOTUS, but really, that happening here... :/ <u>Jul 22, 2021</u>
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters It's state court, not federal court. Nothing is binding and Wisconsin's gun laws and their treatment of 17 year-olds is... unique.

- There wouldn't really be much that would translate to Virginia law. Good luck getting a Virginia circuit judge to work off of Wisconsin precedent. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters Criminal jury trials don't really set precedents, except with regard to what Judges allow and don't allow. As a Wisconsin case, yeah, this doesn't affect precedent in Virginia. Jul 22, 2021
- @Maga4Justice @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Why? The decision dynamic is pretty straight forward. Being vaccinated means you have a far less chance of going to the hospital over a particularly nasty virus. Not getting vaccinated means you might die a needless death. It isn't rocket science. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters I'd literally do nothing because the case wouldn't set a precedent and I live on the East coast. But watching conservative coffee companies go to the mattresses over this is kinda interesting. Jul 22, 2021
- @Maga4Justice @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law I just don't think it matters. The vaccine is primarily about one thing: saving lives, which it has proven to be able to do. If it isn't as good at keeping lower level symptoms in check, all the more reason for people to get vaccinated, due to reduced spread control. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters If I didn't care, I wouldn't have laid them out. But whatever. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters Lol. Which is why I followed up with three questions, none of which I claim to have answers to. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters So again, the three questions are: 1) Did Mr. Rittenhouse threaten to kill Mr. Rosenbaum? 2) Did Mr. Rittenhouse ever regain self-defense rights? 3) Would a jury look at 948.55 and decide the providing adult and not the child was at fault? Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters Because Mr. Rittenhouse apparently started it by threatening to kill Mr. Rosenbaum. At that point, a "good guy with a gun" probably could have killed Mr. Rittenhouse on the spot, and not be charged under 3rd party defense statutes. 1/2 Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters Now, a jury will need to decide if Mr. Rittenhouse running away after his bluff was called somehow reverted his right to self-defense. Tough, when the weapon you used to threaten has a range of 600 meters. 2/2 Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters Irrelevant. Mr. Rosenbaum was unarmed and very likely operating within lawful self-defense, if he had been threatened with Mr. Rittenhouse's weapon. You can only use lethal force against unlawful interference, even if you think your life is in danger. 1/2 Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters Irrelevant if Mr. Rittenhouse threatened to kill Mr. Rosenbaum off-video (as he apparently admitted). In circumstances and totality, he contributed to Mr. Rosenbaum's death. A jury might place such recklessness at the feet of the adult who gave him the weapon. That's possible. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters I think you miss the legal point that Mr. Rittenhouse had no legal standing to point his weapon at Mr. Rosenbaum over a property matter. At that point, self-defense privilege would be Mr. Rosenbaum's. The first charge is reckless homicide, not intentional homicide. Jul 22, 2021
- @StevanVaughn @DigitalCMH @smcroasters It is highly likely, based on what Mr. Rittenhouse told his friend (and the police), that he threatened Mr. Rosenbaum with his

- rifle over a car window Mr. Rosenbaum was hitting. Basically, Mr. Rittenhouse very possibly started it by threatening to murder Mr. Rosenbaum. Jul 22, 2021
- @AbeSleeps @AlexBerenson @barnes_law I've responded to other skeptics on this thread with articles and data. Maybe engage in some deligence. Jul 22, 2021
- @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Basically, yeah, Delta might get you a bit sick, but the vaccine still is 93% at preventing a serious case or death. Jul 22, 2021
- @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law As for the focus on Israel... Vaccine doubters' strange fixation with Israel By Aaron Blake https://t.co/m5db8KwmNi Jul 22, 2021
- @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law "Ninety-eight percent of hospitalized individuals with covid in Arkansas are unvaccinated," Williams said. https://t.co/1YEGN0QLei Jul 22, 2021
- @pigSkinman @grey_cells1 @AlexBerenson @barnes_law https://t.co/1YEGN0QLei "Ninety-eight percent of hospitalized individuals with covid in Arkansas are unvaccinated," Williams said. Jul 22, 2021
- @Anty4561 @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Gates doesn't own Moderna or Pfizer. <u>Jul 22</u>, 2021 @
- @mjjmk04 @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Yeah, we have superior vaccines champ. We are very lucky Operation Warp Speed worked and that we have two 96% effective domestically produced vaccines. Jul 22, 2021
- @bigdaddymak @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Oh, the GOP doesn't really care about you; they just want your vote. For that, you can't be dead. Jul 22, 2021
- @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law From the article you posted "So far, data suggests that vaccines hold up extremely well against Delta: Public Health England analyses have found that two doses of Pfizer's vaccine are 96% effective at preventing hospitalizations in cases involving the variant" Jul 22, 2021
- @FastenedDude @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Yes. They have inferior vaccines. We happen to have superior companies and mRNA technology. Moderna and Pfizer shots are incredibly effective. Jul 22, 2021
- @grey_cells1 @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Well, that's simply not the case. If it were, you wouldn't have 95% of the hospitalizations be unvaccinated people. Jul 22, 2021
- @bigdaddymak @AlexBerenson @barnes_law Sure, people die all the time, albeit COVID was the third biggest cause of death in 2020 and that was before Delta got here. Try your luck I guess. I just was trying to explain that the GOP simply doesn't want you to die. Jul 22, 2021
- @AlexBerenson @barnes_law If you want to know why the GOP establishment is suddenly pro-vaccine, its because it isn't in their best interest to have reliable members of their base die from this. Jul 22, 2021
- @AlexBerenson @barnes_law The data shows the exact opposite of that. The mNRA vaccines are holding their own against the Delta variants. Indeed the VAST majority of those in the hospitals and those dying are the folks who chose not to be vaccinated. Jul 22, 2021
- @JayTini4 @smcroasters You clearly get the point though. Jul 22, 2021
- @smcroasters When a drunk driver runs over a mob boss, maybe you don't go to the funeral. Maybe on some level you're glad. Or even thrilled. But you damn sure put the driver on trial, because a family of four could be next. Jul 22, 2021

- @barnes_law Are you definitively saying the Ziminski couple weren't brandished by Mr. Rittenhouse? Jul 22, 2021
- @fedmech @AmericaInTheMil @twiggyoh @cspanwj When bombs are in play and you try to breach the last line of defense to reach Congress, that might happen. Jul 22, 2021
- Which surprises me. The correct answer is: "we definitively believe in situational self-defense and we are waiting for all facts of this case to be known and presented in court." https://t.co/kt8DSk2aKg Jul 22, 2021
- @blckriflecoffee @derrickwetherin @philthatremains @bad_tits @FreeRangeAmeric What happens if it turns out Mr. Rittenhouse brandished, say, the Ziminski couple and Mr. Rosenbaum chased him away? Frankly, it's corporately sloppy to take any definitive stance on an ongoing criminal matter with so many unknowns. Jul 22, 2021
- @sisterjjd I don't know. I kinda doubt it. <u>Jul 21, 2021</u>
- @timruss2 Respectfully, this is Birtherism 2.0. Same idea. Different fake concern. Same asshole. Jul 21, 2021
- @freekyleusa @1ChicagoDave @deviantollam @gunpolicy @FoxNews @ShannonBream @TuckerCarlson It's no less ridiculous than the defense trying to admit Mr. Rosenbaum's criminal history as evidence, as if Mr. Rittenhouse were privy to it. I don't necessarily think either side is desperate. There's no downside to losing a motion but plenty of upside if you win it. Jul 21, 2021
- @Robert_Redacted There's some significant inaccuracies with regard to what you just said. I don't care to address them. Again, most self-defense situations don't involve people proactively looking for trouble to solve with a weapon, hence this case isn't that important Jul 21, 2021
- @Robert_Redacted No, that situation is covered under lawful 3rd party defense statutes and is situational. Mr. Rittenhouse's armed activities that night were planned and elective. Simply put, this case won't set up much of a precedent. Jul 21, 2021
- @Robert_Redacted Not really. Mr. Rittenhouse deliberately put himself in harm's way armed with a lethal weapon. Most people in genuine defensive situations don't do that. Jul 21, 2021
- @TexasDesign1 @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 I'm sure he didn't help himself at the police station. I just wouldn't be surprised if the breaking windows thing was a fabrication. It sounds a lot better than "I got chased by him because I was threatening people with my gun". I could be wrong of course. Jul 21, 2021
- @TexasDesign1 @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 My take is you can't use Mr. Rittenhouse's statements at the police station as verbatim. Certainly he had the night to invent details that might make the shooting more sympathetic looking. In his telling, Mr. Rosenbaum had a bat. Jul 21, 2021
- @TexasDesign1 @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 The sequence might be 1) Rittenhouse brandished Ziminski couple, thinking his militia pal was behind him. 2) Rosenbaum notices and chases him away. 3) Ziminski fires warning shot (dumb) 4) Rittenhouse shoots Rosenbaum One possiblity anyways. Jul 21, 2021
- @ItsSpelledZatch @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 Doesn't have to be "right before" chief. A second or two before what is captured on the video we are all familiar with would still change things. Just saying. Jul 21, 2021

- @ItsSpelledZatch @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 Doesn't mean it didn't happen chief. Video didn't capture every second, hence as I said, why eye witness accounts will be important. Jul 21, 2021
- @ItsSpelledZatch @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 It's entirely possible Mr. Rittenhouse brandished his weapon at the Ziminski couple, leading to Mr. Rosenbaum chasing him off. It's noteworthy the defense has been very cagey on the events leading up to Rosenbaum's actions Jul 21, 2021
- @TexasDesign1 @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 A significant question is whether Mr. Rittenhouse brandished his weapon at the Ziminski couple right before Mr. Rosenbaum chased him off. Jul 21, 2021
- @tuckerfizz2 @Cleansniper23 With a big exception. Fear of injury/death is only relevant if the interference from the other party is unlawful. Ultimately, this will come down to how eye witness testimony speaks to the moments that occurred before the footage we have all seen. Jul 21, 2021
- @Torgo125 @ironwillpower1 @blckriflecoffee Yes, because he was worried he painted too wide a brush when he was describing customers that he was OK jettisoning. But people insisting he vouch for Mr. Rittenhouse. No, he won't do that. It's just not worth it to him. Jul 20, 2021
- @Torgo125 @ironwillpower1 @blckriflecoffee Based on what other Twitter users say? Dude, In 2020, BRCC revenue doubled to \$163 million, despite this controversy that started last August. A nice thing about being a rich CEO: you don't need to kiss the rings of a noisy part of your customer base. You can just fire em. Jul 20, 2021
- @Torgo125 @ironwillpower1 @blckriflecoffee Maybe because text snippets leave out critical context. If you read the full passage, it's clear Hafer is referring to "sections of your customers". Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't a customer; it's clear an adult bought him the shirt. https://t.co/f6opetmBz3 Jul 20, 2021
- @Torgo125 @ironwillpower1 @blckriflecoffee My take is this and I feel it's kinda obvious: BRCC is focused on the adults who tried to hijack their brand and profit off this tragedy and the neo-fascist folks online who are celebrating the killings. I don't get the feel at all this extends to the kid himself. Jul 20, 2021
- @Torgo125 @ironwillpower1 @blckriflecoffee They didn't imply anything of the sort about Mr. Rittenhouse in the article. They're judging the behavior of some of his supporters. You have to paraphrase hard to graft their criticism onto the kid himself. Jul 20, 2021
- @Torgo125 @ironwillpower1 @blckriflecoffee The line is very simple. BRCC celebrates the culture of guns but isn't going to glorify specific deaths, especially when one at best was a tragic lethal misunderstanding. The folks who are saying "no one died, only communists" have no place in their customer base. Jul 20, 2021
- @Pamc0405 @silvermanmarkj They officially have no definitive stance on the case, which is smart given eye witnesses and a full hearing of evidence has not occurred yet. What they have been definitive on is they in no way want to be associated with the glorification of actual deaths. Jul 20, 2021
- @WorldClean_ @EvanHafer Sure. He probably thinks you can glorify the ability to pull triggers and not celebrate when they are lethally pulled. That's clearly their line, but very much not yours. Jul 20, 2021

- @WorldClean_ @EvanHafer BRCC glorifies the ability to cause death, but not actual death when it occurs. Companies celebrate our military but generally don't glorify the deaths of people that inevitably die as a result of its operations. This is long standing standard practice. Jul 20, 2021
- @WorldClean_ @EvanHafer Traditionally, companies steer clear of glorifying actual death. If you're a good Christian, it's just something you shy away from. The owners of BRCC might simply have values you don't have. That's fine; you don't want to buy his coffee and he doesn't want to sell it to u. Jul 20, 2021
- Bitcoin: we're valuable because of scarcity. Dogs: how about we flood the market with cute crypto! Bitcoin: lol, like people would... crap. <u>Jul 20, 2021</u>
- @WorldClean_ @EvanHafer It is possible Mr. Rittenhouse pointed his weapon at a couple right before Mr. Rosenbaum chased him off. Criminal history aside, that would affect the legal dynamics of this considerably. A wise company would steer clear of this one prior to trial. Jul 20, 2021
- @WorldClean_ @EvanHafer Having "no position" in an active high profile case where witnesses and evidence in its entirety haven't been heard yet is frankly the proper call. This case has marginal implications for 2A. Jul 20, 2021
- @thurrott I wonder if Crimson Skies split screen will be fixed before it is put on Game Pass. Multiplayer has been broken for a while. Jul 20, 2021
- @JonathonOberg @KenoshaPolice Actually, yes. Stray bullets suck (we lose kids to them in DC every so often). Simply put, a human life isn't worth a few thousand dollars. Jul 20, 2021
- @RepAndyBiggsAZ So.. . Enforcement efforts are working under President Biden? Not sure what the point here is chief. Jul 20, 2021
- @joyfulanarchist @elonmusk I'm not sure crypto has that type of influence frankly. I'd imagine inflation isn't as bad as it could be as underneath the stimulus, you still have a fragile economy. Jul 20, 2021
- @AlexEuropa2 @feignedidentity @joyfulanarchist @KurtSchlichter @blckriflecoffee Good Christians don't celebrate the deaths of others. Fully stop. The owner of BRCC might simply have Christian values you don't have. That's fine: he doesn't want you as a customer and you don't want to buy his coffee. Jul 19, 2021
- @M_Phatical @Eidus3 @winni_wolf @MattWalshBlog Semantics. So if the trust fund person volunteered 40 hours a week at a VA Hospital (clear positive contribution to the federal government), still no vote? Jul 19, 2021
- @M_Phatical @Eidus3 @winni_wolf @MattWalshBlog So what's the annual remittance to the government to purchase the ability to vote? \$1? Jul 19, 2021
- @M_Phatical @Eidus3 @winni_wolf @MattWalshBlog And if they simply leverage their inheritance so they can donate 40 hours of their time to say, an animal shelter probono each week, still no vote? Even if they are donating skill they might otherwise be paid for elsewhere? Jul 19, 2021
- @M_Phatical @Eidus3 @winni_wolf @MattWalshBlog What about someone who inherits a trust fund, all post-tax money. Doesn't have a taxable income but isn't a dependent. Do they get a vote, purely because they have wealth? Jul 19, 2021
- @NjbBari3 Wouldn't indoor bathrooms be a potential explanation here? Jul 19, 2021 @

- @M_Phatical @Eidus3 @winni_wolf @MattWalshBlog The standard deduction in this country is \$12,550. Are you saying a college kid who works 20 hours a week for \$10 an hour shouldn't be able to vote? Jul 19, 2021
- @feignedidentity @joyfulanarchist @KurtSchlichter And frankly, even the defense team on this case would prefer it if these guys simply shut up. They're adding unhelpful noise to this case. Jul 19, 2021
- @feignedidentity @joyfulanarchist @KurtSchlichter There's a large contingent of Mr. Rittenhouse fans who literally celebrate the deaths that occurred. The line "No people were killed, only communists" comes to mind. These people are easily the LOUDEST fans out there. That is the unsavory element BRCC talks about. Jul 19, 2021
- @Eidus3 @M_Phatical @winni_wolf @MattWalshBlog The cautionary footnote to that is when you lock people out of democracy, you've given them tacit approval to use other less-nice means to affect change. Voting or violence; one of the two is always how power is transfered. Take away one and well... Jul 19, 2021
- @BenjiSales On my first playthrough now. Was a little concerned about the dated graphics, but the ambience and gameplay really make up for it. Jul 19, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty In short, there's a lot we don't know and eyewitness accounts we haven't heard. But I would understand it if a jury found much fault with the adult who not only supplied the weapon, but also drove him to the turmoil and then let him go play militia. 2/2 Jul 19, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty To be clear, I'm not suggesting this is a slam dunk prosecution. Mr. Rittenhouse was 17 and 948.60 would seem to place responsibility for death on the supplying adult, codifying minors simply can't be expected to exercise judgement with firearms. 1/2 Jul 19, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @TheDuke1986 @FLC0502 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty That's simply not the case. There are several prosecutions in play, including felony arson. I mean, this is all easy to verify. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty You clearly haven't accounted for every bullet. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Mr. Rittenhouse introduced wildly fired stray bullets into the area. That triggers self-defense rights for anyone there. To pretend those don't exist is legal folly. Jul 18, 2021
- @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty A jury will never believe your ridiculous take. Jul 18, 2021
- @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty It was a clap on the shoulder after Mr. Rittenhouse sprayed wildly fired twice in the immediate area. The police medical exam of Mr. Rittenhouse showed no serious injury. So yeah, a jury won't buy that. Jul 18, 2021
- @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters
 @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Except Mr. Huber in no way tried to
 "murder" Mr. Rittenhouse. He was on his way out when he was killed. Jul 18, 2021

- @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Mr. Huber grabbed the gun after Mr. Rittenhouse missed wildly twice and was on his way out when he was killed. The idea he was trying to murder Mr. Rittenhouse is flawed on its face. A jury will never buy it. Jul 18, 2021
- @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty So you are saying if a gunfight breaks out next to you, and bullets are flying around, you just have to make peace with it and die. You can't defend yourself? That is very much legally incorrect. Jul 18, 2021
- @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty When you miss twice in a crowded area and make bystanders deal with bullet fire, they get to try and take away your gun away. If you kill someone for trying, you've got one hell of a legal bill ahead of you. <u>Jul 18, 2021</u>
- @FLC0502 @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan amy @VikingSalty Let me fix this for you. <u>Jul 18, 2021</u>
- @ItsSpelledZatch @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty After Mr. Rittenhouse had fired at an unarmed guy and missed twice, including a bullet that flew out at ground level. At that point, Mr. Huber tried to disarm Mr. Rittenhouse, and on his way out, Mr. Rittenhouse killed him for it. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty The key element here is Mr. Rittenhouse never got a good look at Mr. Huber. He just felt someone trying to grab his gun and he pulled the trigger. Could have been anyone in the immediate area who wanted to stop the stray bullets that were already flying. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Irrelevant. If a gun fight breaks out next to you, with strays flying, you have an inherent self-defense right to go for the disarm to keep from dying. Mr. Rittenhouse killed someone who very likely could have had that right. Hence first the first degree homicide charge Jul 18, 2021
- @TheDuke1986 @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty And really a dumb one. If you look at the politics of the area, it really doesn't trend significantly liberal. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Basically, for Mr. Huber's death to be a justifiable homicide, the idea that someone would have jumped on the shooter to simply stop stray bullets from killing them has to be discarded. A jury will need to buy into that. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Mr. Rittenhouse had already killed Mr. Huber before that shot. If Mr. Huber's death is felonious, so is the shot that injured Mr. Grosskreutz. As I mentioned earlier, Mr. Rittenhouse shot Mr. Huber after he'd wildly fired two shots in the area. This is problematic. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty This is inherently why the Mr. Huber killing is being charged as an intentional and not reckless homicide. Mr. Rittenhouse made a killing decision not

- knowing if the person he was shooting was just some guy trying not to die. 2/2 Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Simple question. If a gunfight breaks out in your immediate area and bullets start flying, do you not have an inherent self-defense and third-party defense right to go for the disarm, to keep from dying from a stray? Two wild bullets were shot before the skateboard hit 1/2 Jul 18, 2021
- @HerbSmaug @TheDuke1986 @FLC0502 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Not directly legally relevant with regard to the Mr. Huber shooting. If you read the criminal complaint, the first charge during the second set of shots is a "reckless" charge. The complaint isn't treating him like a fleeing suspect. Jul 18, 2021
- @mkedgt2 @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty It's always a risky proposition to pull the trigger on someone you've never visually seen clearly after already firing wildly twice in the immediate area. If you'd read the criminal complaint, you might get it. Jul 18, 2021
- @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Legally irrelevant. And if the defense wants to character assassinate a guy who tried to take down a firing gunman with his skateboard in order to save his girlfriend, I'd say go for it. Totally. Jul 18, 2021
- @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Legally irrelevant. And if the defense wants to character assassinate a guy who tried to take down a firing gunman with his skateboard in order to save his girlfriend, I'd say go for it. Totally. Jul 18, 2021
- @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty Simply put, Mr. Grosskreutz would never be convicted in a court of Law in a million years. He responded to a shooting situation, and never even tried to use his weapon until someone was gunned down in front of him. Then at gunpoint, he might have tried something. Jul 18, 2021
- @FLC0502 @TheDuke1986 @smcroasters @WoldenAnita @michigan_amy @VikingSalty It's legally irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse had already discharged his weapon three times in the immediate area, including the shot that killed Mr. Huber, and was still holding his weapon in the guy's general direction. Jul 18, 2021
- @JaredBrickey @barnes_law When a drunk driver kills a mob boss, maybe you don't go to the funeral, but you seriously consider what to do about the drunk driver. The case is Wisconsin vs. Rittenhouse, not Rosenbaum vs. Rittenhouse. Jul 17, 2021
- @Aries1505 @Dzl_DK @smcroasters There's eye witness accounts regarding Mr. Rittenhouse pointing his weapon at people prior to the shootings, and several conservative folks there warned him his carry was inherently threatening. Those are established facts. Jul 17, 2021
- @Aries1505 @barnes_law Too many misspellings. Jul 17, 2021 @
- @barnes_law This case has and will always be about balancing reckless provocation against situational self-defense. Frankly, not much is on the line here, self-defense wise. The vast majority of gun owners don't stalk protestors at low-ready with an AR-15. Jul 17, 2021

- @dom_kirby @thurrott What are the big differences between WorkSpaces and W365? Seems like very much the same product. Jul 17, 2021
- @Dzl_DK @smcroasters Except the first shooting happened two minutes before the skateboard entered the picture. Jul 17, 2021
- @smcroasters Whose demanding? Jul 17, 2021
- @DonnaJo3789 @spiritinflower @WendyRogersAZ The founding fathers gamed this out 200 years before Sue was born, while playing beer pong. The date for appointment of electors is election day. The states control the how and where, but the federal government controls the when. Sue clearly doesn't know that. Jul 17, 2021
- @spiritinflower @WendyRogersAZ The Constitution. Jul 16, 2021
- @dbcooper19941 If you proactively hunt for trouble with a lethal weapon, express a desire to hurt people beforehand, and then use it, it is a recipe for manslaughter at least. Why people document intent on their phones is beyond me. Jul 16, 2021
- @dbcooper19941 The morale of the story is don't proactively go looking for a confrontation with a lethal weapon, documenting that intent beforehand on your phone. It is a recipe for a manslaughter charge. Jul 16, 2021
- @RobManess @WendyRogersAZ Lol. Constitutionally, you can't. Full stop. <u>Jul 16, 2021</u>
- @WendyRogersAZ Constitutionally, you literally can't do that. Jul 16, 2021
- @ChuckCallesto Lol. Constitutionally, you literally can't do that. What a joke. <u>Jul 16</u>, 2021 ₽
- @DigitalSecArch @thurrott @awscloud Sure. I'll bet this sits nicely with Azure AD and the rest of the cloud stack. Jul 16, 2021
- @thurrott True. If nothing else, it would be more true to say this makes extending a Chromebook into a fully functional Windows PC that much easier. Jul 16, 2021
- @taylor11 @rmeyer146 @brad_dickson It was a virus that attacked her heart. Tragic and not vaccine related https://t.co/11ZDTK2g7n Jul 16, 2021
- @thurrott I'm trying to figure out how this is different than the virtualized Windows desktops Amazon has been selling for years via Amazon WorkSpaces. Glad Microsoft has this in their arsenal, but it feels more like a catchup than leadership. Jul 16, 2021
- @PeoplesHotSauce @The_Acumen The president can't pardon state crimes. <u>Jul 16, 2021</u>
- @Nimo23701434 @Bllyrush1 @Erigi9 @A13KF2 @kylenabecker https://t.co/7sKdbRdq4G The explanation. The "74,000" claim is BS. The fact it is being circulated as fact shows what a clown show this audit is. Jul 16, 2021 2021
- @Bllyrush1 @Erigi9 @A13KF2 @kylenabecker Lol. About that "74,000". https://t.co/7sKdbRdq4G If you don't know how the election works, you might make that ridiculous claim. Jul 16, 2021 ₽
- @SuprSquirrel @Erigi9 @A13KF2 @kylenabecker Lots of people think so. <u>Jul 16, 2021</u>
- @A13KF2 @Erigi9 @kylenabecker Lol. The fact you believe that.... Jul 16, 2021
- @Jon83989650 @AnthonyQuintano @Cleavon_MD More like we are at the tipping point where the planet will destroy us. Jul 16, 2021
- @realmfoster You'd need 66 votes in the Senate. Non-negotiable. Not gonna happen champ. <u>Jul 16, 2021</u>

- @MrRabato @FoxNews No, he got fired because he used a work email to do it, dragging his department into it. Jul 16, 2021
- @A13KF2 @Erigi9 @kylenabecker I'm vaccinated thanks. <u>Jul 15, 2021</u>
- @Erigi9 @A13KF2 @kylenabecker No, because you are being lied to by the unqualified idiots doing the audit. Jul 15, 2021
- @uniqueuser86 @ChanceTyColeman Enjoy the block Jul 15, 2021
- @Chale 333 It was a really bad idea to violently challenge the last line of defense when bombs had already been found. It was a valid shot on those grounds alone. Jul 15, 2021
- @MDeporch @Alexplainlater4 @ravens755 @Yo_Soy_Tormenta @BuzzPatterson Bombs had been found. The last line of defense couldn't let someone break through and potentially blow up half the lawmakers. It was a valid and effective shot. Jul 15, 2021
- @mountainprimal @blckriflecoffee Fiber? Just saying.... Jul 14, 2021
- @Miglet29 @ChanceTyColeman People shut out when polling locations are shuttered, early voting reduced, voting by mail revoked, etc... Jul 14, 2021
- @PrinciplePonde2 @barnes_law The prosecution's latest motion argues that Mr. Rittenhouse "was a clear and present threat to the safety of everyone out there that night" and thus those trying to disarm him get the benefit of self-defense. This is where eyewitness accounts will play a large role. Jul 14, 2021
- @ChanceTyColeman There are two ways governing bodies assume power: by democracy and by armed force. If you remove people from the former, you can't blame them for when they resort to the latter. Just a basic truth. Jul 14, 2021
- @uniquesqr1 @Steven32279834 @deray I disagree. 1) You wouldn't file the motion unless you were inherently looking to establish justification. 2) "The DA started it" is a ridiculous reason to file this motion. Piece of advice: These "Steven" accounts are obsessively pro-Rittenhouse. No good-faith there. Jul 14, 2021
- @Steven32279834 @uniquesqr1 @deray Ridiculous take. In any event, yet another Steven account to block:/ Jul 14, 2021
- @Supersi48617376 @Steven32279834 @uniquesqr1 @deray It won't be. Frankly, the Judge will very likely shoot down all recent motions from both sides. Jul 14, 2021
- @Steven32279834 @uniquesqr1 @deray Mr. Rittenhouse's current lawyer is trying to get it admitted as evidence that Mr. Rosenbaum was a sex offender. So yes, it is actively being pursued as a justification by Mr. Rittenhouse's own legal team. Jul 14, 2021
- @Whootie_who @UncivilLaw Irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse was 17 at the time of the shootings. Jul 14, 2021
- @uniquesqr1 @deray Only one of the three was a sex offender and yeah, it isn't germaine. It's the equivalent of pardoning a drunk driver when he/she runs over a mob boss. Outside of the less than savory defense of his actions, I think a conviction on the serious stuff is still a coin flip. Jul 14, 2021
- @jrfetzer @thurrott I think they got out of the game making aspects of it to focus on strong third party support. Jul 14, 2021
- @19daddio53 @Velvet_as_Hell @MacFarlaneNews Thank you for your substantive contribution. <u>Jul 13, 2021</u>
- @thurrott Have no fear, Windows 11's arbitrary requirements will almost certainly provoke enterprises into chucking millions of older otherwise useful PCs... © Jul 13, 2021

- @McLovin73176274 @jdbonoscott @kronos001_nick @DHarshbargerTN1 With respect, when the donation is done from an official government agency email account, it drags the trust, credibility, and reputation of the agency into it. Only people fired were dumbasses who used their work email there is no expectation of privacy there Jul 13, 2021
- @barnes_law @freekyleusa Are you saying the "They did nothing wrong." defense will be ineffective? Jul 13, 2021
- @Velvet_as_Hell @MacFarlaneNews Mr. Chauvin and Mr. Rittenhouse were charged with crimes. Once someone has been charged, they are in the court system as identifiable people. That simply is not the case with the Capitol police officer. Full stop. Jul 12, 2021
- @Velvet_as_Hell @MacFarlaneNews The former President of the United States, the one who incited the assault on the Capitol and is capable of whipping up violent behavior, is now effectively calling the police officer a murderer. So yeah, he gets protection. Jul 12, 2021
- @PrinciplePonde2 @MMoore6025 @Catheri86635919 @Stlck_Man @JagerDanger @freekyleusa Thank you, albeit I must correct myself. Mr. Black's final pretrial hearing is 7/29, and The honorable Bruce Schroeder will likely issue a decision on the under-18 gun charge then. So we'll know one way or the other inside of 20 days. Jul 12, 2021
- @JoshHubama Not saying he isn't. It's just that Wisconsin's Laws don't let you set bail based on potential danger. And the prospect of a \$2 million payout is probably a good incentive not to cause further trouble. Jul 11, 2021
- @JoshHubama State of Wisconsin bail law. It is purely about insuring the defendant shows up to court. It has little to do with potential danger from the defendant. And so far, the Law has worked as intended in this case. Jul 11, 2021
- @MMoore6025 @Catheri86635919 @Stlck_Man @JagerDanger @freekyleusa Again, I believe the defense is pushing a misinterpretation of the statute subsection. However, I've grown tired of explaining that "not in compliance" doesn't mean "in violation" on Twitter. So, patience. The Judge will issue a ruling in September, if not sooner. Jul 11, 2021
- @MMoore6025 @Catheri86635919 @Stlck_Man @JagerDanger @freekyleusa Gentlemen and Lady. I'm pretty sure the legal argument Mr. Rittenhouse's and Mr. Black's respective lawyers are making about the hunting subsections won't hold water. But there's no reason to squabble. The Judge will rule on Mr. Black's motion in September. Jul 11, 2021
- @Catheri86635919 @PrinciplePonde2 @Stlck_Man @JagerDanger @freekyleusa Yes. He could be charged by the feds. But he hasn't. Not yet anyways. They might be waiting for the state charges to make their way through the court system first. Jul 11, 2021
- @Catheri86635919 @PrinciplePonde2 @Stlck_Man @JagerDanger @freekyleusa He hasn't been charged by the feds, but he very well could be. Worse, this idiot actually drove the 17 year old to the riot and left him alone to play with militia types. <u>Jul 11, 2021</u>
- @PrinciplePonde2 @Catheri86635919 @Stlck_Man @JagerDanger @freekyleusa The statute he is charged under includes the act of selling the weapon, which is more or less what Dominic Black did. Mr. Rittenhouse gave Mr. Black the money for the weapon and Mr. Black bought it for him, with his name on the fed. paperwork. Jul 11, 2021

- @NYTNational This would seem to throw the concept of Standing out the window. I don't know how a Judge would grant standing to any busybody trying to take advantage of the Law. Jul 11, 2021
- @madebygoogle Used UbreakIFix warranty option. Was a bum screen. Replaces and phone is working again Jul 11, 2021
- @JoshHubama Bail. Two million smackers. It's covered in the article. Jul 11, 2021
- @Joyce151515 @AliAdair22 @DrPaulGosar @ASU_CRU @RNRenewal @iVoteAmerica @cacollegegop They aren't really abusing the second amendment. They just need to realize that even though you have the God-given right to rise up against your government, you don't have the God-given right to win. And when you lose, Lordy! Jul 11, 2021
- @newsmax Mr. Rittenhouse had no knowledge of Mr. Rosenblum's criminal past. Hence moot. Motion will be denied. Jul 10, 2021
- @GrahamStephan Here's him soliciting contact while replying to a YouTube comment. https://t.co/j4OVwfNhT7_Jul_10, 2021 @
- @GrahamStephan So.... Is this Graham_Stephan on Telegram you? He's been posting on your videos and getting people to contact him on Telegram. https://t.co/F6zMjlj1QO_Jul_10, 2021
- @NotLargeMarge1 I agree it was murder. Several male MAGAs saw the gun, and boosted her through to test the waters. Really brave men, those MAGA chaps. Round em up. #felonymurder Jul 10, 2021
- @RealJakeTucker I'd say wait. The little fish are being processed first. But more than a couple Oath Keepers have flipped and the feds are now finding plans dated weeks before January 6th. Premeditative cases take time to assemble, albeit there will be a few cases with double digit sentencing Jul 10, 2021
- @krskipp @kathylandb2 @newsmax All irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse had none of that knowledge when he fired. As such, they are inconsequential to the case. Motion will be denied. Jul 10, 2021
- @facetioussoup Similar motion in place on the mirror Dominick Black case. Same judge. Will likely get an answer by September. Jul 10, 2021
- @freekyleusa I'm betting the motion won't be allowed. Mr. Rittenhouse would have needed to have known about the criminal history for it to be relevant. What is relevant is information Mr. Rittenhouse took in with his five senses that night. Jul 09, 2021
- @VerizonSupport I just did. Please respond to it. <u>Jul 08, 2021</u>
- @VerizonSupport The messaging im getting is inconsistent. Some messaging says there is no outage. Other messaging is saying their might be an outage. Jul 08, 2021
- @VerizonSupport The messaging I'm going is inconsistent. It varies from no outage to potential outage. Jul 08, 2021
- @verizonfios are you having an outage in City of Fairfax, VA? @VerizonSupport <u>Jul 08</u>,
 2021
- @charliekirk11 The MAGA murderers who saw the gun and boosted her through the opening anyway. #felonymurder Jul 08, 2021
- @mickgregory Bombs were a known quantity. The last line of defense had to be held so someone couldn't rush in and blow up half the lawmakers. Necessary shot. Jul 08, 2021
- @barkingd Electrified vehicles are becoming a trick multiple players know how to do. That \$605 billion? IDK Jul 07, 2021

- @CdnMiller @atrupar @Sears @Kmart With respect, her actions were that of an insurrectionist (a person who rises up against authority). You can be a brainwashed patriot and also be an insurrectionist. Jul 06, 2021
- @orlandosentinel Won't be allowed. Jul 06, 2021
- @davidmweissman Is that a legal question? Because he is doing what cowards always do; describe what other people will do without actually ordering them. Jul 06, 2021
- @TheLumpenprole @BuyBookBuyBook I have no idea how you define enemies. Probably lacks nuance. Jul 05, 2021
- @madebygoogle Thanks Adam. I just followed up via DM. Jul 05, 2021
- @TheLumpenprole @BuyBookBuyBook Can you send me a tweet where that is true? Because frankly I haven't seen it and I wouldn't trust anything second hand here. Jul 05, 2021
- @madebygoogle With the understanding an additional charge would occur if the returned device's damage was user caused. Instead, I'm being told I need to wait up to 10 days, which pretty much means I need to go buy a new phone. My mobile number is the basis for 2FA I need for work. 2/2 Jul 05, 2021
- @madebygoogle Yes, I called support. They didn't issue me a case ID and insisted I send my device back before a replacement would be issued. This is highly unusual for mission critical communication devices. Every other vendor I've ever worked with would front a replacement 1/2 Jul 05, 2021
- Just learned @madebygoogle Pixel 4a's are unreliable. Touch screen has gone nuts. Clearly a hardware failure. Worse, they won't send a replacement unit #fail #pixel4a Jul 04, 2021
- @ConnieH uk Thanks France Jul 04, 2021
- @TrumpRussiaTies @kathygriffin That isn't the flag. 100% OK to wear. Jul 04, 2021
- @RoseAlv58468301 @glassmeg @nancylevine @AmyKremer @patriottakes Unlikely. Parody is well within the fair-use doctrine. <u>Jul 04, 2021</u>
- @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa I don't frankly. ADA Binger has a robust career history. These types of motions are pretty common. There's no penalty for filing them and who knows, you might get lucky. It would be more crazy not to file them. Ditto for the defense's motion. Jul 04, 2021
- @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa Judge Schroeder seems to run a tight ship/court. IMO anything he perceives as extraneous won't make the cut. <u>Jul 04, 2021</u>
- @Investor4201 @CivilLost @freekyleusa IMO, all three motions will likely be stuck down by the judge Prosecution 1 it was a high school fight /wo guns Prosecution 2 it happened months after the night in question. Defense 1 Mr. Rittenhouse didn't know about Mr. Rosenbaum's past. Hence, moot. Jul 04, 2021
- @BuyBookBuyBook It really wasn't about "support". An affiliate was using Rittenhouse's image to sell their coffee via an affiliate code. The company simply said they didn't wish to profit off of tragedy, and that they didn't have a marketing relationship there. It's an understandable stance. Jul 03, 2021
- @rsmith_80 @SalzenBart @FoxNews Yes. It's called a CYA pretense. It's not helpful he's not remotely an EMT. Jul 03, 2021

- @rsmith_80 @SalzenBart @FoxNews The first charge is reckless homicide. The argument is that in circumstances and totality, Mr. Rittenhouse sought out trouble intent to find an excuse to use his weapon. This would typically make a self-defense claim challenging. But the prosecution does need to prove that point Jul 03, 2021
- @deneenknews Latest PB association pleading feels like a bit of a reach. I'm not sure I see Judge Schroeder going for it. Jul 02, 2021
- @deneenknews Great reporting! It'll be interesting to see where the latest motions go. <u>Jul</u> 02, 2021 @
- @KaitMarieox Officer Charles Darwin. Jul 02, 2021
- @boogup @caseystorment @PMensab @nobuyukinyuu @Civvie11 Bishop was the good android. I think the one you are looking for here is Ash. Jul 02, 2021
- @BigThompson55 @golfreak2 @BillBateman1 @ACTBrigitte Why is the name remotely important? Jul 02, 2021
- @SteveKingIA @BillKristol @JohnDalyBooks A patriot who didn't want someone potentially carrying a bomb blowing up half the chamber. Jul 02, 2021
- @freekyleusa With respect, bombs had already been found on January 6th. The last line of defense to Congress can't let someone break through and potentially blow up half the lawmakers. The Ashli Babbitt issue has been embraced by the very fringe you are trying to divorce this case from. Jul 02, 2021
- @HennyPenny7teen @altzerocool @julie_kelly2 Actually, there are criminal complaints indicating suspects stole police gear in the scuffles outside. Jul 02, 2021
- @thrasher_dk @canadafreepress a hallmark of the Rittenhouse case is people trying to stop someone they believe to be a shooter on the loose and getting gunned down for it. The analogy cuts both ways. Jul 02, 2021
- @CrankyJohnny @JulieElzaCa @patrick88755168 @KaitMarieox Uh yeah chief, they represent the elected will of hundreds of millions of people. Jul 02, 2021
- @BillBateman1 @julie_kelly2 Pardon my ignorance. What the heck does the State
 Department have to do with this? They aren't involved with criminal prosecutions. <u>Jul 02</u>,
 2021
- @brab0choke @ellenwell_ @mrf234 @kurtssphincter @atrupar In life, there are lines where if you cross them, you might get shot. The last line of defense before being able to blow up Congress might be one of them. Why aren't you mad at the MAGA bros who saw the weapon and boosted her through to test the waters? Selective outrage here. Jul 02, 2021
- @Sander1Ella @AveryDausson Nope. Jul 02, 2021
- @brab0choke @ellenwell_ @mrf234 @kurtssphincter @atrupar Point of issue. Explosives were already a known part of the mix. Bombs had been found. It simply wasn't an option to let 100 lawmakers get blown up by someone who might have one. As for the reinforcements, the officer couldn't see them through the broken glass Outstanding shot. Jul 01, 2021
- @akromannj @JackPosobiec If you violently intimidate someone into having sex and perhaps they start to cooperate a bit so that they don't get dead, it is still rape. Likewise, any semblance of cooperation by the police is irrelevant, given the context of the greater assault. Jul 01, 2021

- @akromannj @JackPosobiec There are hours of vicious assaults on tape. Crowd control here and there really doesn't change the calculus. Lots of people are going to prison. Good. Jul 01, 2021
- @Owlmanyeyes Bombs were in play. It couldn't be assumed she wasn't carrying a device in with the intention of killing a hundred lawmakers. It was a necessary shot. Jul 01, 2021
- @buffaloden716 @JackPosobiec The MAGA murderers who saw the gun, understood
 this was the last line of defense, and boosted her through anyway #felonymurder <u>Jul 01</u>,
 2021
- @GeorgePapa19 Sure we do; the MAGA men who boosted her through the opening after seeing the gun should be tried for felony murder. Wonderful MAGA men; letting a woman test the waters so they don't get shot. Wonderful brave chaps. Jul 01, 2021
- @newsmax He should try a mirror. Jul 01, 2021
- @JackPosobiec The MAGA men who boosted her through the opening after seeing the gun should be tried for felony murder. Wonderful MAGA men; letting a woman test the waters so they don't get shot. Wonderful brave chaps. Jul 01, 2021
- @BernardKerik The MAGA men who boosted her through the opening after seeing the gun should be tried for felony murder. Wonderful MAGA men; letting a woman test the waters so they don't get shot. Wonderful brave chaps. Jul 01, 2021
- @ACTBrigitte Agreed. The MAGA men who boosted her through the opening after seeing the gun should be tried for felony murder. Wonderful MAGA men; letting a woman test the waters so they don't get shot. Jul 01, 2021
- @rflamerican1940 @julie_kelly2 He has been formally indicted. https://t.co/nzfQbLRp16 Jul 01, 2021
- @thurrott Wow. Opening up my ChromeBook, Win11 start is a shameless copy. Not that ChromeBook didn't borrow elements from the Windows Start menu. Some crosspollination going on here. Jul 01, 2021
- @Culture_Rot @Gary_no_numbers @julie_kelly2 When you violently overwhelm police lines 100-to-1, the priority becomes crowd control. So yes, you do what you can to control the flow of people. That doesn't magically give anyone the right to parade in the House Chambers. Doesn't work that way. Jul 01, 2021
- @Culture_Rot @Gary_no_numbers @julie_kelly2 Without the enabling violence, entry into the Chamber wouldn't have been possible. Basically, you can't strut in based off the work of violent offenders and somehow think that is OK. Jul 01, 2021
- @Culture_Rot @Gary_no_numbers @julie_kelly2 Let me put it this way. There is no reality where someone can be stomping around the House chamber on certification day and it be OK. It is direct obstruction of an official federal government function. Entering the chamber was materially worse than parading around the Rotunda. Jul 01, 2021
- @Culture_Rot @Gary_no_numbers @julie_kelly2 Sure, ignore the video that chronicles the violent assault. That's fine. Doesn't make the prison beds any softer. <u>Jul 01, 2021</u>
- @julie_kelly2 He can invoke his right to a speedy trial anytime be wishes. <u>Jul 01, 2021</u>
- @dutmersj @julie_kelly2 Yes. the outer perimeter was violently breached. Then the outer doors and windows were violently breached. So then the police tried to direct the flows as best they could, up to when they were finally forced to shoot someone for breaking down one barrier too many. Jul 01, 2021

- @Mrmartind401 @julie_kelly2 Oh boy. Well, won't make the prison cots any softer. <u>Jul</u> 01, 2021 @
- @Gary_no_numbers @julie_kelly2 Here is a detailed review of how the violent assault on our Capitol unfolded https://t.co/Ys07SK5A6F Jul 01, 2021
- @dutmersj @julie_kelly2 Let me help you out here. Officers maintaining control of the doors after the Capitol was violently assaulted and overrun isn't consent to be there. https://t.co/Ys07SK5A6F Jul 01, 2021
- @rljrvip @julie_kelly2 You clearly missed the hours of violent assault to make that picture happen. Let me help ya out. https://t.co/Ys07SK5A6F Jul 01, 2021
- @altzerocool @julie_kelly2 A guy claims a terrified cop gave him permission for him and his group to be there, after violently forcing their way in and outnumbering him 20-to-1. Won't hold up in court. Jul 01, 2021
- @AmbivalenceBoi @julie_kelly2 Imagine ignoring hours of video showing assault after assault on law enforcement officers. Jul 01, 2021
- @maxleibman @djross95 @thurrott Yes. Microsoft failed to leverage Windows into new markets, but again, that was nothing new. They failed to take out Palm in the 90s. <u>Jul 01</u>, 2021
- @maxleibman @djross95 @thurrott Simply put, Microsoft's monopolistic powers were kept in check and their OS domination never was allowed to let them achieve lasting dominance in new markets, except maybe Microsoft Office. 2/2 Jul 01, 2021
- @maxleibman @djross95 @thurrott Except that's not what happened. Microsoft never had the power to "just take it". Sure, they notoriously tried, but even in the 90s, MSN was a failure. They never beat Palm. They muscled their way to browser domination at great legal cost and little tangible benefit. 1/2 Jul 01, 2021
- @maxleibman @djross95 @thurrott You're forgetting Enterprise: Exchange, SharePoint, Office365, SQL Server, etc... Microsoft is killing it in areas where people actually pay for their software. As opposed to browsers and mobile OSes, that have zero revenue and thus zero profit. Jul 01, 2021
- @djross95 @thurrott For desktop/laptop OSes, Microsoft still commands 74% of the market. And A LOT of the cloud infrastructure. And they still own Office suite productivity. Frankly, they are insanely powerful today, maybe more so than in the 90s. Jun 30, 2021
- @djross95 @thurrott Because a monopolist is making you do it without necessity and it has been proven there is no technical requirement to make such a login necessary. Basically, Windows is about choice and whenever that is yanked away, some people will yell. Jun 30, 2021
- @GeorgeTakei "I did nothing wrong." Literally the worst comment you can make publicly when your crime is on video and a judge is looking for any hint of remorse. Jun 30, 2021
- @kafka_code @SamHenders3 @CNNPolitics Bats, tasers, stolen police shields, chemical agents... I mean, you can say all you want on twitter but lots of these guys are going to prison. Jun 30, 2021
- @TomRiccio3 @jaketapper She wasn't the only one who died. Jun 30, 2021
- @kafka_code @SamHenders3 @CNNPolitics Uh, that had weapons. Jun 30, 2021
- @julie_kelly2 Irrelevant. This is in the context of police officers being overrun by hundreds of protestors who physically forced their way into the building. A cop telling

- someone already breaking the Law to not be violent doesn't change the Law being broken. Jun 30, 2021
- @julie_kelly2 Yeah, he wasn't invited. The Capitol was violently overrun. <u>Jun 30, 2021</u>
- @CREID2852 @JDVance1 What he said. Anyone would have understood the Capitol had been violently overrun looking at the footage. The whole "Invited" BS is only going to tick off juries and judges who will be treated to the whole footage. Jun 30, 2021
- @erdene_norov @verge Sure. But we aren't talking about those PCs and it is a fanciful bit of misdirection to claim you can't deliver the improvements to those PCs unless you exclude the other PCs. Jun 30, 2021
- @erdene_norov @verge Which makes the security rationale a joke. "Can still use win10" means there are no security gains by blocking the upgrade. The older PCs will remain as vulnerable as they are today. Jun 30, 2021
- @johncardillo Bullshit. https://t.co/2ABXhnxA79 Jun 30, 2021 @
- @Bubblebathgirl The Capitol had already been visibly assaulted and overrun. At that point, the police were probably just trying to insure people didn't get jammed up or trampled. Jun 30, 2021
- @seanmdav @CindyCoops This is why all elections have auditing processes cooked in, and yes, auditing occurred at all levels of the federal elections. Jun 30, 2021
- @CindyCoops @RBPundit @TexasMoran @SenateGOP @SenateDems Why are you telling that to me? I'm not the one who stormed the Capitol with a Taser. Jun 30, 2021
- @CindyCoops @RBPundit @TexasMoran @SenateGOP @SenateDems Coming in with the intention to intimidate lawmakers and discovering a promising tool to do so and then equipping yourself with it as you force your way into their chambers isn't particularly flattering. That said, the premeditation case is a bit harder. Jun 30, 2021
- @CindyCoops @RBPundit @TexasMoran @SenateGOP @SenateDems Irrelevant. He never had the opportunity because the lawmakers were evacuated before he could force his way in. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems Except the proven meetings and planning by certain groups before the day... https://t.co/qJr9bO92cC_Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems @DNC @Ilhan @tedwheeler @GOP Not relevant. I don't care. <u>Jun 30, 2021</u>
- @CindyCoops @RBPundit @TexasMoran @SenateGOP @SenateDems Bottom line is the dude saw restraining devices, grabbed them, and then forced his way into the Congressional Chambers, but missed the lawmakers. The optics aren't particularly great here. His excuse is he wanted to deprive the police of their use. Which also isn't great. Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit Why would you grab zip-ties except to use them? I mean seriously, that's a question this dude is going to face at his trial. Jun 30, 2021
- @CindyCoops @RBPundit @TexasMoran @SenateGOP @SenateDems And how would you know that for a fact? Are you in his head? The lawmakers were evacuated before the opportunity could present itself. Jun 30, 2021
- @curtinsea @RBPundit Lord's name in vain over Twitter? You put down the shovel son. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems Again, I really don't care. It isn't relevant. Jun 30, 2021

- @CindyCoops @RBPundit @TexasMoran @SenateGOP @SenateDems Yeah, I'm seeing the filing now. Which isn't really helpful to his case; he'd lied about finding them on the floor. Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit OK, fair enough, I see the filing now. But how is this better?!? "I need to restrain lawmakers and shucks, now I can!" He'd previously lied about finding them on the floor. This really isn't helpful to his case. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems @DNC @Ilhan @tedwheeler I'm not registered to any political party. And again, not relevant. Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit So you believe the guy facing serious charges who will say anything not to go to prison. Sure. Let's just hope the feds don't have access to the perps credit card history... Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems @DNC I never excused riots. And again, not relevant. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems This isn't relevant to the matter at hand. Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit @TexasMoran @SenateGOP @SenateDems Sure.... Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems Yeah, they thought they could. Some were literally looking through lawmakers papers to find "something we can use against them". And stupid. You had to believe 50+ courts were wrong to even try this, not to mention an army of GOP election workers who vouched for the results. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems Again, I really don't care about unfounded and unproven statements. You were wrong about the Senate, and now this is another generalized claim you are making, that has no bearing on the matter at hand. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems Then why the zip-ties? <u>Jun 30</u>, 2021 @
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems I don't care who is framing out "white supremacism run amok" or for what purpose. If you try to violently overturn the results of a federal election that affects 330 million people and you fail, you should be prepared for serious consequences. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @SenateGOP @SenateDems The GOP Senate never confirmed an Obama nominee. Sotomayor was nominated when the Democrats controlled the Senate. Now you are just making stuff up. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit Because you can easily prove some of those charges and the feds are willing to get a conviction or two along those lines if cooperation yields more information for tougher later prosecutions. Some mafia murderers got wrist slaps so the feds could get Gotti. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit The Senate vote for Garland was 70/30. That doesn't smack of hyper-partisan. Simply put, we're still in the "snitch acquisition" phase when it comes to charging decisions. Jun 30, 2021
- @TexasMoran @RBPundit Again, they would need to prove premeditation to successfully try an insurrection case, and even then, would need to show the antagonist was trying to intentionally overthrow, not restore, the US government. And only the attorney general can file that charge. Jun 30, 2021

- @TexasMoran @RBPundit Only the Attorney General can file charges of insurrection. It's not something the average federal prosecutor can do. So long as the serious offenders are rounded up awaiting trial, this isn't a rush. https://t.co/3A4phU6e8p Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit @TexasMoran @Missthetree Charges that require proving premeditation take time, especially when you are charging 500+ people. The first few months were purely about suspect round-up. In additional, only the attorney general can bring forth charges of insurrection. https://t.co/3A4phU6e8p_Jun 30, 2021
- @Barner2024 @RBPundit Technically, federal courts aren't a "political authority". If nothing else, their lifetime appointments are meant to insulate judges from politics. Still atrocious behavior. But not one that aimed to overturn an election that affects 330 million people. Jun 30, 2021
- @corbinspencer1 @RBPundit Most people won't get charged with "Sedition", as it would be VERY hard to disprove they believed the election was stolen and that they were trying to restore a norm. Basically, if you don't live in crazyland, it was an insurrection. But intent does matter for charging. Jun 30, 2021
- @ArthurBoreman @RBPundit I never said these people were smart. You had to believe the election was stolen and somehow 50+ courts and an army of GOP election officials got it wrong before you'd even try this. Some people don't understand technology. They thought Parlor = secure. Jun 30, 2021
- @DSmykal @RBPundit The place had already been violently compromised. So yes, an officer might hold the door open at that point to prevent dangerous crowding. The criminality for being there had already been established well before the door. Jun 30, 2021
- @ArthurBoreman @RBPundit Premeditation/conspiracy is the difference between years of probation and years behind bars. It doesn't show up on camera. You need someone to flip and say "yeah, we came up to do this. We planned it". Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit It's amazing you think the federal government is obligated to file serious charges immediately. That's not how this works. They rounded people up on lesser charges and now are seeing what premeditation they can find. Jun 30, 2021
- @Barner2024 @RBPundit Not really. Insurrections generally have a focus on political authority and established governments. Violently going after lawmakers at the specific point they are transferring power to another party its the definition pretty well, especially with proven premeditation. Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit By the literal definition of the word, it was. Still you might not see sedition charges. Those are notoriously hard to prosecute. Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit For the majority of people, you won't see serious premeditative charges. For those who met prior, organized, and plotted infiltration and detention/arrest of lawmakers, that will be a very different story. Jun 30, 2021
- @PosCaustic @RBPundit Whataboutism is meaningless. Prosecute all crimes. <u>Jun 30</u>, 2021 @
- @duckinfantry @RBPundit They flipped an Oath Keeper a couple days ago. <u>Jun 30</u>, 2021
- @RBPundit They flipped an Oath Keeper a couple days ago. Jun 30, 2021
- @Reverend_Ducati Because that requires proving intent, which requires discovery. It is noteworthy and Oath Keeper flipped a couple days ago Jun 30, 2021

- @TexasMoran @RBPundit @Missthetree It doesn't have to be an overthrow of an entire government, but rather a revolt against a political authority. Jun 30, 2021
- @RBPundit The legal definition of insurrection is: the act or an instance of revolting esp. violently against civil or political authority or against an established government It's a broad definition that happens to fit January 6th. Jun 30, 2021
- @JensenGregory @daniel_mwh @Daniel_Rubino Market share. MacOS has 10%. Windows has 87%. Not that tight integration can't exist, but other vendors probably need to be pluggable on Windows to pass muster, especially in the EU. Jun 30, 2021
- @Daniel_Rubino Comes down to market share. MacOS has less than 10% market share of the desktop/laptop OS market. Microsoft still has 87%. Not that right Teams integration can't exist, but competitors probably need the same invite. Jun 29, 2021
- @verge It was a poor marketing decision. Windows is about choice. Artificially denying your customers an upgrade for... reasons... is always ill-advised. Jun 29, 2021
- @TheGeekNextDoor @thurrott "not be able to run" is a poor Microsoft marketing decision. Jun 29, 2021
- @kozad86 @CodeMonkeyGreg @thurrott The real question is why. Windows is about choice. Why withhold a functional product from capable hardware for... reasons? If someone can't upgrade to 11, they'll continue to run under the same security risk under Windows 10. Jun 29, 2021
- @thurrott Strange to be the most stoked about Defender. #commodore64 Jun 29, 2021
- @windowsinsider Windows is about one thing: choice. Tamper with that lightly. <u>Jun 29</u>, 2021 @
- @Thurrottfeed @thurrott I have three PCs so I'll go with majority vote. The answer is No. Jun 28, 2021
- @thurrott @jonathanmpierce Every so often the wrong marketing guy gets put behind wheel. I remember when Microsoft tried to take away software benefits from the Partners, citing cost. Didn't go over well. Jun 28, 2021
- @Doyle20131 @tonydpalermo @maryjofoley It's artificial and arbitrary. Whether Microsoft sticks to their guns with something this condescending is anyone's guess. Jun 26, 2021
- @thurrott Windows has always been about enabling choice. As many consumers migrate to Chromebooks, the user base that remains only reinforces this. Kicking computers which are obviously capable of running the new OS out because of... reasons? Pretty weak. Jun 26, 2021
- @HakemonMike @CoolApps45 @dwizzzleMSFT @dfir_justin Frankly, it's condescending to withhold an upgrade on a platform whose whole mantra is choice, when the underlying hardware is more than capable. Jun 26, 2021
- @CoolApps45 @dwizzzleMSFT @dfir_justin Indeed. There are CPUs not on the approved list that performance-wise, beat the tar out of the approved Atom and Celeron chips. Jun 26, 2021
- @PsykoRobot @Titigunner20 @tomwarren They want to sell new Windows licenses. Jun 26, 2021 @
- @ElLuffi11 @tomwarren Elevengate Jun 26, 2021
- @tomwarren It looks like it really is 2.0. Microsoft scrummed the Soft floor / hard floor guidance. Jun 25, 2021

- @JensenGregory @thurrott I think it depends from whose perspective. Microsoft wants people to buy software through their new Store. They want developers to care about 11-specific APIs. Then they lock out half their users over artificial TPM 2.0 restrictions... It's a calculated risk. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott How? You contributed next to nothing to this thread, making points that were consistently disproven every step of the way, only to move the goal posts again and again. My point is Vista raised requirements that created fragmentation. Windows 11 is doing the same thing. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott And yet you advanced no solid arguments to back up that take. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott Sure, while users who upgrade to 11 get access to a host of new valuable capabilities, and developers invest less in WMP for Windows 10 users because Android via the Amazon store is the future. So... Fragmentation. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott The core reason Windows exists is to run applications. Adding compatibility for hundreds of thousands of new applications through a new complex subsystem that integrates seamlessly with the UI is kinda a core functionality change. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott Being able to run Android apps on Windows seamlessly requires technical mastery that laughs in the face of anything 8 had over 7. And has ramifications I think you fail to appreciate. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @brian_c_weaver @thurrott "forever" is dramatic. But should Microsoft pull up the upgrade ladder from a four-year old \$1,800 Surface Pro 5 that has only known Windows 10? I'd argue no. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott That's not a great comparison; you are leaving out Windows 8. That's what 11 is to Windows 10. An update that introduces new hardware requirements and creates fragmentation. For Windows 8, it was the touchscreen. If you didn't have one, the UI was a disaster. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @brian_c_weaver @thurrott Again, irrelevant. It's not about what you want, expect, or hold customers to. It's about what they do in the real world, and they hold onto their PCs for a long time, regardless of the inherent security risks to the connected cloud networks. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott I think you are simply undervaluing what they are bringing to the table. DirectStorage is huge for gamers. Android app capability isn't trivial. Then there is direct Teams integration. If you want to trivialize that, OK. I just don't agree. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @brian_c_weaver @thurrott "Consumers are given more than enough time to update or, at worst, upgrade their devices." Irrelevant. The bottom line is that when it comes to PCs, they don't. And from Microsoft's security perspective, that is a problem if it gets out of hand. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott The RAM requirement for Windows 10 is 1 GB. The RAM requirement for Windows 11 is 4 GB. You don't take that as evidence of under-the-hood changes? Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @brian_c_weaver @thurrott The benefit is keeping older devices patched that might otherwise get malware and attack their networks. I had dinner with the head of the Insiders team when 10 came out. They desperately wanted people off of 7 on those grounds alone. Jun 25, 2021

- @JensenGregory @thurrott From a user experience perspective, Vista didn't change much from XP. Pretty much everything that ran on Vista ran on XP. That was the problem. Lots of under-the-hood improvements and heightened requirements, with little obvious benefit to actual users. Jun 25, 2021
- @brian_c_weaver @JensenGregory @thurrott I expect Windows 11 will be a pass for many Enterprise organizations. They won't want to support a bunch of nonupgradeable 10 machines AND 11 machines. This is where, on-pattern, Windows 12 will act as the next major corporate OS upgrade. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott I think you are reading more into my comments than is there. I'm saying the heightened hardware requirements will create ecosystem fragmentation. That is inherently true. Whether average Joe/Jane users will care, I don't know. Plenty of people stayed on 7 for years and were fine Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott I never said this wasn't a repeat of history. This is all on pattern. Microsoft launches a fragmenting OS and then defragments the user-base with a unifying OS later (XP after 2000, 7 after Vista, 10 after 8). 1/2 Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott There are #windows11 specific APIs, so yes, UWP and Win32 apps will have functional differences. https://t.co/8TgpA3CLdR Android apps will also be part of the #windows11 ecosystem, but not for #windows10. To argue the ecosystem isn't changing is well, weird. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott When official support ends is irrelevant. If you deny hundreds of millions of PCs an upgrade path that will still be running for years, you are creating a fragmented ecosystem. Just a fact. Jun 25, 2021
- @JensenGregory @thurrott There are LOTS of Windows 10 computers that will not meet the minimum requirements, as communicated now. Shucks, based on what we know, the four-year old Surface Pro 5 was born on 10 and will die on 10. Jun 25, 2021
- @thurrott For me, it is the new Vista. A glossy version of the prior OS that increases hardware requirements and fragments the ecosystem. XP seems like more of a unifying OS. Smoothing out the compatibility issues of 2000 to get more people onboard with the NT kernel. Jun 25, 2021
- @manh_thai @thurrott I saw. A four year old premium PC from Microsoft. I'm beginning to see the need for the rebrand. This is basically Vista. A glossy version of the prior OS with under-the-hood stuff which significantly raises the minimum hardware requirements. Jun 25, 2021
- @SamarthJ_7 @Windows No. It's basically what Vista was to XP. Jun 25, 2021 &
- @maryjofoley @colin79666 Microsoft alternates between unifying OSes (XP, 7, 10) and technically aspirational OSes that fragment the user base (2000, Vista, 8). I suspect 11 fits in the latter camp. Jun 25, 2021
- @casualk_127 @The_AzzyTM @maryjofoley It's just not a necessity for gaming so plenty of gaming rigs don't have em. <u>Jun 25, 2021</u>
- @rostyslav999s @Gibson99dotcom @dispensa @MaxWinebach Yeah, maybe to ChromeBook... Jun 25, 2021
- TPM2.0 is a strange hill to die on. #windows11 Jun 25, 2021
- @maryjofoley @BillyMunshaw @thurrott They are already saying lots of Surface Pros won't make the cut for the upgrade, including as late as the Surface Pro 5. https://t.co/y8knggq7KF Jun 25, 2021

- @maryjofoley @BillyMunshaw @thurrott In other words, this is an average Thursday when it comes to Microsoft communications strategy. Jun 25, 2021
- @tomwarren Interesting. Surface Pro 1's TPM is 1.2. So I guess it is out of the upgrade cycle. I wonder how many SPs don't have TPM 2.0. Jun 24, 2021
- @thurrott The TPM 2.0 is going to be a sticking point. Surface Pro 1 TPM is 1.2; it likely isn't getting the upgrade ticket. Granted, its 9 years old but still. Wonder if newer Surface Pros won't get the upgrade Jun 24, 2021
- @Omnimaxus79 @thurrott What part of that fails the minimum test? Jun 24, 2021
- @Earlydawnphoto @BLaw Besides the point. Public school is an arm of the government and the government's reach to limit free speech must always be held to high scrutiny. It didn't meet the test today. Good. Jun 23, 2021 ☑
- @sales bitcoin Major holders probably buying to stop the slide. Jun 22, 2021
- #Bitcoin has breached \$32k. I wonder how many of the larger holders will buy now to try and stop the slide. Jun 21, 2021
- @michael_saylor So @MicroStrategy now owns 1 out of 200 issued Bitcoins... Seems like a lot of concentration for a new-fangled decentralized currency. Jun 21, 2021
- So @MicroStrategy now owns over 1 out of every 200 issued #Bitcoins. Kinda a large percentage for what should be a decentralized currency exchanged by billions. Jun 21, 2021
- @maryjofoley @maxleibman @thurrott Considering this 11 release feels a lot like the Iron Patriot paint job, I don't sense underlying strategy is changing much. S mode likely still has a future. https://t.co/CP7pK8Gjlx_Jun 20, 2021
- @ChrisCillizza He wants out of his contract. My guess anyway. Jun 19, 2021
- @Bease11 Trying to get out of your contract? Nice try. <u>Jun 19, 2021</u>
- @francesca_hulm You do realize you need to ADD UP his two time slots. Jun 18, 2021
- @jakob dahl @pakaworld @maryjofoley I suspect they will. Jun 17, 2021
- @noonefollowsme @pakaworld @maryjofoley Is it a bad idea for Microsoft to insure kids (the workforce of tomorrow) have cheap or free access to the technology they want to be the standard for business? In this case, the progressive pricing is an investment. Same reason why Visual Studio Community exists. Jun 17, 2021
- @Lucky13X @YorgosHelios @verge Don't expect 4k streams. Or fantastic performance if you aren't in a major metro hub. I've tried Cloud Streaming. Great in Fairfax, VA. Unworkable in Joplin, MO. Jun 16, 2021
- @thurrott Maybe in Fiber-friendly areas. Still very hit and miss elsewhere. <u>Jun 16, 2021</u>
- @bonnaud dowell @thurrott It's Iron Patriot https://t.co/maiH2aOpzPJun 16, 2021 @
- @SugeWhitte @_XboxNews There's plenty of areas where the Internet capability just won't handle game streaming. Jun 15, 2021
- @pakaworld @maryjofoley Depends. Microsoft's pricing is fairly progressive. Charge the most to the people who can most afford it. Jun 15, 2021
- @thurrott @bdsams And in Joplin, we are getting 70 Mbps down here. Not bad at all. The deciding factor might be where the Microsoft data centers live. Jun 15, 2021

- @thurrott @bdsams They still have a geographic divide to cross. Streaming in Fairfax, VA on my Fiber connection is brilliant. Streaming in Joplin, MO on a decent cable connection is a non-starter. Jun 15, 2021
- @thurrott On the flip side, I remember Apple killing my 3G by sending an OS update the hardware couldn't handle. Jun 15, 2021
- @SomaPillsTV @elonmusk If you want more it'll be \$5.99 for the first minute and \$2.99 for each additional minute. Jun 15, 2021
- @SomaPillsTV @elonmusk Crypto is part of the future. So was the Titanic once. <u>Jun 15</u>, 2021 @
- @Thurrottfeed @thurrott You still need a PlayStation to web sling. I say that as an XBox Series X owner. Jun 14, 2021
- @timruss2 If you're Jewish, it hits home. <u>Jun 14, 2021</u>
- @SomaPillsTV @elonmusk Tell that to eToys or the CD-ROM. Jun 14, 2021
- @timruss2 A subculture called "Regressives" accepted resettlement, but were likely murdered on the transports. Then society adopted the story that they had killed each other. Jun 14, 2021
- @timruss2 How about a population trying to paint over a genocide? Was a Voyager episode that speaks somewhat to the Holocaust. "Remember" https://t.co/TECcmRsUo0 Jun 14, 2021
- @timruss2 Worf's Dad was framed for an empire betrayal that Duras's Dad committed. The entire empire bought into this lie to preserve a temporary peace, only for civil war to erupt a couple years later. Jun 14, 2021
- @thurrott One marketing note they should add for Series S: Portability. If you travel a lot, you can't throw a Series X into a suitcase easily. Jun 10, 2021
- @Newideas2013 @nicky2056 @StephenCarmody6 @kathrynw5 @POTUS It's unlikely cancellation of student debt could be accomplished legally without Congressional Action. And frankly, the votes just aren't there. Jun 07, 2021
- @nicky2056 @Newideas2013 @StephenCarmody6 @kathrynw5 @POTUS It's simply about raw power. The Democrats don't have the votes to pass non-financial policy. That wouldn't be different under Warren. But with Warren as a candidate, yeah, we might be in Trump's second term. Jun 06, 2021
- @Newideas2013 @nicky2056 @StephenCarmody6 @kathrynw5 @POTUS Honestly, I don't remember that marketing. Jun 06, 2021
- @Newideas2013 @StephenCarmody6 @nicky2056 @kathrynw5 @POTUS So... Biden legitimately got more votes than either Sanders or Warren. I don't see how he was shoved down anyone's throat when he simply got the most votes. Jun 06, 2021
- @Semper_Fi_Redux @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ I suspect a Wisconsin judge will correct your understanding of these statutes between now and end of September. Jun 06, 2021
- @Semper_Fi_Redux @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ Criminal complaint for Dominick Black https://t.co/zknu87jrYY I'm expecting a likely federal case after the state case. Jun 06, 2021
- @Semper_Fi_Redux @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ Mr. Rittenhouse already confessed he provided the money for the weapon. It wasn't a gift. Jun 05, 2021

- @LibertyForAll19 @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ He confessed to the Washington Post he gave Mr. Black the money so he could have a weapon for himself. I mean, lie all you want here. It doesn't change the criminal complaints or the evidence on record. Jun 05, 2021
- @smcroasters Desperate to create some semblance of differentiation by embracing the negative, much? Some free advice. Negativity isn't a sustainable business model. Never has been. Never will be. Fin. Jun 05, 2021
- @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ Irrelevant. You can't take someone else's money and buy them a weapon with your name on the federal ownership paperwork. Ask the ATF. Jun 05, 2021
- @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ It wasn't a gift. Mr. Rittenhouse provided the money with the explicit understanding he'd be the owner. Jun 05, 2021
- @smcroasters And this is why you have a single digit percentage of Black Rifle's followers. Keep your eye on the ball chief. Jun 05, 2021
- @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ You haven't read the surrounding statutes well. Mr. Black has made your argument, which the judge will likely shoot down either this month or at his September hearing. Regardless, lying about gun ownership on federal papers is a federal offense. Full stop. Jun 05, 2021
- @HomoRepublican @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ Mr. Rittenhouse admitted in a Washington Post interview the weapon was explicitly for him. <u>Jun 05, 2021</u>
- @Ellis727 @smcroasters You do understand Mr. Rittenhouse admitted to providing \$\$\$ for Mr. Black to purchase a weapon, with Mr. Black's name on the federal paperwork. Mr. Black is the one who has higher legal jeopardy there, but we shouldn't pretend that didn't happen. Jun 05, 2021
- @smcroasters Mr. Rittenhouse provided \$1k+ for a weapon, bought by his friend Dominick Black, whose name is on the federal paperwork. Classic straw purchase that he admitted to. It may be his friend who goes to prison on felony charges for that, but he was a participant. Jun 05, 2021
- @StephenCarmody6 @Newideas2013 @nicky2056 @kathrynw5 @POTUS Not at all. Warren and Bernie came across as extreme and somewhere naggy. Frankly, people wanted solid proven competence. Biden offered that in spades, which is why he won the primaries. Jun 05, 2021
- @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ As for their mission, they are about veterans and first responders. So yes, they might donate to Democrats to get an ear on veterans issues, which are incredibly important. https://t.co/07Ij9x3WHt_Jun 05, 2021
- @smcroasters @HR_CWO @ClaudesBBQ At the very least Mr. Rittenhouse took part in an illegal straw purchase of a weapon. Other issues aside, that is more than enough for the NRA and most corps to stay away. Jun 05, 2021
- @GregJaffe Lordy, there are tapes. Jun 03, 2021
- @mskvsk @glassnode The biggest vulnerability Bitcoin faces is an external financial crises that essentially requests holders pull their money. Because at that point, there is little underlying value there. Jun 02, 2021
- @nicky2056 @kathrynw5 @POTUS Honestly, if it had been Sanders or Warren, we might be well into Trump's second term. Jun 02, 2021

- @sissyroxx She has nothing to do with the procurement of the weapon. The man who allegedly straw purchased it has been charged. Jun 01, 2021
- @CharlieBarkin6 @paimadhu The treatment regiment for TB is usually six to twelve months of drugs. If a vaccine were available and effective against it, I'd give it a hard look. Jun 01, 2021
- @JosephJFlynn1 It's on video bro. Lordy, there are tapes. Jun 01, 2021
- @JessicaHuseman It's more affordable now that you don't need to spend \$70 on a base cable subscription to get it. Debating it over here. May 31, 2021
- @ashnichrist No. Unless watching video games being played turns out to be a fad people leave in mass. Not impossible but unlikely. Technical chops will never be a problem. They are owned by Amazon. May 30, 2021
- @scrowder Because the stroke would have happened without all the surrounding circumstances...:/ May 29, 2021
- @terry choinski @seanhannity Nope. May 28, 2021
- @jason_meister Would that police officer have had that stroke otherwise? Probably not.
 May 28, 2021
- @fakefiine You can get the Play Store on it pretty easily. I have the 2019 Fire 10 and it works pretty well. https://t.co/HKPZ7fKT06 May 25, 2021
- @Kenosha_News Regardless, this will serve as a preview on the Mr. Rittenhouse case, as the same argument is being made there in front of the same judge. Via this case, we might have clarity on the matter by June. May 25, 2021
- @Kenosha_News And frankly, more concerning are potential federal straw purchase charges. The ATF is likely letting local law enforcement lead the charge (think Chauvin trial). No hunting cop out will save Mr. Black from federal gun charges. May 25, 2021
- @Kenosha_News Kinda doubt the "not in violation" defense will hold. Those two hunting statutes are optional certification and supervision statutes. You can't really be "in violation" of them. Certain privileges just aren't enabled if you are "not in compliance" with them. 1/2 May 25, 2021
- @HILLARY_MINTZ The background drummer to this whole thing. May 25, 2021
- @MichaelJPartyka It's a dumb argument to be sure. The truth might not be all that much better (maybe worse), but yeah, it has been known for months a weapon didn't cross state lines. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews You haven't read the criminal complaint. Not well anyways. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Uh, that didn't happen with shot #2. There wasn't a hand on the gun. Not remotely. I mean, keep making stuff up but BS generally dies in court. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Yeah, guess what. In that situation, you don't trigger pull when the chances of hitting your intended target is practically nonexistent and the chances of hitting a bystander somewhat likely. Especially with a 550 meter ranged weapon. You don't pull unless you have a shot. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews If you knew the jury instructions that will likely be assigned to the case, you wouldn't see this as trivial. But, oh well. May 25, 2021

- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews That is not remotely true. Again, you can make stuff up here all you want, but it really doesn't help things in the courtroom May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Like not saying "guys, it was self-defense". Yeah the kid is a regular genius... May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews He fires twice, the second at ground level while falling backward. I mean, you can pretend that shot doesn't exist on twitter, but that trick doesn't work in the court room. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews No lethal force was remotely deployed by pursuers at the time he wildly fired his first two shots. At that point, you are already at reckless endangerment with a lethal weapon. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Not true. The jerk trigger pulled while falling backward, without aiming. It's a miracle a bystander wasn't killed. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews He didn't tell "them". He told one person who was immediately confused and asked more questions that Mr. Rittenhouse ignored. This is where a loud yell "I'm getting the police" would have been a good idea. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews He didn't tell "them". May 25, 2021 @
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Yeah, he says it to Gaige. You can make it out. Another reporter onsite also confirmed he said that. I think that is actually mentioned in the top of the thread article. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Which is kinda the point. Bullets were already flying when Mr. Huber tried to take the gun, someone Mr. Rittenhouse never got a clear look at. It could have been a soccer Mom trying not to die and he would have still trigger pulled. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews What Mr. Rittenhouse reasonably knew is what is 100% on trial here. And if there is a moment where he yelled "I'm going to the police", by all means tell me. He told one guy right before lying about being the shooter. It is unreasonable to presume everyone got the memo May 25, 2021

 ■
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Mr. Rittenhouse actually lied and said "I didn't shoot anybody" when he said he was going to the police. So, basically a shooter pretending to be a bystander. Not particularly compelling. Also demonstrates he fully understands the shooting is the issue at hand. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews This is an affirmative defense. The defense does need to present a compelling argument that Mr. Rittenhouse reasonably thought the interference was unlawful. Frankly, that is a hard lift. Not impossible (dumb 17 year old), but hardly easy. May 25, 2021
- @GGarrett2000 @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews I'm not sure you fully grasp the entirety of what happened. Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired

- wildly into the immediate area, twice. Then he kills someone for all he knows is grabbing the gun so he won't get killed by a stray. 1/2 May 25, 2021
- @JonSmith922 @RobfromMO @Badams820 @medwoman1 @4ever_patriot @Ihonestlydont19 @QuidRises @PrimedRosalee @OhNoDano @laylow88861429 @Vickie627 @FredHarris_GC @Forseti_Pazzo @ziggystardad @RickySi16087724 @PaulStetson13 @_Kel_Varnsen_ @MrChingonE @RAGINxCAJUN @ConcernedinPV @mathmomma24 @ahrehead @JeffreySHarper1 @EverythingDoubt @schmevil @JosephH27418496 @epitomeof3 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @JDW714 @Emma34770971 @MistressRedWasp @PoliticsPot @jdd2169 @henriziolkowski @annieka77 @StrokeyStratfo1 @wknu_radio @CaptainTeag @RICHARD53463775 @22CB22CB @giddy_bunny @Merry_Hippie @1Quetzalcoatl1 @BSHerrle @waywardmegan15 @PATRIQT_1776 @MsDianaFrances @Sinner_Lilith @TeekeeMon @ruxcytbl Mr. Rittenhouse provided Mr. Black the \$\$\$ for the weapon, with the explicit understanding it would be his, despite what was on the federal paperwork. In short, Mr. Black allegedly illegally sold him a weapon. May 24, 2021 ■
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa @Kenosha_News You know... Watch the Dominick Black interview. https://t.co/sw7G31rpTE Frankly, I get mad at this guy every time I do. Whatever you think of Mr. Rittenhouse, this adult set up a 17 year old to epically fail. May 24, 2021

 ■
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa @Kenosha_News If you listen to Dominick Black's interview with the police, he explicitly said they went there to provide protection for a specific business, based on a call he received. Whether you trust that is your own choice, but that was his claim. May 24, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa In any event, on that conciliatory note, I'll call it a night. May 24, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa They are a source for sure. Recently mandated changes in jury instruction for reckless homicide might help the defense; it used to be self-defense could be excluded from jury instruction for reckless homicide. Now it must be incorporated even if it is a negative defense. May 24, 2021
- @freekyleusa @tingle kitty Thanks. I do appreciate that. May 24, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa One parting gift. The jury instructions for the statute. Worth a read. Basically, the defense must present evidence that demonstrates the exemption works here, as an affirmative defense. The judge will then decide if the prosecution needs to disprove it. https://t.co/xYJ8OCGUnp May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Yeah @tingle_kitty, I'm gonna bow out now. You're civil, but the other account doesn't understand constructive skepticism. Fortunately, I do think all sides of this have competent counsel. May 23, 2021
- @freekyleusa @tingle_kitty Mr. Rittenhouse's counsel clearly understands the potential issue, even if the PR team doesn't. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa I used "straw purchase" as a term, as that is what happened. Mr. Black took Mr. Rittenhouse's money and took him out to a store to buy a weapon of his choosing. It is a classic straw purchase. The minor statute doesn't distinguish between straw purchasers and store owners though May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa The fact that sales are part of the statute is telling. From a common sense perspective, you usually don't sell weapons on hunting trips. They are bought at stores pre-trip. Hence the compliance certification. May 23, 2021

- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Actually 948.60(2)(c) as well as "b" is relevant here. That is what Dominick Black is being charged with. He's facing 12 years in prison for this. Simply put, he sold a gun to a 17 year old who didn't prove he had a hunters certification. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa The under-18 statute lists financial transactions as one of the methods for illegally providing a weapon. Mr. Black sold Mr. Rittenhouse the weapon. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Mr. Rittenhouse explicitly told the Washington Post the rifle was for himself. In an interview his own attorney arranged. Can't reinvent history. It was an illegal straw purchase. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa It's been a pleasant conversation. Thanks for that. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Looking at the sentencing under the titles, it does say "under 16". So yes, it matches the title and thus this statute has nothing to do with either 16 or 17 year olds. Fair point on the under-16 point. You were right there. Still nothing a 17 year old can comply with. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa That's a pretty harsh and very likely untrue statement. The knife was the only rationale offered. Anything else is baseless speculation aimed at making a point. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa It's wishful thinking. If there was genuine confusion about the Law, maybe there would be a semblance of a point here. But Mr. Rittenhouse literally gave Mr. Black his money so Mr. Black could buy him a weapon to dodge the under 18 prohibition. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Which is why the section mentioning 16 year olds is still valid. "29.304(3) (3) Persons 14 to 16 years of age." But with nothing in the statute pertaining to 17 year olds, there is nothing for Mr. Rittenhouse to be in compliance with. It specifically only pertains to 16&under May 23, 2021
- @tingle kitty @freekyleusa Look for Orcanut's work on the matter. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Yes. The statute is actually TITLED for individuals under 16. As such, Mr. Rittenhouse can't be in compliance with its terms no more than I can be in compliance with an under 16 learner's permit statute. It's completely fine so long as he had the cert. He didn't May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa 1) there was a knife. 2) A rifle bullet has four times the power of a pistol bullet. So we are still talking more than double that firepower here. The rationale for lack of charges was the knife. That doesn't exist here May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Yeah, it's ok for him to be wrong or unsure. He never affirmatively said contact was made. I've read the criminal complaint. And we have video from multiple records to fix his hurried limited range of view. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa No, they meant to enable it under certain conditions, hence if you are certified, you can carry a weapon. Otherwise, the general under 18 prohibition is in effect. This is akin to a learner's permit for a 15 year old driver. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Mr. Rosenbaum never made contact with the weapon. From the right angles, that is pretty clear. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa If you are in compliance with either of the stated statutes, you can carry. At 17, you need the proper certification, as you age out of the supervision

- statute and can't be in compliance with it If Mr. Rittenhouse had the hunting cert, this might be an interesting conversation. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Yes. Four shots from a high powered riffle at an unarmed guy, while was already crumbling to the ground after shot two. If the fourth bullet is the killing shot, that is legally bad. Every trigger pull is a decision. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Mr. Rittenhouse was running toward more people with a held weapon after shooting someone and then lying about it when directly asked. This is likely a case of 3rd party defense privilege and Mr. Rittenhouse could only fire on unlawful interference. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa No, there are several areas of genuine criminal liability Mr. Rittenhouse is exposed to. You should ask why the defense is bringing in medical experts. If this was a cut & dry case, that wouldn't be necessary. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa If you work private security criminally unqualified (21+ age requirement with weapon) with an illegally straw purchased weapon, shoot an unarmed person, and then kill people responding to the shooting without a word of explanation, yeah, you might be inconvenienced. May 23, 2021
- @Xbox Usually, nothing May 23, 2021
- @RealOnTheLevel @theamgreatness In a word, no. May 23, 2021
- @BettikTheThird @Blueishvicstan @KathrynTewson @troycoverdale @CodingEtudes @RichSeviora @jdsanctioned @Burning_razor He was protecting a business, but likely was not legally privileged to (not directly hired by business owner, age and training requirement not met for private security work, illegal carry) May 23, 2021
- @mikehahn_ @JasonMillerinDC Fauci never insisted the virus's origin was natural. Nothing new here. May 23, 2021 @
- @JordanSchachtel Bombs were in play. Security couldn't assume a suicide vest didn't come with the violent rioter trying to breach the last line of defense to get to Congress. Full stop. May 23, 2021
- @gregkellyusa Last line of defense before reaching Congress. Bombs were in play. Security couldn't assume a suicide vest didn't come with the violent rioters trying to get through. Full stop. May 23, 2021
- @NinjaMissile21 @NRA Mr. Rittenhouse illegally straw purchased his weapon using Dominick Black as a proxy. On those grounds alone, the NRA won't touch him. They can't be associated with illegal gun purchases. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Yup. No exception was enabled. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Also, affirmative self-defense claims aren't generally made at probable cause. They are done at trial. Hence the ordered trial. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Not all self-defense is legal and in this case there is at least probable cause the legal requirements weren't met (reckless premeditation a potential disqualifier as well as use of force against lawful interference). There's more going on than the moments of video you saw. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa No, Dominick Black blurted it out before even being charged. Mr. Black's Dad isn't really in criminal jeopardy here. He wasn't the straw purchaser. He simply remarked he tried to restrict access to the weapon, unsuccessfully.

 May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Irrelevant. And you are cherry picking. The under-18 statute creates a prohibited person class, with exemptions Mr. Rittenhouse did not meet. Again, a

- magistrate saw this charge and let it through, despite objections. If it was as simple as you say, wouldn't have happened. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Seriously doubt it. Ambiguity is not a defense here, as Dominick Black already confessed they knew the carry was illegal. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa It's very clear. Both Dominick Black and Dominick Black's Dad have already confessed they knew the possession and straw purchase wasn't legal. Hence, so did Mr. Rittenhouse, who arranged the illegal straw purchase with his \$\$\$ and Mr. Black's name. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Agreed that is where we disagree. Supervision and certification statutes are meant to simply enable things. Compliance is an affirmative act. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa "in violation" and "not in compliance" mean very different things. There are statutes that let you drive at 15, which usually include having a learner's permit. I don't have one but I'm 40. I'm "not in compliance" with that statute, but I'm not in violation either. May 23, 2021
- @tingle_kitty @freekyleusa Again, you need to be in compliance with either a certification statute (hunting license) or in compliance with an under-16 supervision statute. Mr. Rittenhouse was not in compliance with either. Not legally a problem by itself, but it means the carry exemption was not enabled May 23, 2021
- @freekyleusa In short, you can not be in violation of a statute while also not being in compliance with it. The specific words in the statute are very important. May 23, 2021
- @freekyleusa If dismissing the charge was a simple matter of "oh, that's a long gun", I don't think the magistrate would have let the charge get past probable cause. It's always important to not swap out words in statutes in order to make a case. May 23, 2021
- @freekyleusa I believe this is an incorrect interpretation of the statute. The phrasing in relation to the exemptions involving long guns is "not in compliance", not "violation". Simply put, the requirements of the two statutes were simply not obtained, hence not in compliance. May 23, 2021
- @bergerbell Public messaging right now is if you are double vaccinated, go out and enjoy yourself. But yes, plenty people will tread lightly. Lack of people dying, at least in this country, will hopefully help people get over this trauma. Some things though, will never be the same. May 22, 2021
- @brycehaymond @madebygoogle Mine arrived, but sadly the same issue emerged.
 Disconnected from wifi in under a week. Only wifi device I own where it is a problem.
 May 22, 2021
- @diana4lo @Reuters As someone who thinks this case should lead to a conviction, it's worth noting he was 17 at the time of being charged. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Of course. But you seem to want a new trial. You are getting a new trial. So, what's the problem? May 22, 2021
- @dflick The federal civil rights trial. See? You're getting what you want. https://t.co/pzKhbrbu17 May 22, 2021
- @dflick What are you complaining about? A new trial has already been ordered. May 22, 2021
- @AP shame on you. https://t.co/qHIHRSZbnh May 22, 2021 @
- @dflick Again, whatever, lie all you want. Prison beds are not particularly comfortable. But there you are. May 22, 2021

- @dflick The truth. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Nope. https://t.co/D7I62ZqqLI May 22, 2021
- @dflick Yeah, that isn't true. Again, lie on Twitter all you want. Crushing the life out of a handcuffed man for nine minutes, two of which when there was no longer a pulse, was cold blooded murder. Cheer up though, a new trial was ordered. May 22, 2021
- @dflick https://t.co/D7I62ZqqLI May 22, 2021
- @dflick It was in the testimony bro. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Irrelevant. The primary cause of death was the vicious assault on his person. Other factors can be significant but not dominant. It was a brutal murder and Chauvin will be lucky if he ever sees daylight outside of a prison pen again. May 22, 2021
- @dflick It constricted blood movement to the brain. Full stop. May 22, 2021
- @dflick No one thought carbon monoxide was remotely relevant at the time of the autopsy and surprise/surprise, it wasn't. They only revisited the raw computer readings when the defense bought up the ridiculous claim. Nothing see here but a murderer getting a murderer's due. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Irrelevant. I know it's a racist's wet dream that being a BLM.supporter somehow invalidates one from being on a jury. His support of BLM was disclosed in the jury questionnaire bro. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Lol. No one lied bro. That was an MLK commemorative shirt sold at an MLK memorial rally. The fact peoples's heads explode over it is hilarious. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Whatever makes you feel better man. The hospital (HCMC) is a non-state non-profit entity. Sure, make stuff up if it makes you feel better. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Dismissing the honor and integrity of 12 jurors who swore their verdicts were genuine isn't particularly compelling. Sorry this all makes you sore; you aren't the only one. May 22, 2021
- @Griff_GOP @chrisdidato @TDWeible @GoldilocksKay @Tea4gunsSC @EDinCali Bombs had been found. Sorry, you try to breach the last line of defense to get to Congress, security won't assume you aren't wearing a suicide vest when explosives are a factor. Sad that brave MAGA men saw the guns and pushed a woman through to test the waters. Brave chaps May 22, 2021
- @dflick Strange the judge and jury didn't see it that way.... May 22, 2021
- @dflick Irrelevant. It just exposes how weak the drug overdose claim was. And for the record, it was the hospital itself that didn't transmit the blood gas readings. The state received the same info the defense did. Shit on the wall that the jury saw past. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Oh, I watched the trial. If the drug overdose thing was a slam dunk, why go for the carbon monoxide Hail Mary? Because the D was simply tossing shit on the wall and nothing stuck. May 22, 2021
- @dflick LoL. I mean, go ahead and make stuff up on Twitter. It doesn't make the prison bed any softer. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Yeah. It's legally a bad idea to be explicitly told by your own men the person you are assaulting has no pulse and then to maintain the attack for another two minutes. All on recorded video. Wanna understand the murder conviction. Think about those two minutes. May 22, 2021
- @dflick Reasonable doubt isn't measured in nanometers. May 22, 2021

- @DebtScratch @The2ndMouse220 @MrAndyNgo @chesaboudin Wouldn't explain why everyone else is still facing serious prison time. And shucks, flip one person, who knows how many prior crimes they'll get chargeable Intel on. May 22, 2021
- @The2ndMouse220 @MrAndyNgo @chesaboudin Everyone else involved in th carjacking is being charged. They may have flipped the minor to get more severe charges solidified for the adults. Just a thought. Legally, minor sentencing is limited. Maybe a good trade. May 22, 2021
- @RB26416392 @NBCNews If you are 13 and 15 in DC, you are tried as minors. It's the Law. Full stop. May 22, 2021
- @RevMoonshine One thing to note about the self defense statute. It is only valid against "unlawful interference" (first sentence) If "rightfully so" is true, then defense has a much harder job. May 21, 2021
- @budwin9 @BuddyWinston Or his dog. https://t.co/fk9AR9TSn3 May 21, 2021
- @KodaKazar "How quickly bravado goes out the window when you're flat on your ass". Android 18 to Vegeta, DBZ Abridged May 20, 2021
- @aephax @QuasLacrimas @CovfefeAnon It was an empty house under construction chief. The man went in and out carrying nothing. So, yeah, invent circumstances that don't exist here, wonderful for twitter but not so much does the courtroom. May 20, 2021
- @QuasLacrimas @aephax @CovfefeAnon Basically, the jogger was well within his self-defense rights and it is illegal to use lethal force of legal interference. May 20, 2021
- @QuasLacrimas @aephax @CovfefeAnon Irrelevant. Nothing he did remotely approached a potential felony. As such, this was assault with deadly weapons and murder. Everything else is legally irrelevant. Federal charges just dropped too. These guys won't be seeing much daylight in their futures. May 20, 2021
- @thurrott I like how Netflix doesn't compromise in the face of this. It must be great not being beholden to advertisers. May 20, 2021
- @aephax @CovfefeAnon @QuasLacrimas Chasing down a jogger who did nothing wrong in a truck armed to the teeth and then killing said jogger when he was afraid he was about to be murdered? And then was? I'm not sure I'd hang my hat on that one chief.

 May 20, 2021
- @EpochTimes Even without the alleged murders, the officer in question is saying Mr. Rittenhouse's illegal straw purchase of the weapon was doing "nothing wrong" and that all uniformed cops support that. On those grounds alone, the dismissal will stand. May 20, 2021
- @Rubenia_Borge @laurieontech The official programming language of Android is Java. That should give you a hint to its importance. Still, there are plenty circles where you'd never meet a Java developer. May 19, 2021
- @thurrott Roaming in theory was a great idea. I had issues though doing it across different machine types and monitor sizes. May 19, 2021
- @thurrott Sweet:) looking forward to the production release. May 19, 2021
- @bobby_0081 @RepublicanRehab @WHurensohn @JerryKeirns @SumatraSue
 @EnrelChi @ReganLieutenant @AggressiveDem @BlueKomand @DoubleD_2021
 @Q_Estrada @RebMax @IanLStrain @RealDoctorT @PamHopper15
 @ComplexityDNA @B26Rick @Liraallaccess @atliberalandold @AELoera @dfbeck44
 @CleeseRaymond Restricted blood circulation to the brain due to compressed arteries

- and veins from the hold. It wasn't purely about air flow, per the autopsy report. Murder. Full stop. May 19, 2021
- @verge I think it has something to say. Do you? https://t.co/Q3qku0rkJ4 May 18, 2021 @
- @VickiBryanBondA China feels ahead of the curve here. May 18, 2021
- @gabsmashh Lots of acceleration happens in your 30s. May 18, 2021
- @refinersfiremu1 @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @JackPosobiec It was mandated by law starting in 1956. Admittedly I was wrong about the gold standard; it had more to do with the politics of establishing spiritual superiority over communism. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Forgive me, standardized on all currency in 1956 to differentiate us from Communism. Basically, politics. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec So, most of what you said isn't true. I'm sorry, I don't have time to debunk all that right now. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec In short, if you are going to make this a religious argument, you must allow for all religious arguments. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec That motto was added to money when we went off the Gold standard. God's name was used in vain to make up for a tangible standard. Not a great argument. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec That motto was added to money when we went off the Gold standard. God's name was used in vain to make up for a tangible standard. Not a great argument. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Yes, like forcing women to not have control over their own bodily reproductive functions, regardless of their own spiritual beliefs. Classic Judaism for instance doesn't place a soul in the baby until after birth. https://t.co/Xi5mPf4NOM May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec And yet, the First Amendment explicitly states the government can't take sides on matters of religion. In other words, government can't impose religious virtue, it must come from elsewhere. Hence what John Adams meant. Constitutional freedoms require a virtuous people. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Yes with a strong backbone of individual liberties the government can't take away, despite the consent of the majority. A right to be secure in our persons and bodies for instance. (4th amendment). May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Our government is not allowed to take sides on a religious basis. As such, protections for the unborn must follow scientific guidances. There is little scientific rationale for moving the viability line. And frankly, the more you rush abortion decisions, the more you'll have. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec A woman can smoke three packs a day and chug half a bottle of whiskey daily while pregnant. Not advisable but not criminal either. Sure, killing pregnant women who are trying to bring life into the world is horrible. Tack on extra time. May 18, 2021

- @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec So, your absolute best case for early viability, which frankly was nothing short of a miracle, is 21 weeks and 5 days (lets round up and call that 22). That isn't compelling. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Sure. Laws get passed, stayed in court, and sent to be blocked by federal judges all the time. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec As for the federal government, it doesn't recognize the legality of a life until the day of birth. That's a simple legal fact. No SSN. No medicaid. No nutrition assistance. No custodial savings accounts. I could go on. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Yes, many legal abortions are being done after the 24 week mark. If a state doesn't elect to restrict abortions, criminal law doesn't come into play until day 1 of a baby's life. There are states where abortions for a variety of reasons are allowed quite late into pregnancy. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec 24 weeks is generally where the Supreme Court says gov can step in. Not sure what you are mad about. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Stumped him. Wasn't hard. May 18, 2021
- @LetMeBeClearNow @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1
 @JackPosobiec Yeah, you chose not to pay close attention to anything I said. Bye. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec What was "my definition"? May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec You go through two pregnancies with your wife and track things week by week, you learn some shit. Yeah, we started talking to our kids after the 24 week mark. May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec "Crucially, the coordinated brain activity required for consciousness does not occur until 24-25 weeks of pregnancy. We cannot say when consciousness first emerges, but it cannot rationally be called before the end of the second trimester at 24 weeks of pregnancy." May 18, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @GabeFernandezJr @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec I'll just leave this here. https://t.co/3ZSYL4RTTL May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec When the first one didn't pan out (fairly early miscarriage) I mourned what could have been, not what was lost. Had there been a fatal issue with delivery, I'd have mourned what we would have lost. Viability is where that line is currently drawn. I don't think it is wrong. May 18, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec I have two kids and the thought of us potentially aborting either of them is abhorrent to me. And yes, one try didn't pan out. That sucked. But its important to not mistake loved potential for independent human life. Consciousness doesn't surface until week 24/25. May 18, 2021
- @FlyIngenuity @ialc68 @Possum_Lad @keana32825712 @byKateSmith The idea there are no peer reviewed studies is BS. https://t.co/IbtD9M0GQU May 17, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec From a conservative legal perspective, birth is the mint standard. SSNs, naming, birth certificates, etc... Full stop. May 17, 2021

- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec The vast majority of the population is fine with the line being drawn at viability. If you think you remotely have an army hear, yeah no. May 17, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Frankly, this new SCOTUS will probably surprise you. There is so much legal baggage to extending legal protections to non-independent unborn human life, it's hard to even know where to begin. And the true Conservative take is that life begins at birth. May 17, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec The Supreme Court doesn't view life that hasn't reached viability as independently protectable, and I'd argue our ability to be selective with combining our DNA is a right every organism on this planet has enjoyed since before we crawled out on land. May 17, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec The Supreme Court generally is very skeptical about reigning in rights it has already ruled people have. It's hard to find a case where a ruling has resulted in rights contraction and not sure expansion, except perhaps some business rulings where workers can sign rights away.

 May 17, 2021
- @GabeFernandezJr @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec By that logic, the right to bear arms for personal defense could be taken away, as that was a fairly recent expansion of 2A: see District of Columbia v. Heller May 17, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec Basically, until a life can exist outside the womb, the government has no say in what happens to that life, as it isn't recognized to have individual rights yet. May 17, 2021
- @SWMDUSA @refinersfiremu1 @JackPosobiec The court held that the fourth amendment (secure in our persons), fifth amendment (unreasonable search and seizure), and the first amendment inherently creates zones of privacy. And that respecting these zones is a compelling interest up to point of viability... May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar Take the last word. Bye May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar All appearances to the contrary. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar I never bluff. It's OK. The right people have it. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar I'm not saying the sound was unhearable. I just have no evidence Mr. Rittenhouse thought it had anything to do with him or that it was even a shot. Again, the footage is the footage. It was a nonfactor. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar Yeah, you haven't seen it. That's fine. I have. It'll come up at the trial in November. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar Again, the footage is the footage. He doesn't react to it. At all. It's irrelevant. And yeah, 50 feet isn't a trivial distance and there was tons of other shit happening (riot remember?). Given that his focus was likely on Rosenbaum, yeah, I'm gonna stick with nonfactor. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar He'd have to explain why he bragged about being "vicious" (def: deliberately cruel or violent) on camera before heading out with a held weapon. If you go out on a mission with the intent to hurt people with a gun, that makes the self-defense claim hard May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar That little thing? From across the parking lot, on a night where people are setting off firecrackers among other things. Nah, it wasn't a factor. The footage shows that. Shoot, someone reactively shoots a pistol three times after Mr. Rittenhouse fires and he doesn't remotely care May 17, 2021

- @IanSharar No, he said he was going to the police and then immediately lies about shooting anybody. This creates clear confusion. It's always a sucky decision to dock a lie onto a truth you want people to believe. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar I don't think he even knew the shot existed frankly. He turned when he heard F#CK YOU!, which was a bit after the shot. Mr. Rittenhouse would need to take the stand to clarify that. I wouldn't put him up there. May 17, 2021
- @bdsams Dumb pipes can be pretty profitable. All eyes on Comcast right now... May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar Sure, self-defense. But not all self-defense is legal, quite possibly if you lie about the shooting, and then dart at more people with a weapon at low ready. The fact he lied about shooting #1 instead of making a self-defense claim isn't helpful. Makes him a dangerous unknown. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar No one shot at him. The shot was in the air and Mr. Rittenhouse's back was turned. He never reacted to it. The video clearly shows that This will all come out in court. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar As for shooting #1, there might very well be evidence of premeditation for deliberate provocation we aren't privy to. That could very well negate a self-defense claim. Self-defense in both cases, but quite possibly disqualifiers in play too. May 17, 2021
- @IanSharar Key issue with shooting #2 is folks intercepting Mr. Rittenhouse were likely acting in lawful third-party defense; he was running at low ready toward more people after shooting someone. Self-defense can only be used on reasonably assumed unlawful inteference. May 17, 2021
- @tedrorrer Yep. It's a bad idea to endorse illegal straw purchases of weapons using official police email. May 15, 2021
- @Timcast Mr. Rittenhouse bragged on camera about being vicious before heading out with his held weapon. He might very well be next. May 15, 2021
- @JoeyRivaldo20 @notMarkWoodall @FoxNews At best, he said illegally purchasing a weapon was doing nothing wrong and every police officer supported that, using the digital equivalent of company letterhead (work email). Putting aside the alleged murders, that's a firing offense on its own. May 15, 2021
- @paulweel29 Possibly, but that alone doesn't establish legal self-defense. May 15, 2021
- @RetiredNYCPD At a minimum, he said illegally straw purchasing a weapon meant doing nothing wrong and that every police officer backed that. Murder aside, you can't do that on digital company letterhead and expect to keep your job. May 15, 2021
- @davkean Let me buy the volume license straight from Microsoft, instead of through an intermediate partner. The sheer hours involved with purchasing should be part of the performance metric. May 14, 2021
- @SkyIightt @de_brownpantha @USM_Shill Being a mess after a loved one dies is tragic but hardly interesting. Tony Stark was a hot mess after Avengers because he got a peek into the infinite universe and he was just a man-in-a-can. May 14, 2021
- @yearningwhxre @USM_Shill And yet, it was all based on a threat of force he knew he'd never cash. May 14, 2021

- @ryangirl @DannyCowden @Moon89535020 @CapitolPolice @SenatorDurbin Pigs = rotten cops. The term should be zealously used by every good cop to highlight the bad ones. May 14, 2021
- @USM_Shill Let's face it. Cyclops isn't a particularly interesting character. He's the disciplinarian foil to the more interesting broken characters. May 14, 2021
- @DannyCowden @Moon89535020 @ryangirl @CapitolPolice @SenatorDurbin Um, no. When you keep the knee on the neck for two minutes after being explicitly told there is no pulse, that is cold blooded murder. And with the fed charges now, this piggie roast is just getting started. May 14, 2021
- @semiautoOmnibus @thurrott The biggest sentiment I hear is "I'm too young to need it". It's not about distrust, just that they think the personal vaccine risk outweighs the COVID risk. May 13, 2021
- @davidgokhshtein It can't be both. And at the end of the day 1) it has no inherent value 2) it is environmentally destructive and 3) it doesn't outperform other currencies for ease of use and stability. May 13, 2021
- @karmaback100 @overbeb @skepdiq @Shannon4Jesus77 Strange. Three cops walked out of there effortlessly. May 13, 2021
- @karmaback100 @skepdiq @Shannon4Jesus77 Yeah chief, there was plenty of standing room. Lying your ass off doesn't bring her back from the dead. May 12, 2021
- @karmaback100 @skepdiq @Shannon4Jesus77 She was purposefully climbing through with the direct aid of MAGA men who were happy to have her test the waters. Simply pointing out those men should be charged with felony murder for getting her shot down like a dog. May 12, 2021
- @karmaback100 @skepdiq @Shannon4Jesus77 Seems like meaningless whataboutism to deflect away from MAGA men who lifted a woman to her death after seeing the gun.

 May 12, 2021
- @karmaback100 @Shannon4Jesus77 I watched the actual video. MAGA men boosted her through the opening while seeing the gun and hearing warnings. There is no media component here. Just a bunch of men lifting a woman to her death. May 12, 2021
- @SubjectNo1 @ACTBrigitte Couldn't have done it without the people boosting her through. Her own bad judgement doesn't negate their role in her death. May 12, 2021
- @Winderela @Shannon4Jesus77 You are ok with men shoving women in front of guns? Ok. May 12, 2021
- @Shannon4Jesus77 Yup. Boosted through the opening by a bunch of brave MAGA men who saw the gun, heard the warnings, and pushed a woman through to test the waters. Such brave men. May 12, 2021
- @ACTBrigitte Yes. The brave gentlemen who boosted her through the opening despite seeing the gun and hearing the warnings should be tried for murder. Such brave men. Deliberately sending a woman through to test the waters. May 12, 2021
- @ImSpeaking13 I was going to go with the brave gentlemen who saw the gun but still boosted her through to test the waters. May 12, 2021
- @Dmillli @RageQuit46 @KaraWestercamp Food costs about 9% to 10% more than it did in 2017. It's on the rise, albiet some categories might be more prone to shocks. https://t.co/l3QS4ZqnWF May 12, 2021
- @RageQuit46 @KaraWestercamp Source: https://t.co/13QS4ZqnWF May 12, 2021 @

- @RageQuit46 @KaraWestercamp Groceries aren't flat. That isn't remotely true. 1.6% in 2017, 2018. 1.8% in 2019, 3.9% in 2020 and a projected 2.4% in 2021. Basically, food is roughly 9% to 10% more expensive than it was as recently as 2017. May 12, 2021
- @maryjofoley Ultimately, a shiny wasteful object in search of a problem. May 12, 2021
- @mimi_feels @RBReich As for the cam footage, it shows murder. Consistent pressure was placed on the body that among other things restricted blood flow. https://t.co/OUC5ij69ic May 12, 2021 ₽
- @mimi_feels @RBReich Sure, no signs of conspiracy except the initial police statement that somehow forgot to mention nine minutes of assault along with snuffed out pleas for mercy. Federal case is around the corner. This piggie roast is just getting started. https://t.co/Hqtxz2Anc4 May 12, 2021
- @normalice0 @washingtonpost She took a plea. That is inherently quicker. As a 15 year old in DC, she could only be imprisoned till 21 anyway. No strong reason on either side to draw this out. May 12, 2021
- @TomWAVYTV Hmmm. Donating to someone who at the very least illegally straw purchased a weapon with a "you did nothing wrong" message on effectively digital company letterhead is probably grounds for dismissal. May 12, 2021
- @mimi_feels @RBReich Again, what you are saying is simply untrue and you know what. Lie all you want on twitter. it doesn't make the prison bed any softer. May 12, 2021
- @WAVY_News Donating to someone who illegally straw purchased a weapon using the authoritative work account? Good luck May 11, 2021
- @drewwest_press @WAVY_News Was on digital company letterhead (work email). There is no free speech there. It's damn right dumb to drag your agency's brand into this. May 11, 2021
- @tiffeloyx Donating to an alleged murderer who straw purchased a weapon illegally using the digital equivalent of company letterhead? Good luck. May 11, 2021
- @gabrtv Two phones. One work. One personal. May 11, 2021
- @mimi_feels @RBReich No serious doctor supports the OD argument. That is not how someone looks when they OD, sorry. As for the morality of keeping the knee on, that is the difference between manslaughter & murder. Chauvin explicitly knew Floyd's life was hanging by a thread. Didn't care. May 11, 2021
- @mommyseekermiax @ronnmail @ProudAm55793141 @purplesgem @Jessicam6946 And yet it exists. I'll promise you one thing. I don't bluff. May 11, 2021
- @mommyseekermiax @ronnmail @ProudAm55793141 @purplesgem @Jessicam6946 Mr. Rittenhouse bragged about being vicious BEFORE he shot anyone. That is kinda the problem. May 11, 2021
- @JP_Courtois Got your survey, but wanted to tell you this directly. Please let us purchase and renew our Volume MSDN licensing straight from Microsoft. I was desperate to give #microsoft money to renew our subscriptions on-time, but it took weeks due to partner snafus. May 11, 2021
- @mommyseekermiax @ronnmail @ProudAm55793141 @purplesgem @Jessicam6946 Find it yourself. If I send it, you'll just dismiss it. Also, if you brag about being deliberately cruel and violent and then go out with a held weapon and shoot someone, that's inherently problematic legally. May 11, 2021

- @holly I remember some coworkers making A LOT selling houses they'd only bought a few years prior. Right before the housing bubble burst and took out countless homeowners... I don't know what the pin will be, but when this bubble pops....:/ May 11, 2021
- @stratosphere53 An agent of the government can't squeeze the life out of someone over a \$20 bill. Clearly a 4th Amendment rights violation and any conservative should be cheering this on. May 11, 2021
- @mimi_feels @RBReich The democrats didn't force Mr. Chauvin to keep the knee on the neck for two minutes AFTER he knew there was no pulse, while being filmed. May 11, 2021
- @ronnmail @mommyseekermiax @ProudAm55793141 @purplesgem @Jessicam6946 No they do not. Frankly, even if you have some sympathy for what some of the militia were trying to do, this kid to me seems like the homicidal sociopath who snuck in. May 11, 2021
- @mommyseekermiax @ronnmail @ProudAm55793141 @purplesgem @Jessicam6946 Does your article include the video of Mr. Rittenhouse bragging about being vicious that night before heading out with a held weapon? Contemplating being deliberately cruel or violent hurts the self-defense case through the deliberate provocation provision. May 11, 2021
- @LadyStormRider Um, no. May 11, 2021
- @t_woelfer @maryjofoley Or the growing bandwidth capabilities of our networks just enable this as a free capability at some point May 11, 2021
- @t_woelfer @maryjofoley It's a slow march, but really, think about how far Teams has come. This is largely about paying for bandwidth at this point. Microsoft isn't going to pay for 1,000 free HD streams * lots of Teams users. Might be a paid feature May 11, 2021
- @BetteRAnderson1 An agent of the government can't crush the life out of someone over a \$20 bill. The 4th Amendment lets us be secure in our persons. Slam dunk convictions. This is about the 4th, not the 14th. And we should be cheering the feds on. #chauvin #feds May 11, 2021
- @t woelfer @maryjofoley Wait. https://t.co/IWyhBgn2ls May 11, 2021
- An agent of the government can't crush the life out of someone over a \$20 bill. The 4th Amendment lets us be secure in our persons. Slam dunk convictions. This is about the 4th, not the 14th. And we should be cheering the feds on. #chauvin #feds May 11, 2021
- @AndrewCMcCarthy An agent of the government can't crush the life out of someone over a \$20 bill. The 4th Amendment lets us be secure in our persons. Slam dunk convictions. This is about the 4th, not the 14th. And we should be cheering the feds on. May 11, 2021
- @BeingCensored Nope. May 11, 2021
- @BigJacks MapQuest. May 11, 2021
- @DonQuixotic7 @Michaelstm23 @realchrisrufo @JeffreyASachs @NewDiscourses Man that prison bed. Pretty hard I imagine. May 11, 2021
- @DonQuixotic7 @Michaelstm23 @realchrisrufo @JeffreyASachs @NewDiscourses You can lie all you want on twitter. It doesn't make the prison cot any softer. Fed charges just dropped. This piggie roast is just getting started. May 11, 2021

- @DannyCowden @ryangirl @CapitolPolice @SenatorDurbin Bombs had been found, so explosives were in the mix. Capitol officer couldn't risk a suicide vest going off in the chamber. It was an outstanding shot. May 11, 2021
- @DonQuixotic7 @Michaelstm23 @realchrisrufo @JeffreyASachs @NewDiscourses No, that possibility is simply beyond a reasonable doubt. People dying of an overdose generally aren't pleading with the cop with a knee on their neck to let them breath. Keeping the knee on the neck for two minutes after no pulse was detected also cemented this as murder. May 11, 2021
- @RealCandaceO LoL. On what charges? May 11, 2021 ₽
- @JackPosobiec By defend himself, you mean angrily and proactively attacking and taking swings? Dude's lucky he wasn't arrested himself. May 11, 2021
- @joekent16jan19 Yeah, this guy took swings at nonviolent protestors (who admittedly
 were engaged in low-level crime). He's lucky he wasn't arrested for assault. May 11, 2021
- @SkeeterAmos Different charges. May 11, 2021
- @chauboat23 @DeItaone Is this a bad thing? May 11, 2021
- @SkeeterAmos Very simple. The nature of the crime is different. The state charge was basically murder. The federal charge is a civil rights violation. An agent can't violate a citizen's 4th amendment rights by killing them over \$20. Same action. Different charges. No double Jeopardy. May 11, 2021
- @chauboat23 The real question is why doesn't everyone get UBI to cover some basics, given the age of abundance we live in. May 11, 2021
- @JustinIntact @thurrott @maryjofoley @bdsams Might have a dud there. I had to go through two Surface Pro 7s before I got a good unit. May 10, 2021
- @tweetjjtweet @AllyssMartin Honestly, this company didn't support Mr. Rittenhouse. It looks like some sales program associates did and the company was quick to be like "Not Us!!!" They're likely big enough to have a legal department. May 10, 2021
- @Ramgirl123 Because this is an airborne virus, and if you touch a dirty mask, you can just wash your hands. That and the virus lives maybe a day on them. With sunlight exposure, probably less. I have a family member who was hit hard by this thing. Trust me, it's no joke. May 10, 2021
- @Thurrottfeed @thurrott I don't see the point. May 10, 2021
- @thurrott Frontrunner problems. May 10, 2021
- @Cleavon MD No words May 10, 2021
- @thurrott No, it can't be. I would have felt it..... NNNOOOOOOO!!! May 10, 2021
- @replybackthis @cnnbrk Nope. May 10, 2021 &
- @Feadds @BlueCiffer1 @verge XBox can't. Skype but not Zoom. Including the blazing new Xbox Series X. So there you go. May 10, 2021
- @TigerBlazin @DrOunce20 @BillPascrell And the rookie driver is never the one that actually robs the bank. May 10, 2021
- @_Serene_96 @BentoTexas @GregsTakeOn @lizwonders1 @thehill Chauvin shouldn't have kept the knee on the neck for a full two minutes after his fellow piggies told him there was no pulse. May 10, 2021 ₽
- @Dia17911176 Didn't lie chief. He attended a rally honoring MLK. No one was being protested at. The event organizers never referred to it was a protest. They had a permit. May 10, 2021 ₽

- @TPPatriots MLK was on the shirt chief, not Floyd. He bought an MLK shirt from a rally honoring the historic civil rights figure. Nothingburger. May 10, 2021
- @4all2c2 Irrelevant. There was no question on the survey about clothing and a black man skeptical about police brutality has a place on a 12 person jury. May 10, 2021
- @michael_gilman I'm not so sure. https://t.co/zkpidUwWKw May 10, 2021 &
- @RadioFreeTom Carrots work better than sticks. Giving every vaccinated American \$100 would cost maybe \$33 billion. If screw this up, the coats will be the trillions. We suck at this. I hope beer is enough. May 10, 2021
- Thanks for telling me at age 12 the project I put zero effort into would be lucky to get an F. Well-timed discipline every time I needed to hear it. You're missed. May 10, 2021
- Even if you buy the \$500 #Xbox, you are the product, not the console. May 10, 2021 &
- Listen to @elonmusk. Crypto is a hustle. Some folks will do incredibly well, but this eventually will pop. Be prepared to lose a lot. May 10, 2021
- @BarackObama I'm so sorry for your loss. Thanks for everything Paul May 08, 2021
- @AblueUs If it meant retaining control if chamber leadership, then yes. In a heartbeat. May 08, 2021
- RT @emcolbs: i still have a few more captains to draw but for now enjoy the original squad all together ★★ #StarTrek https://t.co/vsR22sS5... May 08, 2021 ₽
- @chrishuey @WilliamShatner Lol May 08, 2021 &
- @chrishuey @WilliamShatner I'm being a little wry here. May 08, 2021
- @ShyAussieGirl @Arkanrium @chrishuey @WilliamShatner Oh true. This is all wry for me. If nothing else, Pepe kinda learns his lesson in the end. May 08, 2021
- @chrishuey @WilliamShatner If I remember right, the cat would always wind up getting a streak of white paint along her back. He thought she was a she-skunk. May 08, 2021
- @chrishuey @WilliamShatner I'd go with stalker more than womanizer. It was always about that one black cat, and she wasn't interested. May 08, 2021
- @WilliamShatner I'd have to go with stalker. May 08, 2021 ₽
- @Jebb15035614 @BurhanAKABA @PioneerPress Irrelevant. Cops don't get to kill imperfect people on a whim to show the shocked crowd around whose boss. Fortunately, he won't be able to do that to anyone else ever again. I hear prison beds suck. May 08, 2021
- @JamesHa01009302 @Razdchamp @ajplus The knee was on the neck for a full two minutes after the cops confirmed there was no pulse. Cold.blooded murder, plain and simple. And he won't be able to do it to anyone else. May 08, 2021
- @mimi_feels LoL. Then the defense attorney royally sucked. The knee didn't come off the neck until two minutes after it was detected there was no pulse. May 08, 2021
- @factsforamerica Not gonna happen. A black man concerned with police brutality is a fair addition to a 12 person representative jury. Every jury member, including those who never wore a BLM shirt, voted to convict. May 08, 2021
- @ThePatsGazette Irrelevant. Clothing wasn't on the juror survey and frankly, the shirt was bought at an MLK event. Won't change the verdict. A black man concerned about police brutality is a fair addition to a societally representative 12 person jury. All 12 voted to convict. May 08, 2021

 ■
- @scotnun @ThePatsGazette It's what happens when you don't take the knee off for a full two minutes AFTER it has been confirmed there is no pulse. May 08, 2021

- @Jebb15035614 @BurhanAKABA @PioneerPress So.... Keeping the knee on the neck for a full two minutes AFTER it was confirmed there was no pulse? I hope he is enjoying his state prison cot. I hear the federal ones suck. May 08, 2021
- @BurhanAKABA @Jebb15035614 @PioneerPress I don't know what they are complaining about. He is getting a new trial... (Cough) May 08, 2021
- @AntiSensor2nd @RedRumINSIDI0US @nedryun Irrelevant. The shirt said "our necks", not his neck. The juror swore he could be impartial despite prior bias and there is no evidence to support his deliberations were affected. Quite the contrary. His interview demonstrated an impartial review of the case. May 08, 2021
- @RedRumINSIDIOUS @AntiSensor2nd @nedryun Last time he wore it was six months ago. Yeah, he's a basketball coach he keeps track of lots of kids on a regular basis. I practically lose my mind with my two own kids. Losing track of personal stuff is par for the course. May 08, 2021
- @RedRumINSIDIOUS @AntiSensor2nd @nedryun Too bad questions about clothing and memory weren't on the survey. That's on the defense attorney. Why people are blaming a juror for the defense attorney's failings is beyond me. This won't remotely affect the verdict. May 08, 2021
- @seanhannity Yup. When there is no pulse and the knee stays on the neck another two minutes, you can expect 4th Amendment based charges. May 07, 2021
- @shameermulji @verge As a note, my Chromebook as a Pentium N4200 and 4 GB of RAM. Not a piece of junk but not a speed demon either. May 07, 2021
- @shameermulji @verge Here's a video on it: https://t.co/3tSwRdNaT9 In my experience, most apps work well. I get conflicting reports on how much of this is native vs emulation, but usually as an end-user, it does the job. May 07, 2021
- @shameermulji @verge Ultimately, Microsoft is facing down the same problem it had with Windows Phone: apps. Chromebooks can run incredibly popular Android apps that number in the millions. How would a new "thin OS" from Microsoft compete with that? May 07, 2021
- @shameermulji @verge And look, I'm a Windows guy. My work PC is a Surface Pro 7 and Chromebook could never fill its shoes. But damn, the family Chromebook is damn convenient, whether it be web browsing, or Zoom classes connected to the TV. May 07, 2021
- @shameermulji @verge Yeah, and Chromebooks are the superior product for that. They are cheaper, faster, more secure, and can run apps from Google Play, unlocking access to millions of apps if desired. Not least of which are Microsoft's quality Android apps. Why buy the also-ran? May 07, 2021
- @Rishav20193 @maryjofoley I'm in the preview group. I've tried, it doesn't work on ChromeOS. Servers can read device headers and make decisions. Whether the decision is business or technical, I couldn't tell you. It works great on Android Phones. May 07, 2021
- @nirk_dowitzki @The_Lone_Apple @Racheldollton @NYDailyNews "I was just following orders...." I remember that being a thing around the 1940s. May 07, 2021
- @NessUnchained Yeah, he kept the knee on the neck for two minutes after his own men informed him there was no pulse. That's a clear violation of 4th Amendment. May 07, 2021

- @WandaRufin @gatewaypundit Yep, there will be a new trial. Federal charges just announced. May 07, 2021
- @hcextrak No. There was a question about attending "protests about police brutality". The juror has openly said he viewed it as a multifaceted rally and an opportunity to assemble among so many Black people in a town he never visited. It's a very good answer. May 07, 2021
- @maryjofoley Spite :) But maybe that is from the older days of "Scroogled". May 07, 2021 ₽
- @maryjofoley Sorry to bring Xbox into this BTW:) Just an interesting unavoidable litmus test. May 07, 2021
- @maryjofoley A big test of priorities here will be if Xbox Cloud gaming is brought officially to Chrome OS. If Microsoft is truly a cloud-first company, that would be a nobrainer to help lock in that \$15 a month Game Pass Ultimate. May 07, 2021
- @BlueCiffer1 @verge The Chromebook we got for our family can run most Android apps, has a touchscreen, handles Zoom like a champ, and runs pretty much any web app like a pro. Cost us \$400. I have Surface Pro 7 for work, but underestimating Chromebook is a massive mistake. May 07, 2021
- @maryjofoley Microsoft is repeatedly reminded consumers don't want to sacrifice compatibility for "modern". This feels like a reskin on top of the current windows, which isn't a bad strategy. Still... Chromebooks are simply awesome and simple. May 07, 2021
- @verge Consumers aren't willing to trade app compatibility for a tighter windows. Full stop. May 07, 2021
- @FDO_M119 @verge People to date haven't been willing to trade compatibility and performance for "modern". Not really surprising, especially giving all their new investments on Android. May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @Sam4Vols @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo Prove it. Because that is the standard here. Guess what, you can't. Sorry bro, verdict won't change, at least not on this point. May 07, 2021
- @boothgr8 By the standards the defense counsel agreed to, yes. All jurors attest that they can put prior biases aside and judge the case purely on the merits. There's no evidence that didn't happen. Quite the opposite actually. May 07, 2021
- @boothgr8 Irrelevant. The questionnaire didn't ask about owned clothes. Per the standards the defense agreed to, he was an impartial juror. May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @Sam4Vols @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo Didn't lie chief. It was a rally, not a protest. You'd never prove the juror didn't legitimately believe that. Prison cot remains hard and uncomfortable. May 07, 2021
- @al_banders @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 Boom. https://t.co/vrVJWjCYeJ May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @Sam4Vols @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo Boom. The pig roast is just getting started. https://t.co/vrVJWjCYeJ May 07, 2021
- @WSJ I married you for dinner, not lunch, is an emerging trend. May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @Sam4Vols @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo Yeah, they took the energy from prior protests and had a productive nonconfrontational rally, which was never discussed by the organizers as a protest. And now Biden is your

- president. You get your new trial. Fed murder charges soon. Y U complaining? May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @Sam4Vols @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo Yes, they took the protest movement and used a national rally to mobilize the base. And Biden was elected. Wasn't a protest in of itself. It was Black people talking to each other, not yelling at a statehouse. May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @Sam4Vols @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo Yeah, they talked about voter registration at length. It was a 50k assembly with multiple.speeches. And you know what, it worked. Whose your president baby! May 07, 2021
- @Sam4Vols @TerranceAPhill1 @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo It's the height of privilege to see a group of Black people peacefully assembling to discuss racial issues among themselves and call it a "protest", when there isn't a statehouse, courthouse, or police station in site being protested at. May 07, 2021
- @Sam4Vols @TerranceAPhill1 @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo Ridiculous. It was a national rally to recommit to the dream of MLK. They had a permit to be there. It was an inward discussion; they weren't protesting at anyone. The question said "protest". The juror didn't think a rally done in full conjunction with the gov was that. May 07, 2021
- @EricofOC @CharonFelicia @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo Don't worry be silly nilly. There will be another trial. The federal murder charges are being announced soon. May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @MrAndyNgo It's unfortunate that entitled folk look at Black people assembling to discuss civil issues among themselves and it is immediately called a "protest". Who was being protested at? The quick answer. No one. May 07, 2021
- @TerranceAPhill1 @MrAndyNgo He was at a rally in DC to commemorate MLK. They had a permit. The organizers never called it a protest. The question asks about "protests". Sorry, it's completely understandable the juror would consider this a rally not a protest.

 May 07, 2021
- @hobofund @XiBill @rickyjr000 @JackPosobiec Doubtful. I mean, anything is possible, but it'll be at least a couple years before we know. Have no fear, there will be a new trial. Federal civil rights charges are around the corner. May 07, 2021
- @TDProductions2 @C4CEO Castle doctrine. Works almost anywhere. The threshold for legal self defense rises outside the home. Fair warning to those who carry. May 07, 2021
- @XiBill @rickyjr000 @JackPosobiec Chauvin was told by his own men Floyd no longer had a pulse. He explicitly knew Floyd's life was hanging by a thread and he decides to keep the knee on for two more minutes. Goodbye accidental manslaughter. Hello murder. May 07, 2021
- @LawSelfDefense Yes, he wanted to show those around him who was boss. Even if that meant having a knee on the neck for two minutes AFTER his men told him there was no pulse. May 07, 2021
- @al_banders @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 He didn't say he had no prior knowledge. Quite the contrary actually. In jury selection, he pondered why the other cops didn't stop Chauvin. You are clearly making stuff up at this point. Have no

- fear. Their will be another trial. Federal charges are right around the corner. May 07, 2021
- @al_banders @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 Ridiculous assumption. Read his interview. He goes through in detail how he evaluated the evidence and how hard it was to send a man to prison. You really have to cherry pick to make the claim you are making. Sorry, lawyers make \$1k an hour to ask the right questions. May 07, 2021
- @al_banders @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 Appeals can take years. Prison cot won't get any more comfortable in the meantime. May 07, 2021
- @al_banders @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 Irrelevant. Based on the standards of jury selection he was impartial. And he can do whatever he damn well pleases after the trial. Why you aren't blaming the incompetent defense attorney is beyond me. May 07, 2021
- @nixon1788 The appeal didn't mention any specific jury misconduct. Good for him for sticking to the facts. May 07, 2021
- @LarryBoorstein So you're a bot not a person. Got it. May 07, 2021
- @LarryBoorstein The juror was impartial based on the standard of questions asked of him. If you feel those standards were mistaken, then the defense sucked at its job. Chauvin could file an appeal based on the incompetence of his attorneys. Maybe that would work. May 07, 2021
- @al_banders @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 The questionnaire didn't ask any questions about owned clothing and the event was a paid for rally, not a protest. Based on the standard of questions the defense agreed to, the juror was impartial. If you feel that is bad, hate the incompetent attorney. May 07, 2021
- @LarryBoorstein @al_banders @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 The method of establishing an impartial jury is jury selection. The D didn't ask any questions about owned clothing or attendance at rallies outside of Minneapolis. By the standards of their own questions, the juror was impartial. If you disagree, then the D sucked at their job.

 May 07, 2021
- @al_banders @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 If the defense cared about rallies, they should have asked about "rallies" as well as "protests". Jury selection is how impartial juries are selected and if the defense sucked at it, well that's too bad. Shouldn't have blown so much money on bail. May 07, 2021
- @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 It is on the defense to figure out what questions to ask jury members. If they sucked at it, that is on them. You can't cry about a mistake after the trial. The juror answered all questions faithfully. There was no misconduct hence the verdict will stand May 07, 2021
- @SardarKhalsa1 @gatewaypundit No grounds for it. There was no juror misconduct. May 07, 2021
- @LarryBoorstein @BTnewsroom @SeanBlackmon9 The survey question was about "protests", not rallies where the organizers literally paid the government permit fees to be there. Protests are when you show up uninvited. Verdict will stand. May 07, 2021
- @BeingCensored He kept the knee on the neck for two minutes after he was informed by his own men there was no pulse. If you want to know the difference between manslaughter and cold blooded murder, look to those two minutes. May 07, 2021

- @DaManDaLegen @ArtyFTW @JosephWulfsohn The survey question was specifically about "protests". The March on DC literally paid the government permit fees for the ground they occupied. That's a paid rally dude, not a "protest". Protests are when you show up uninvited May 07, 2021
- @PJMedia com Trial will be fair. May 07, 2021 @
- @JJS4Tweet Based on his very thoughtful interview where he explained the deliberations and how hard it was to decide a man's life, I doubt it. You really have to cherry pick to find a problem here. May 07, 2021
- @oldblklib @Blackout20 @thehill You use "rally", but the survey question was specifically about "protests". The march organizers literally paid the local government for the permit to be there. Wasn't a protest chief. Those are when you show up uninvited. \$\$\$ changed hands. May 07, 2021
- @benshapiro He didn't lie chief. The survey question was specifically about "protests". The March on DC organizers paid for permits to be there. Protests are when you show up uninvited. Rallies are when you pay the actual government to be there. The juror is calling BS on anything else. May 07, 2021
- It's not a protest when a planned march has paid the government permit fees to be there. May 07, 2021
- @JJS4Tweet And that is why this won't change squat. Throwing out a verdict is serious business. You don't do that when you "can see both sides of it". This is really a question of perjury and there just isn't remotely enough for that. May 07, 2021
- @JJS4Tweet The shirt is irrelevant. There wasn't a question on owned clothes. The defense attorney was a moron. The question explicitly referenced "protests". This was a legal assembly of people who literally paid for the space they were marching on. Sorry, it was a rally, not a protest. May 07, 2021
- @Grunge_Bob @turtlecoal @jdschang @DionLimTV He had a story about getting attacked by someone with a bat and then having people just randomly attack him. Which clearly wasn't what happened. Based on the different stories, yeah, an arrest on probable cause was proper. May 07, 2021
- @JJS4Tweet Frankly, to even call the march a "protest" is a stretch, which is the word the jury question used. Permit fees were paid. When you are literally paying for the ground you are standing on, the word "protest" is a stretch. As Reagan once said, I'm paying for this damn mic. May 07, 2021
- @CraigStrath2883 @a_sharma99 @disclosetv Who were they protesting at? This was a rally. They were talking amongst themselves and recommitting themselves to the dream. I live around DC. I know what a protest looks like. Civil disobedience is usually a thing. These guys had a permit. May 06, 2021
- @CraigStrath2883 @a_sharma99 @disclosetv Keep in mind, there were tons of other gatherings that year which were far more confrontational, which really did come across as genuine protests. It's really not hard to imagine the juror thinking of those and answer "No". May 06, 2021
- @CraigStrath2883 @a_sharma99 @disclosetv It was a march honoring MLK and covered a variety of civil rights topics, including pandemic response. Frankly, calling it a "protest", as demanded by the question, is a bit loaded. I didn't see any statehouse being yelled at. It was people reading speeches to one another. May 06, 2021

- @Grunge_Bob @turtlecoal @jdschang @DionLimTV Watch the interrogation video. It's on YouTube. He's genuinely surprised he is detained and arrested. May 06, 2021
- @Grunge_Bob @turtlecoal @jdschang @DionLimTV Not technically true. He entered the police thinking he was going to walk out. They didn't let him. It wasn't his choice. May 06, 2021
- @CraigStrath2883 @a_sharma99 @disclosety So then this isn't relevant. If other members got on the jury with an affirmative answer, then this makes no difference. Basically a nothingburger. May 06, 2021
- @CraigStrath2883 @a_sharma99 @disclosety He didn't really lie. In his mind the march honoring MLK wasn't exclusively about police brutality and factually that's true. Sure it was one of the topics, but so was honoring MLK, the pandemic, etc... Tough to say there was an intent to deceive. Frankly, it's a nothingburger May 06, 2021
- @disclosety Someone doesn't know what analogies are... May 06, 2021
- @beadstringer64 @okcomputer220 @MrAndyNgo I don't recall a question about owned clothing. May 06, 2021
- @MrAndyNgo Irrelevant. There wasn't a question about owned clothing on the questionnaire. Hence, moot. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum The importance of the questions themselves will likely be analyzed in any appeal. Frankly, BLM is more about reducing the circumstances where officers might kill someone that it is about holding individual officers accountable. The broad question is, why are people dying? May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Fair enough. Good day. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Same point on cases regarding police brutality. A key premise of BLM is institutional reform; demilitarizing neighborhoods so people like Chauvin are never put on trial in the first place. It isn't relevant for analyzing a clearly physical murder. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum No, I just disagree with your reasoning. You float from the event (not a protest specifically about policy brutality) to a shirt (clothing wasn't a question on a survey) thinking somehow that proves deceit. It doesn't. Not even remotely close. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Just speaking some truth here chief. The vast majority of Black people support BLM, many visually and and vocally. It would be a racist's wet dream to say that prevents them from serving on juries. Simply put, a Judge won't agree with that. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Clearly, I disagree. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum And I hope attending a national civil rights march dedicated to MLK covering a litany of issues isn't a disqualifier for jury service. It's a racist's wet dream to think that would be a disqualifier. A judge will undoubtedly know better. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum He answered that question to the best of his ability. A prior question mentioned "march". This one didn't. There were tons of confrontational protests happening all over the country at the time. He was probably thinking about them and answered "No". May 06, 2021

- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Oh, I wouldn't be surprised if it got there. That's quite the wait. The juror issue won't move the needle. There is a better argument for moving the jury, but meh. In the meantime, that is one uncomfortable prison bed. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum All his answers were honest to the best of his ability. Clearly we disagree on a lazy attempt at a catch-all question, but given that he explicitly voiced support for BLM in the text answers, you'd be hard pressed to prove deceit. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Not germane. If you are talking about moving the jury due to public interference DURING the trial, a photo from months ago is a dumb way to make the point. A judge might put that nicer, but really, that is some stupidity right there. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Basically, there needs to be actual evidence a jury member was affected by public interference. Not a suspicion it could happen. Actual evidence. Presently, that doesn't exist May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum The change of venue argument is better. Still, the identities of the jury members were kept secret, they were told not to watch the news, and they had secret paths and escorts to get home. There wasn't an absence of care and every jury member swore their verdict was genuine May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum The optics are bad sure, but something that would reverse the case? No. It just shows how incompetent the defense attorney was. They blew most of their money on bail I guess. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Have I personally won cases in court? Yes. Do I have fancy attorneys on speed dial because I run a successful company? Yes. A judge will look at the other 11 jury members who voted to convict, this juror's thoughtful interview about the deliberations, and move on. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum "The wording on the question said protest about police brutality. As I've repeatedly said, the march was about MLK, his legacy, and a variety of civil issues under the BLM umbrella. Call a trial if you wish". May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum It's clear you fundamentally misunderstand the qualifications for being a juror. How would attending an MLK memorial march affect his judgement more so than the 24/7 media coverage about all this. It's such a ridiculous point. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum "Judge, I just thought it was a cool looking shirt with my man MLK on it. It was the only one on sale." May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Irrelevant. It's called analogy and multiple civil issues were discussed at the rally. He didn't come up with the slogan. He just bought the official event shirt. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum "Judge, the march was about MLK. It was his photo on the shirt. I was celebrating his legacy." May 06, 2021

- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Semantics is everything. The bar is whether the survey was answered truthfully. That is literally all that matters here. Why you are making excuses for crummy survey design is beyond me. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum The rally was about MLK and the shirt had his picture on it. That really doesn't move the needle. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Irrelevant. He thought he understood the question fine. It didn't say marches. The other one did. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Busy work that could have should have been done during jury selection. Again, the defense attorney sucks.

 May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Part 1, Question 7 is only about "demonstrations" and "marches" that took place in Minneapolis. Clearly doesn't apply. Part 3, Question 12 is not geographically restrained, but inconsistently uses the word "protests" Basically, inconsistency that could throw a survey taker. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum I am referring to the questions that were asked specifically about participation in events, in the order they were asked. Q7 is limited only to events that took place in Minneapolis. Not relevant here... 1/2 May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum My hunch... No rush here. May 06, 2021 @
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Not if the survey question lacks a textbox underneath that says "Add any relevant details here." This was a fixed set of questions the jurors were asked to answer and their only requirement was to answer the ones specifically presented to them to the best of their ability. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Yeah, Q1 explicitly said "Demonstrations" and "Marches". Q2 said "Protests". Why would you change the wording unless the meanings were inherently different? May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Don't heat that popcorn just yet. Appeals take 2 to 4 years typically. And with the pandemic, there's a backlog. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Oh, the judge is annoyed. Completely. Optics-wise, this sucks. But he can't rule on optics or on a survey that the defense could have insisted was worded better. Should have included "march" in Q2, like in Q1. Idiot defense attorney. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Irrelevant. If the question was answered in good faith, then the fault lies with the survey and thus the defense attorney. Fire the bum, May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum The news cycle has largely already moved on. And this matter wasn't even in the appeals paperwork submitted. Yeah, Conservative media will keep the issue out there, because... clicks. https://t.co/m3idmqvKoI May 06, 2021

- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Uh, for a survey answer, it does. Again, the bar here is whether the juror was intentionally deceitful. That bar is clearly not meant. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Yeah, an answer on one fuzzily worded question really doesn't change the calculus, especially since he voiced support for BLM explicitly in the text answers. Again, the defense attorney should be fired. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Not at all. "Get off our necks" can mean all sorts of oppression. Indeed they discussed economic differences, pandemic response differences, voting rights, etc... If you don't understand what an analogy is, then OK. Fortunately, Judges generally do. May 06, 2021
- @JackLee53 @Pitchfork9ER @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum It's amazing how much people want to put defense attorney incompetence on the juror. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum There wasn't a survey question about clothing. He can't answer affirmatively to a question that wasn't asked of him. "Do you own BLM clothing?" would have been a great question. In my opinion, the defense attorney was a moron. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum The shirt that had a picture of MLK with a clever play on words about "our necks", not "Floyd's neck". If it had Floyd on it, you might have a semblance of a point. Again, the survey didn't ask about BLM clothing. I don't know why they were paying the D attorney. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum He didn't think a
 march commemorating MLK was a protest specifically about police brutality. That's
 understandable, especially with "march" excluded from the question. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum No, you're just trying to put bad survey design on a juror. There could have been a grid saying "List every demonstration or march you attended since the date of the alleged crime". Instead it was an inconsistently worded Yes/No. He answered as how he understood the question. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum "FEEL" is how you answer surveys dude. If he answered the question in good faith, there is no issue. The whole premise of the argument is that he must be deliberately misleading in his answer. You just disproved your own point. Congrats. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness @RageQuit46 @Suavelb @DonaldJTrumpJr Lol. Sorry. You were being an idiot on my original post too. It's tough to keep track on where BS lives. In any event, the prison cot isn't getting any softer and yeah, nothing here is actionable. bye May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Lol. Pretty stiff prison cot I bet. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness @RageQuit46 @Suavelb @DonaldJTrumpJr Dude, this is my original post. Leave if you want. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Yeah, nothing was tainted here because you can't point to a single thing the juror conclusively did wrong. He didn't feel a national march was a protest, which is pretty understandable, given the word was used in Q1 but not Q2. May 06, 2021

- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Tell yourself that. The prison cot isn't getting any softer. The wording between questions was inconsistent and the juror had a few moments to ponder it. Not actionable. Not by a long shot. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness @RageQuit46 @Suavelb @DonaldJTrumpJr Yes, that question excludes the word "march". In the first survey question about events in Minneapolis, "march" is explicitly asked. Its exclusion in Q2 would lead any survey taker to believe a national march wouldn't trigger a Yes answer. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Simply put, a juror can't answer Yes to a question that isn't in the survey, and can also be confident in answering the question saying he can put any bias aside and be a faithful member of the jury. This is on bad survey design and through that, an idiot attorney. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum No, the trial was completely Constitutional. The survey didn't have a question about BLM clothing or paraphernalia. In my judgement, the defense attorney was a moron. That's kinda an easy one. Your rights aren't violated if you hire a moron for an attorney. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness @RageQuit46 @Suavelb @DonaldJTrumpJr Not at all. The 1st question about activities in Minneapolis explicitly used the word "march". The second question didn't. Considering "march" was explicitly mentioned in Q1, it is pretty well reasoned that someone answering the survey wouldn't consider a march a trigger for Q2. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness Nope, just a guy with time on his hands. Bye. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness No. Just the idea that participating in an antiracism march bars you from serving on a jury is laughable on its face. The fact this was also about MLK's anniversary makes it really hard to say the juror answered in bad faith. Sorry if big words scare you. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness Basically, unactionable. The bar here is there must be a very strong suspicion the juror misrepresented himself AND that the outcome of the case might have been different. I both counts, no, I don't see it. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness How an article writer describes the event is irrelevant. The organizers of the event never called it a protest. The juror is pretty clear that he didn't view it as a protest. And even the author put "racism" before "police brutality". It was a component, not the definer. May 06, 2021
- @JJS4Tweet In hindsight, "Do you own or have worn BLM clothing or paraphilia?" would have been a great survey question. Its absence is on the defense attorney, not the juror. He can't answer affirmatively to a question that doesn't exist. People are really getting cranky at the wrong dude May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness And frankly, your post about riots and protests being mixed up only makes my case. The more ambiguous the word, the more likely the juror would not consider a commemorative march as a protest. This is trolling on a Trumpian level. I get the optics but this isn't actionable. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness It's not a question of what's in a specific dictionary. It's how a juror, who is not an English major, would process a question. He said he attended a commemoration march for MLK. He isn't wrong. So he in good faith answered "No". He didn't view it as a protest about brutality. May 06, 2021

- @BiskyRusiness Basically, the conversation was inherently inward. They were talking to each other. It was basically a pep rally before one of the most consequential elections in our history. If there was a police station or court house being yelled at, let me know. May 06, 2021
- @BiskyRusiness Nope. The national gathering was a rally, not a "protest". No one was being yelled at. They were giving each other speeches and signing people up to vote. And selling t-shirts. I know what protests look like. This decidedly wasn't one. May 06, 2021
- @thurrott My \$1400+ Surface Pro is my work PC. My \$400 Chromebook keeps that PC safe while easily catching all the family stuff. May 06, 2021
- The trial survey didn't ask about owning BLM clothing or other paraphernalia. A juror can't answer affirmatively to a question that wasn't asked. This is on the defense attorney and not the juror. May 06, 2021
- @AntiSensor2nd @RedRumINSIDIOUS @nedryun He didn't lie. The question was about attending "protests". This was a national rally commemorating MLK focused on civil rights issues at large. They were giving each other speeches; no one was being yelled at. It's kinda hilarious. The optics suck but it wasn't a protest May 06, 2021
- @JJS4Tweet I guarantee you the judge hates this. The optics are horrible. But a judge can't rule on optics. There's no strong evidence the juror lied on the all important question: whether he could faithfully execute his duties DESPITE prior bias. But this is trolling on a Trumpian level. May 06, 2021
- @JJS4Tweet Seriously dude, the survey question explicitly asked about "protests". I work in DC, I know what protests look like versus what rallies look like. This was a rally. No one was being yelled at. They were giving each other speeches and registering people to vote. May 06, 2021
- @HelenAnn16 Yeah, the pre-trial survey didn't ask about clothing. That is a defense attorney mistake, not one on the juror. You don't get a retrial when the defense attorney makes a dumb mistake. May 06, 2021
- @BlackTzedek @NBCNews The answer to any line of questioning is simple. "Judge, this was a national march honoring MLK, not a protest. Who was being yelled at?" Full stop. May 06, 2021
- @JJS4Tweet Nope. "Judge, it was a rally for MLK" and this is done. May 06, 2021
- @JontTrubek Yeah, the words of folks not related to the trial rarely affect anything. By that logic, anyone with a loud public voice could pretend loudly they want the opposite verdict and then get the one they want. Also the jury was told not to be watch the news. Non-issue. May 06, 2021
- @usafirstdjt2024 @nedryun The trial survey didn't ask about clothing. That's on the defense, not the juror. He signed a sworn statement under penalty of perjury he could be objective DESPITE prior bias, and there is no evidence he didn't do his duty in good faith. Yeah, the D screwed up. May 06, 2021
- @nedryun Trolling on a Trumpian level. Trial survey should have asked about clothing. It didn't and the juror answered all questions honestly. It's not on the juror but on the defense. You don't get a retrial when your lawyer makes a mistake. Hilarious. May 06, 2021

- @RedRumINSIDIOUS @nedryun Nope. Survey never asked about clothing and the juror signed a sworn statement he could be objective and answered all survey questions honestly. Blame the defense for leaving out a critical question. May 06, 2021
- @htxgopfinance No, it isn't grounds for an appeal. But it is trolling on a Trumpian level. Hilarious. May 06, 2021
- @someguy1388 @Suavelb @DonaldJTrumpJr Here's the thing. Jurors need to believe they can be objective DESPITE their biases and he signed a sworn statement be could. "It was about MLK your honor" and this goes away. A judge can't rule on optics and their is zero evidence the juror wasn't faithful to the task at hand. May 06, 2021
- @Phynatik @Shadow ofaDoubt Fair. May 06, 2021 @
- @BiskyRusiness @Suavelb @DonaldJTrumpJr It was a national rally, not a "protest". No one was being yelled at. They didn't assemble in front of the White House. They gave each other speeches. I get the optics, but frankly this is trolling on a Trumpian level. It won't move the needle, as the juror didn't lie. May 06, 2021
- @someguy1388 @Suavelb @DonaldJTrumpJr The survey didn't ask about clothing or podcasts. The juror decided he could be objective and answered truthfully to all questions. Blame the idiot defense. It was their job to get questions on the survey. "Do you own any BLM clothing?" could have been on there. It wasn't. May 06, 2021
- @DonaldJTrumpJr As for the shirt, shucks the survey didn't ask about BLM clothing. The juror can't answer affirmative to a question not asked. Blame the defense. The juror did nothing wrong. The verdict won't change over this. May 06, 2021
- @DonaldJTrumpJr He didn't lie wanna-be-chief. The survey question was about "protest" participation. He attended a national rally to commemorate MLK. Seriously, you should respect this. It's trolling on a level maybe matched only by your Dad. May 06, 2021
- @Shadow_ofaDoubt I'm old enough to remember when Iron Man was a C lister who got chosen because Spidey and the X-Men were spoken for. May 06, 2021
- @Charles_1776_ @BigBoyMemes2 @mubazieric @Forbes Chauvin was informed Floyd didn't have a pulse and that his life was in grave peril, by his own men. He kept the knee on the neck for another two minutes. If you want to know the difference between manslaughter and murder, it's those two minutes. May 06, 2021
- @SardarKhalsa1 @YouTube It's too bad the defense attorney was stupid enough to leave questions about clothing off the survey. Makes the t-shirt moot. May 06, 2021
- @MattWalshBlog Yeah, no he doesn't. May 06, 2021
- @BLM_216 The march in DC was a rally not a protest. An internal conversation among attendees. The survey question was explicitly asking about "protest" attendance. The answer should be simple: this was a reaffirmation of a dream, not a protest. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum No, he said they "may" have an argument. And then gave two reasons why it probably wouldn't pan out. If it was damning, he could have called a mistrial in a single sentence. So why didn't he?

 May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum It's not a matter of "mounting up". If an argument is shitty, then it doesn't move the needle at all. X + 0 = X and judges are very good at weeding out bullshit. You need one valid argument to actually carry the water. May 06, 2021

- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Yeah, he said "may". And then said the words of a senator aren't particularly important and that he trusted the jurors weren't watching the news. Basically, a juror would have to be violating instructions for anything to come of that. Hence "may". May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Yeah, if there is a mistrial declared, it will be because of the civil settlement being so close to the trial. Or possibly because they didn't change the venue. Still, very doubtful. Your understanding of Law seems to center on Hail Mary arguments. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum The jury was instructed not to watch the news, hence they never heard the words. Hence, moot. The judge wrapped that up by saying the words of a Senator aren't particularly important. He could have called a mistrial immediately but didn't. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Irrelevant. Cases by and large aren't affected by the words of uninvolved parties. Another turd on the wall argument that frankly will fall flat. By your logic, any public figure could pretend they want a verdict loudly and get the opposite result. Won't move the needle. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum There was no misconduct. All survey questions were answered truthfully. The problem is you can't point to a single thing the juror did wrong during the selection process or during the trial. He did his job, incredibly well based on how he described the deliberations. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum Process wasn't tainted. The point of a 12 person jury is that people inherently have some bias and to have members with different backgrounds cancel them out through thoughtful deliberation. The t-shirt is irrelevant. The rally is irrelevant. I get the optics but no. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum They were going to submit the paperwork anyways <u>based.on</u> the civil settlement. But a judge isn't going to overrule a 12 person jury based on a t-shirt someone wore one day at a rally. This is almost Trumpian level trolling. It's kinda funny. <u>May 06, 2021</u>
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum LoL. The shirt has a clever play on words and was addressing Black people in general. Get off "our necks". It wasn't about the case; indeed the photo was of MLK, not Floyd. He was at a rally. He bought or was given the rally's official shirt. In that context, harmless. May 06, 2021
- @MarkNaughton9 @JackPosobiec A very poor argument that frankly would lead to a conviction. Jury members sign sworn statements that they believe in the rule of Law. That generally doesn't favor vigilantism. Seriously, a serious defense strategy wouldn't go this route. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum There wasn't a question on the survey about t-shirts chief. It was a yes/no question about attending protests that could easily have been answered No in good faith. I get the optics but one poorly worded question won't change jack. May 06, 2021
- @Pitchfork9ER @JackLee53 @stoneydudebro @TheOfficerTatum There was no lie. The survey question explicitly asked about attending "protests". The DC march was a rally. No one was being protested at. It was an inward conversation among those who attended. Not a protest. May 06, 2021
- @wlabovitz @NBCNews No. May 06, 2021 @

- @MarkNaughton9 @JackPosobiec No, not really. Mr. Rittenhouse didn't need to be in the area. And cops were in the immediate vicinity. There might be some compelling defense arguments, but "I was forced to be a child soldier" isn't one of them. Every other 17 year old kid was in bed. May 06, 2021
- @LarryBoorstein The survey question doesn't say "rally" or "demonstration". It says "protest". The fact you didn't use the key word of the question should indicate to you how this won't move the needle. May 06, 2021
- @CliftonHill @bigleaguepol Yeah... you are summoned for jury duty; you don't get to pick cases. May 06, 2021
- @nle_golf @DemzRcorrupt @georgecdeer @davidmweissman The key issue is whether the juror lied or misrepresented himself. I don't think that is remotely proven by this. A gut reaction answer to a singular Yes/No ambiguous question really doesn't get you there. I get the optics, but a judge can't rule on optics. May 05, 2021
- @GregAbbott_TX @SenBryanHughes What are you talking about? According to Trump the ban was overturned BY A LANDSLIDE! May 05, 2021
- @thurrott The developers on my team are more worried about the return of the daily commute than they are about catching COVID. It's going to be very hard to put the work -from-home genie back in the bottle. May 05, 2021
- @holly And wow, I'm sorry. I saw your graph post, but twitter didn't render the tweet above it. Sorry, I get the out-of-context thing now. My apologies. May 05, 2021
- @holly Providing one example of the "lazy piece of human garbage" element coming into play if you are a late waker. Once you have elementary aged kids, life snaps you back to 7:30am pretty quick, regardless of late-waker preference. May 05, 2021
- @holly If you are married with kids and sleep till 10:00 while the spouse is rushing to get the kids off to school, it doesn't matter when you went to bed. May 05, 2021
- @deneenknews Validation provided by social media apps a substitution? I wonder. May 05, 2021
- @AOC Yeah, seriously. May 05, 2021
- @windowsdev #spc12 #4ever :) May 05, 2021
- @nle_golf @DemzRcorrupt @georgecdeer @davidmweissman I live around DC and often work in DC. I see protests all the time. Usually it involves one party engaging another party, such as protestors vs. White House. This wasn't that. This was about coalition organization. May 05, 2021
- @nle_golf @DemzRcorrupt @georgecdeer @davidmweissman The first question was about activities in the Minneapolis area and had a broader definition The second question was not geography restrained but only used the word "protest". Frankly, the MLK march was more of a rally than a "protest". A pep rally before an election. May 05, 2021
- @windowsdev We need to call them what they are. Technology vacations. There's a niche. People want to work hard and play hard. Not essential purely for skill acquisition. May 05, 2021
- As an analogy: If siblings complain to their parents about bedtime, it's a protest. If a bunch of kids meet up at the park, discuss bedtimes and their plans to fight bedtimes, it's a rally. I hope the Judge gets the difference. May 05, 2021
- @NYTNational As an analogy: If siblings complain to their parents about bedtime, it's a protest. If a bunch of kids meet up at the park, discuss bedtimes and their plans to fight bedtimes, it's a rally. I hope the Judge gets the difference. May 05, 2021

- @thurrott Microsoft's biggest strength right now is that streaming is AN option, not THE option. That and 23 game studios. Gotta go big or get out. May 05, 2021
- @thurrott *Cough* KindleFireClone *Cough* *Cough*. Dang those allergies. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv bro, I'm living the dream. You should try it sometime. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv No, I just think it is wise to keep merciless killers from killing again. Somehow that idea gets lost among overly political idiots who can't see the next victim. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosety I don't have to disprove a negative bro. She wasn't even one of the actual deliberating members so its all kinda moot anyway. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Not of the case no. Again, you're making stuff up. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosety Irrelevant. The fact that she can logically externalize those observations and pledge it didn't affect her reasoning for the case shows why she was a good pick. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Keep lying. https://t.co/FXH6kT6vie The prison cot remains hard. May 05, 2021 @
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Keep lying. https://t.co/FXH6kT6vie The prison cot remains hard. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv She's describing her feelings about whether she wanted to be a jury member, at the time of the survey, not during the trial. And then commits to taking the task seriously. Again, mislead and lie. The prison cot remains uncomfortable. https://t.co/FXH6kT6vie May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Yeah, she didn't say that. Lie all you want. The prison cot remains uncomfortable. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv There is no evidence that was even a consideration. Sorry, dumbass assumptions won't make the prison cot any softer. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Yeah, a jury member can choose not to serve by simply citing that upfront. Its a quick ticket home. Irrelevant. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv About a dream that wasn't remotely true then and largely still isn't today. Your mistaking an ideal destination for the actual state of matters today.

 May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Again, all irrelevant. The identifies of the jury members were kept secret for this very reason. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosety No indication of that. Irrelevant. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosety Irrelevant and stupid. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosety Irrelevant. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv It means jury as a whole. Meaning a reasonably diverse set of people who will balance each other out and deliberate in good faith. Which clearly happened here. Why did every white person on the jury vote to convict inside of four hours? Because Chauvin was guilty as sin. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Because it is irrelevant. You're laboring under the false idea individual jury members can't walk in with some bias. That's simply not the standard at play here. So your point is another turd on the wall May 05, 2021

- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosety Irrelevant. He didn't lie on the survey and diverse backgrounds are allowed on juries. Full stop. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv I sleep very well; not on a prison cot like some people. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosety Irrelevant. Political involvement isn't a disqualifier for jury duty. Never has been. Never will. It's why there are 12 jury members chief. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv And frankly, what you are saying makes no sense. When you are called in for jury duty, you have no idea what case you will be assigned to. They happens after you show up. So no, it wasn't a plan L. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv Yeah, he didn't join for political reasons. He never remotely said that and also honestly and explicitly voiced support for BLM in the survey answers. If you want to call it a bad survey question, great, but the man didn't lie. May 05, 2021
- @stonermcmuffinn @disclosetv It wasn't a protest chief. It was a march. A rally. Who was being yelled at? No one. As for the important of jury participation, yeah, it's important to participate in them if you want your background to be reflected in jury pool decisions. Nothing to see here pal. May 05, 2021
- @DemzRcorrupt @georgecdeer @davidmweissman I'd call it bad survey design at worst. The question could have included "march", but only included "protest" The MLK march wasn't inherently a "protest". No one was being protested at. It was a rally. That, and the juror indicated support for BLM in the survey answers. May 05, 2021
- @Confused_Canuck @erikapjohnson @pugsbecca @AttorneyCrump Didn't lie chief. The question explicitly was about "protests" specifically about police brutality. He attended a national MLK march/rally, spanning many topics including voter turnout and the pandemic. May 05, 2021
- @joanwilsonorg It's a well meaning idea that is likely the wrong approach. May 05, 2021
- @noBWrexit @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo No, knee to the neck that led to death was manslaughter. Maintaining the knee for two minutes after the pulse was reported gone moves that up to murder. May 05, 2021
- RT @AriBerman: Bruce Bartman, white guy in PA who illegally voted for Trump on behalf of his dead mother, gets 5 years probation Crystal M... May 05, 2021
- @hashtagwarfreek @georgecdeer @davidmweissman Nah. The survey question was specifically about being at a "protest". A national march/rally in DC To commemorate MLK's legacy clearly isn't that. May 05, 2021
- @georgecdeer @davidmweissman Not true. He was asked if he had attended a "protest". This wasn't that. It was a national MLK march where the conversation was decidedly inward. No one was being yelled at. The survey question could have said "march". It didn't. May 05, 2021
- @Matt_brfc The shirt has MLK on it, with a clever play on words. Doesn't move the needle at all frankly. Jurors are allowed to have preexisting biases, so long as they can demonstrate they can rationally follow jury instruction. May 05, 2021
- @Sexy_kittykat13 I doubt it. A rally/march in DC isn't inherently a "protest". No one was being yelled at, and the organizers never called it a protest. I can't see how the juror lied here. If nothing else, he expressed support for BLM in the survey. May 05, 2021

- @noBWrexit @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo Yeah..... The prison cot isn't getting softer anytime soon. May 05, 2021
- @noBWrexit @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo Knee to the neck for two whole minutes when there was no pulse. Reasonable doubt isn't measured in nanometers dude. Even the defense bailed on the lame OD defense. Tried that pathetic carbon monoxide nonsense near the end May 05, 2021
- @BigWolfDem @JustFreedom1776 @Joop72811957 @MickusDickus @SouthernGirl_K_ @Coady54560915 @SeizerPouncicus @riothawk True. So many people miss the drummer. May 05, 2021
- @noBWrexit @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo It's called CPR dude. Maintaining a hold without reason with no pulse. That looks dang bad in front of a jury. May 05, 2021
- @noBWrexit @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo People without a pulse can be brought back. That is literally what CPR is for. Keeping a knee on the neck is the opposite of that. May 05, 2021
- @USMCSECTION8 There's probable cause for manslaughter. Certainly enough for a trial. She accidentally shot him through incompetence. The question is whether it was criminally gross incompetence. May 05, 2021
- @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo Pro tip. To avoid a murder conviction, when your fellow officer tells you there is no pulse and a man is on the verge of death, release the hold. Don't maintain it for another two minutes. May 05, 2021
- @bwatts237 @uther_bendragon @MrAndyNgo Chauvin was explicitly told by his own men Floyd no longer had a pulse. He maintained the hold for another two minutes, explicitly knowing Floyd was on the brink of death. You want the difference between manslaughter and murder, that's it. May 05, 2021
- @MrAndyNgo It was a rally, not a protest. No one was being yelled at. I get the argument, but the survey question explicitly used "protest" and this clearly wasn't that. Judge still probably annoyed though. May 05, 2021
- @HenriettaSnacks @disclosety I get their argument and this has to be annoying for the judge. But the whole "I was at a rally, not a protest" point to me is pretty compelling. No one was getting yelled at. May 05, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 Apples and oranges. One is a movement saying "maybe shoot us less". The other literally preaches genocide. I'm sorry if you can't see the difference. Plenty of BLM supporters have been on juries sentencing Black people to prison. May 05, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 Support for BLM doesn't make one impartial to the point where they can't serve on a jury, especially when support for BLM is explicitly cited in the survey answers given. May 05, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 I don't think you understand the concept of murder. It's not about supporting Floyd; it's about making sure someone with 12 brutality complaints and now one murder can't murder someone else. Every white person on the jury voted to convict. I'm sure a couple love cops. May 05, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 The word DEMONSTRATION wasn't in the survey question involving areas outside of Minneapolis. The only word in that question was "protest". Call it bad writing if you want. The man didn't lie. May 05, 2021

- @sfight47 @JackPosobiec As for the juror, it's very plausible he didn't consider the March to be a protest. He was very truthful about his agreement with the principles of BLM in the survey. I just don't think this tanks him. May 05, 2021
- @brian_c_weaver @thurrott It's a bubble. Plenty of people will make serious money as it inflates. My fear is how much this inherently worthless asset gets entrenched in traditional business and financial products. We might have a new CDO here. May 05, 2021
- A national rally/march isn't inherently a protest. No one was being protested at; that's kinda giveaway it was about building momentum as a movement and not about externalizing messages. May 04, 2021
- @sfight47 @JackPosobiec Basically, the March was about taking that energy and converting it to votes. Something more than just yelling at people. And you know... It worked. May 04, 2021
- @sfight47 @JackPosobiec You do realize in the article you just posted, they never referred to the march as a protest. Because no one was being protested at. This was inherently nonconfrontational. The point was to transition energy from the summer protests into something else. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 Actually, a key element of protests is being fairly close to the people you would like to influence. To pretend otherwise, well, is to mistake a rally for a protest.

 May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 So, state governments... But they are assembled in the nation's Capitol, not in front of a statehouse. I didn't think you'd fall for that trap so easily... May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 Yes. You vote people in to invest more in community programs and less in law enforcement. This really isn't hard. Policing is at a local level. This is a national march. No one is being protested at here. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 One speaker. At a rally to fire people up. Not a protest. The key giveaway. No one is being protested at. No statehouse. No police department. No courthouse. This was largely Black people talking to each other. May 04, 2021
- @JackPosobiec Expected. But likely fruitless. A national march/rally isn't inherently a "protest", as the survey question specifically asked. It was a pep rally more than anything else; the key giveaway: no one was being protested at. May 04, 2021
- @MattWalshBlog Didn't lie dude. Question specifically asked about participation at a "protest". A national march/rally to commemorate MLK isn't that. It was a pep rally before the most consequential election in our history. I get the point being made, but nah. A stretch too far. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 And you said people were going to prison. Make up your mind sir! Lol May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 Burning stuff down? Send em to jail. No problem there. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 Yeah, it does. You defund stuff by winning elections and getting people in office. A lot of people are going to prison because they thought taking over the Capitol by force was the way to go. LoL. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 A rally. A march. They're getting themselves fired up for the upcoming election. Good for them. May 04, 2021

- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety The wording in the survey uses "protest" specifically. A march isn't inherently a protest. A rally isn't inherently a protest. The organizers didn't call the event a protest. It was always a march. A rally. I get your point. I just think it's a stretch too far. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety It was an MLK march covering a multitude of issues. He wore the official shirt with a picture of MLK on it. Seriously, I'd hate to be the appeals judge here. I get the stretch the defense will try to make, but its just a stretch too far. Might get to SCOTUS May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety And no, he didn't lie. You've never been to a DC rally with tens of thousands of people. Prove what he participated in. What speeches he heard. That he could actually hear anything at all. Frankly, it becomes more like a tailgate than anything else. May 04, 2021
- @theLMGN @verge Yeah, apparently so. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety I really don't care if he gets a few months of freedom before being sent back in, should that unlikely event happen. I'd only hope he can maybe not murder anyone while out. But to be made a monster twice on national TV on a doomed retrial? Man, he might just opt to do his time. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosetv Highly doubtful. This is why we have 12 jurors, not 1. Anyway, appeals take time, I hope he is enjoying his accommodations. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety Believe what you want. The prison cot isn't getting any softer. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosetv Signs that some attendees chose to carry are immaterial. The theme of the event was broad-based. LoL. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosetv The rally had tens of thousands of people and covered a variety of civil rights subjects, not least of which was how important the election will be. As the man said, for him it was about getting out the vote. Sorry dude, no judge would disqualify a juror over that. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosetv His interview is incredibly telling how impartial he was and how hard it was to send a man to prison. Comes across as very well thought out. I'm sorry bro, support for a large social movement doesn't mean you can't assess a murder.

 May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety Oh, I'm sure there will be an appeal. Filing one takes about an hour. Will there be a new trial over this? Nah. No judge is going to toss a verdict over a jury member who openly stated in the survey he had a favorable view of BLM, over an MLK march in DC. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety There wasn't a question about clothing on the survey chief. Blame the defense bro. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety He didn't lie about squat. The event covered multiple topics and was about civil rights at large. This might surprise you but if you have ever been to a rally in DC, it's dang hard to even hear who is speaking or about what. He attended an MLK march. LoL. Get over it. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety If you don't understand how analogies work, well ok. He didn't make the shirt. He was at a fun event and likely bought it there. Or was given it as a gift. He didn't lie about his support about BLM, he explicitly said he viewed the movement favorably. The defense said, yup! May 04, 2021

- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety It proves nothing of the sort. He's wearing the trademark slogan of a commemorative rally for MLK, which covered a wide range of social issues, including getting out to vote, before one of the most consequential elections in history. Sorry, the prison cot will remain occupado. May 04, 2021
- @LiberalsTDS2020 @disclosety The shirt has MLK on it, not Floyd. The shirt title was that of the March, which was a clever play on words. Sorry, there's no lie here. He even answered Yes to have positive feelings about BLM. He wasn't hiding squat. May 04, 2021
- An annual MLK march is not an anti-police brutality protest. No judge would rule otherwise. May 04, 2021
- @gopblondie @flying0intment @TenBrowning @disclosetv You need better glasses. May 04, 2021
- @gopblondie @flying0intment @TenBrowning @disclosetv You said "It appears he lied on his questionnaire". That can't remotely be true, based on the facts reported. Again, the survey was very specific about the type of protest. This was an MLK rally. Wouldn't qualify. May 04, 2021
- @retiredkyng @TenBrowning @disclosetv Yeah no, the survey question was specifically about participation in protests related to police brutality. He was at an MLK march. The t-shirt in that context is meaningless. Maybe the survey should have had something about shirts, but we are past that now. May 04, 2021
- @disclosetv No, the question was specifically about participation in protests about police brutality. He participated in an MLK commemoration rally in DC. Won't be nearly enough to overthrow the verdict. May 04, 2021
- @gopblondie @flying0intment @TenBrowning @disclosetv An MLK rally isn't a protest. Sorry, I've seen olympic gymnasts stretch more than that. May 04, 2021
- @gopblondie @TenBrowning @disclosetv Meh, it was an MLK rally in DC, not really a protest. I don't see this moving the needle. May 04, 2021
- @disclosetv Meh. A rally isn't a protest. I don't think the verdict goes anywhere frankly. Could he wrong, but doubt it. May 04, 2021
- @thurrott It's one big casino game. He quit while he was ahead. I'm not sure what pin will pop this bubble but when it does... May 04, 2021
- @stillgray Saying jury pools should reflect the diversity of the population isn't disqualifying. The verdict will stand. May 04, 2021
- @JonathanFalcons @NathanAlgren12 @stillgray The question is the definition of "tainted". Nothing here indicates anyone was tainted. People are allowed to walk in with political beliefs and diverse backgrounds. It's why we have 12 members. The verdict will stand. May 04, 2021
- @stillgray None of that inherently taints the jury. At all. May 04, 2021 ₽
- @FartsUSA @joecassandra @coninthecloset @washingtonpost So the issue with that mindset is not everyone who invests in providing a good or service is rich. And many risk losing their shirt. You have small landlords with razor slim margins exposed to real risk that frankly are being squeezed out of the market. May 04, 2021
- @verge In other words, theft. May 04, 2021
- @FartsUSA @joecassandra @coninthecloset @washingtonpost Arguably, so is every public shareholder who takes a profit cut from revenue sans expenses. May 04, 2021
- @markramsey @thurrott Damn you for making me chuckle at sad news. May 03, 2021 ₽

- Ouch. Kinda a sad headline for this afternoon. May 03, 2021
- @thurrott This could be solved by a \$100 check to everyone who gets the poke. \$30 billion to prevent another \$4 trillion hit. Billion-wise, trillion-foolish. May 03, 2021
- @BigM_Milk4 @thurrott Bullet dodged... May 03, 2021
- @Thurrottfeed @thurrott Did so about a month ago, which I guess is technically a yes. Got the Google 4a. Solid everything for about \$350. Nothing fancy, but gets the daily job done. May 03, 2021
- @GregJaffe @elisaslow In a world where the fed gov can invent money, I don't see why the fed gov. can't offer to voluntary nationalize these rentals as homeless shelters and compensate the landlords for at least their costs. At least until the pandemic is under control. May 03, 2021
- @BigBoyMemes2 @MTBornnard @Gaaaht_Eeem @GoldBloodedSav He also described his presence as a vicious one. Look, his words not mine. If Mr. Rittenhouse is convicted, it'll be because he has quite the mouth on him. May 03, 2021 @
- @KrystiPryde Beast. Brains and brawn. Not the flashiest ally but a trustworthy one to always have in your corner. May 02, 2021
- @vcsjones Don't underestimate Microsoft. The Surface Pro 1 was a dog too. It took a couple iterations to get it right and Microsoft has plenty of money. May 02, 2021
- @jennifer_passey @CityofFairfaxVA The counterpoint to this is the worse the traffic, the more attractive that Amazon button is. May 02, 2021
- @Tamzilla_52 That's a complete lie. I mean, continue if you want, but that doesn't make the prison bed any softer. May 02, 2021
- @BigBoyMemes2 @MTBornnard @Gaaaht_Eeem @GoldBloodedSav Mr. Rittenhouse shouldn't have boasted about being vicious on camera before heading out with a held weapon. Might give the jury the idea he was hunting, not protecting. That could very well negate a self-defense defense. May 02, 2021
- @jaegerjs Mr. Rittenhouse shouldn't have boasted about being vicious on camera before heading out with a held weapon. Might give the jury the idea he was hunting, not protecting. May 02, 2021
- @thurrott Are you saying it took Apple to convince Microsoft having customers on the best possible OS enables gains in security and a gateway to additional purchases (OneDrive, Office365, Gaming)? May 02, 2021
- @thurrott Updates to be sure. The idea of new larger versions one must pay \$\$\$ to upgrade to seems to be dead, at for PC OSes. Pretty sure server products still are versioned for \$\$\$ purposes. May 02, 2021
- @thurrott Some recognition to the initially stated vision; so far Windows 10 continues to be the "last version of Windows". May 02, 2021
- @RBReich That's hardly radical. In a world where automation is warping the value of human labor, wouldn't universal income be more the way to go? May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick If your problem solving statement explicitly points at a "root" deficiency of an existing system and then proposes a new system, that is inherently competitive. Again, I don't understand how in good faith you can't understand that. So again, bye. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick So... You just said it wasn't meant to be competitive. I pretty much disproved your point. A

- pivot and an insult is your response? Fine, if this isn't a good faith conversation, then bye. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick https://t.co/y11FpsMRBu "Bitcoin seeks to address the root problem with conventional currency" Not competitive? Bullshit. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick Irrelevant. That doesn't remotely explain why bitcoin would be a superior competitor, against the US dollar or fiat currency in general. If nothing else, its value is largely defined by how costly it is to unlock new coins using dirty energy generation in China. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick Notable exceptions are ransoms and purchases of elicit materials and services. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick It's not so much about time so much as the problem bitcoin is conceptually supposed to solve. From a transactional currency perspective, it isn't competitive with the US\$, unless you are keen about keeping your transactions anonymous. Not worth the instability in most cases. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick That just isn't what the stock market of the 90s shows, or really, what it has shown since. If nothing else, there was an unchecked over-exuberance (dotcom bubble) that weeded out the folks without the underlying plan/fundamentals. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick I disagree. If nothing else, the Internet triggered a massive wealth increase among investors, who bought into companies that actually proved the Internet was useful. I'm old enough to remember there were far more believers than naysayers. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick The key risk is something that triggers a run on the crypto holdings. There's no underlying value to latch onto I'm not sure what would trigger such a run, but then again, most people don't know exactly the pin which eventually pops these bubbles. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick Sure. And only idiots would hold serious amounts of their wealth in US currency, because of that. Still, it's stable for the purposes being a transactional asset. That remains a problem with bitcoin. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick Sure. Often finished off by a loud popping sound. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick The US dollar is stable, at least stable enough to allow it to be used as the transfer asset to acquires goods and services that actually have value. Inflation has been under 3% annually for years now. Not perfect but better than swings well into the double digits annually. May 02, 2021
- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick In a way, we are seeing this in some housing markets. Owners are refinancing at low interest rates and becoming landlords, holding onto rising property values. Meanwhile, the scarcity of homes only increases prices, feeding the cycle. May 02, 2021

- @FlawlessViktory @twiflanker @djordyblaauw @Sachin778wnk @michaelbatnick The primary issue with crypto isn't so much spendability, but rather willingness to spend. With the value of the asset increasing via speculation, why spend crypto worth \$5 when it could be worth \$9 in a few months. It's a classic speculative bubble. May 02, 2021
- @SanayRodrigo @Crocodi24617549 @PS_for_life_ @iamcemal @LarsVerbNL @SnoopyG7 More competitive is the emerging Cloud Streaming service on PC. If your internet is decent, it's quite good and gives you a One S on your PC. They are already upgrading the backend servers to Series X. May 02, 2021
- @OiMonsuta @DiederichLucas @m9_tony @GandalfGaming__ @PlayStation @Housemarque Technically, that is only true for the 360 titles. You lose the One games if you cancel your Gold membership. May 01, 2021
- @IncognitoCheez @proxyishere @SmashBlockGames @BeatemupsWood The S and X deliver really good performance on Optimized One games at their respective targeted resolutions. For the best gen titles coming though, expect devs to make compromises on S they don't have to on X. May 01, 2021
- @jazzwolf Half a year's salary upfront in a hospitable job market is kinda a sweet deal. May 01, 2021
- @donasarkar @tracymiranda I haven't seen this many resignations since all those UNSC Als defected to Cortana. May 01, 2021
- @JennaEllisEsq Wonderful chaps, those MAGA men who saw the gun, and boosted the woman through to test the waters. Such brave men. Apr 30, 2021
- @SomaPillsTV Sure:) To finish the answer, I'd say a pop would look like a sudden mass realization that these crypto assets are unstable and have no underlying value. A run on them powered by economic uncertainty elsewhere would likely do it. Imagine if everyone decided to sell. Apr 30, 2021
- @SomaPillsTV Similar. I remember highly valued companies that went from cash-flush to bankrupt almost overnight. Because the fundamentals were never there. eToys comes to mind. https://t.co/BR0N4TuL31 Apr 30, 2021
- @SomaPillsTV In short, something needs to stabilize or limit the rampant speculation, so this stuff can actually work as spendable money. Otherwise, this all goes pop. <u>Apr 30</u>, 2021
- @SomaPillsTV Its ability to function as a currency is highly limited by speculative trading. Why spend a currency if it might double in value inside of two years? Without a government to benchmark underlying value through government jobs and what they pay, I think this could be a bubble. Apr 30, 2021
- @freekyleusa It wasn't lawful for him to have the weapon. Advocates who claim otherwise frequently swap out "not in compliance" (in statute) with "noncompliance" (not in statute) to make their reasoning work. They mean different things and words matter.

 Apr 30, 2021
- @LangmanVince Yeah, those assholes who boosted her through the opening after seeing the officer with the gun yelling warnings should be charged with murder. Wonderful brave chaps, sending a woman through to test the waters. Charge em! Apr 30, 2021
- @gregkellyusa No one has been charged with it "yet". But those charges are coming. In the meantime, it was an outstanding shot. Likely saved several lives by stopping a seditionist mob in its tracks. Apr 30, 2021

- @newsmax @gregkellyusa Great. Capitol Police can countersue for the cost of the round of ammunition. Apr 30, 2021
- @gregkellyusa So we can give him a medal of honor for thwarting a mob of terrorists? Sure. Apr 30, 2021
- @PhuckSea @Turkewitz @Perdiemservices Civil action != Criminalization Apr 30, 2021
- @PhuckSea @Turkewitz @Perdiemservices You sue the estate, not the family. And it was a JOKE. Clearly the cost of the ammunition wouldn't be worth the trouble. But yeah, this is a worthless lawsuit going nowhere. Apr 30, 2021
- @Perdiemservices @Turkewitz Nope. At best the Capitol Police will countersue to recoup the cost for the round of ammunition. Apr 30, 2021
- @PhuckSea @Turkewitz @Perdiemservices Irrelevant. At best, the Capitol Police will countersue for cost of the round of ammunition. Apr 30, 2021
- @Stephiet15 @JoeSnuffy1965 @RepAndyBiggsAZ @VP @SpeakerPelosi Leading by the example. Apr 29, 2021
- #XboxSeriesx really makes #halo4 shine. You could easily confuse it at 4k for a One-era game. Apr 28, 2021
- @Mohamed3on @jrdnmdhl @NAChristakis Part of this is to limit mutations. The more people vaccinated, the less of a test bed you give the virus to learn new tricks. <u>Apr 28</u>, 2021
- @thurrott My wife still mourns the death of the HDX line. Apr 27, 2021
- Party favors. That said, my kids will love Lego Batman. https://t.co/n2LaUJyvJs Apr 27, 2021 @
- @thurrott One note: the processor is still a MediaTek MT8183 (about 1.5 years old). Notable there is no chipset upgrade. Apr 27, 2021
- @thurrott One Observation. The RAM bump to 3 GB should greenlight what is technically necessary for Xbox Cloud Streaming. Given the Microsoft Office deal, can this be far behind? Apr 27, 2021
- No processor speed bump in the new #FireHD10. Still the MediaTek MT8183, which is about 1.5 years old. More emphasis being placed on RAM and durability. Apr 27, 2021
- @CharlieDude77 @YouTube Hmmm. Leaves out the footage where Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera bragging about being vicious. Apr 27, 2021
- @DebiC37936 @foxandfriends @washingtonpost There's audio of the call. Mr. Rittenhouse's lawyers arranged the interview. Apr 27, 2021
- @Kotaku Party favors. View them through that lens and they're fine. My kids will love the Batman game. Apr 26, 2021
- Another OK #gameswithgold month. The kids will probably get some mileage out of the Batman game. Not bad for a free add-on. Apr 26, 2021
- @NarzsaGG They're party favors. Honestly, my kids will get a blast out of the Batman game. The others... Eh... Apr 26, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberSl7 @realDailyWire Irrelevant. If he was identifying himself using an official government email account, then no, he was not acting as a private citizen. And if he was sloppy in his writing, then that is again on him #individualresponsibility #workemailisnotyours Apr 26, 2021

- @DebiC37936 @foxandfriends The point is Mr. Rittenhouse at a bare minimum illegally straw-purchased a weapon through Dominick Black; he fully admitted to it in a Washington Post interview. No corporate entity, public or private, would in their right mind associate with that. Apr 26, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Mr. Rittenhouse openly admitted in a Washington Post interview he gave Mr. Black \$1,200 to purchase the weapon for him. That's highly illegal. It's not a matter of serious debate at this point. Apr 26, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire The cop had official authority over people in his own jurisdiction and through his comments over official government email, said illegally straw-purchasing weapons was doing nothing wrong. So yeah, he was canned so fast he thought he was tuna. Apr 26, 2021
- @DebiC37936 @foxandfriends The NRA hasn't backed Mr. Rittenhouse either. That should tell you something. Apr 26, 2021
- @palford16 @HyeJinSong10 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Holding government employees accountable for throwing their official authority around (especially those who wield lethal force) seems as antifascist as one can get. Yeah, I don't need our cops signaling it is OK to illegally straw purchase weapons. Apr 26, 2021
- @mrfelt1 @barnes_law @thevivafrei Knee to the neck for two minutes after a pulse wasn't detected, on a handcuffed man. No excuse. Sorry if you don't see that, the jury sure as heck did. Sorry if you are sore about it. Apr 26, 2021
- @mrfelt1 @barnes_law @thevivafrei The intent was to show the crowd pleading for him to stop who the boss was. To the point where he didn't care that there was no pulse. I mean, spread lies all you want; it won't make the prison bed any softer. Apr 26, 2021
- @mrfelt1 @barnes_law @thevivafrei Here's a pro-tip for avoiding murder convictions: When you are told there is no longer a pulse, take the knee off the neck. Don't keep it there for another two minutes while warding off emergency assistance with pepper spray. Apr 26, 2021
- @AdderTude @runningnoodles @KaitMarieox It was used as a weapon AFTER two shots had been wildly fired in the immediate area. If those two shots are felonious, the skateboard really doesn't matter here. Apr 26, 2021
- @barnes_law @thevivafrei A conviction for an obvious murder shouldn't surprise anyone. There is no evidence whatsoever the jury did anything other than follow jury instruction. Apr 25, 2021
- @amandacarpenter https://t.co/wZSB2fQdmY Apr 25, 2021 @
- @MouthCrayon @GaryATX787 @barnes_law It's a criminal jury; they don't set precedent, at least not legally. Really, not much is riding on this case. Apr 24, 2021
- @barnes_law @ConnorSays4 There's no civil lawsuit that will ever hold water. Even in the event of an acquittal, that would be based on a "beyond a reasonable doubt standard" for convictions. It's not a vindication for the purposes of civil matters. Of course, you know that. Apr 24, 2021
- @jamesqquick Technically, Logo Apr 24, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire You have misread the statute. Full stop. Apr 24, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberSl7 @realDailyWire As for why it matters in Norfolk, the citizens of Norfolk need to know their officers don't condone illegal gun

- possession and sales. That trust was compromised. Hence the can! So fast he thought he was tuna. Apr 24, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Mr. Rittenhouse gave a washington post interview where he confessed to giving \$1200 to Dominick Black to straw purchase the weapon for him (highly illegal). I mean, lie on Twitter all you want. It won't matter in court. Apr 24, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Show me proof that government officials used their official emails to make donations to other bail funds. I'll wait. Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @HyeJinSong10 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Yeah, also government email. This really isn't hard. Don't bring your agency's brand into this crap and you'll be fine. The lack of common sense here is beyond belief. Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @HyeJinSong10 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Again, from a private email account would be fine. With the full authority of an official law enforcement account, no. This really isn't hard. Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @HyeJinSong10 @LuckyNumberSl7 @realDailyWire Yeah, Irrelevant. At best Mr. Rittenhouse was informed by a cop form an official email his illegal straw purchase of a weapon wasn't wrong. Rightfully fired Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @HyeJinSong10 @LuckyNumberSl7 @realDailyWire Irrelevant. <u>Apr 24, 2021</u>
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberSl7 @realDailyWire It's called personal responsibility. Cop should take this like a man and learn a lesson. Apr 24, 2021
- @CizzymacHD @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Yes, you are misreading it. Again, a magistrate looked at the charge and let the case go forward. If this was simply about the weapon being a rifle or Mr. Rittenhouse being 17, the charge would likely never have seen arraignment. Apr 24, 2021
- @HyeJinSong10 @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Sure. But if you use your government email, you are no longer operating in the capacity of a private citizen, but rather speaking out of some official capacity. Hence the termination. Moron should have used a gmail account. Apr 24, 2021
- @CizzymacHD @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire You probably are laboring under an incorrect read of the statute. If it was a simple misapplication of statute, it would likely have been tossed out at the probable cause hearing. Plenty of people with agendas are misrepresenting the nesting and wording. It was not legal Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire That is one component of a self-defense rationale, however not a complete one. Alone, it doesn't excuse the shots. In any event, it's complex and not really germaine to the cop. Bottom line is his email was grossly inappropriate and he was dismissed properly. Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire He used his work email address and also said all rank and file police support him. It wasn't his voice; it was a message sent on the equivalent of company letterhead. That's highly inappropriate and a fireable offense.

 Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire "From what you understand" excludes witness testimony, video likely you haven't seen, and the potential flip of Dominick Black (facing 12 years for this). Again, a coin flip, but to my earlier point, a cop shouldn't be saying "you did nothing wrong". Apr 24, 2021

- @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Irrelevant. Without the illegal weapon and lack of training, this wouldn't have happened. A conviction on the serious stuff is a coin flip (Mr. Rittenhouse said some dumb stuff on camera), but from a cop's perspective, saying "you did nothing wrong" when Laws were broken is bad. Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire The gun possession was illegal, per accurate read of the statute. Working private security without the legally mandated training (and age requirement) so you know not how to manslaughter people is illegal. A cop shouldn't be brushing aside any crime. Apr 24, 2021
- @AkatsukiAmerica @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire No. The gun possession was unlawful. Working private security criminally unqualified was unlawful (21+ age requirement + mandatory training so you know not how to manslaughter people). Convictions on the serious stuff is a coin flip, but a cop shouldn't be excusing any crime. Apr 24, 2021
- @palford16 @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire I agree. But "You did nothing wrong" is not the same as trying to create a wedge of reasonable doubt. No one should be trying to make Mr. Rittenhouse overly confident. Apr 24, 2021
- @LuckyNumberS17 @realDailyWire Work email. And frankly, from a position of potential legal knowledge, he shouldn't be telling an accused murderer he did nothing wrong. Mr. Rittenhouse shouldn't receive false assurances. Apr 24, 2021
- @ThiccZelda @RyanGarciaESM @hfl98466 @SFY @marcelluswiley I'm not so sure. Mr. Chauvin kept the knee on Mr. Floyd's neck for two minutes AFTER a pulse couldn't be detected. If you want the difference between manslaughter and murder, there you go. Apr 24, 2021
- @BS5tothe5th @itsTunksy Playing locally will always be better than steaming, qualitywise. I have a Series X; the kids tend to occupy it. Streaming is just handy when that happens. Apr 24, 2021
- @BS5tothe5th @itsTunksy It's decent for me albeit admittedly I have Verizon Fios at home. Apr 24, 2021
- @heystevehere The killer feature is it is AN option, not THE option. You won't get XBox Series X graphics at 4k, but for a quick gaming break in the work PC, it's pretty sweet. Apr 23, 2021
- @JustinSalvato Not being able to download Netflix shows like you can on Android finally did it for me. I now run #launcher10 on a Google 4a. It gets the Live Tiles down really well, but yeah, I miss hubs too. Apr 23, 2021
- A key point about #xboxcloudgaming is that it is AN option, not THE option. Very handy if your Xbox is otherwise occupied or you want to take a quick 15 minute gaming break while at the PC. On a #surfacepro7, I'm pretty impressed. Apr 23, 2021
- @tomwarren Native Android app support Apr 23, 2021
- @XboxP3 @godfree Exactly my take. It's a nice option to have, when my primary xbox series x is unavailable. And for first-person campaigns, it's pretty responsive. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave The cops have the store record. And the federal gun paperwork. And Mr. Rittenhouse's spoken confession he provided the money so he could have the weapon. I'm not sure what is unclear here. Apr 23, 2021

- @Who_Is_ThisDave @NEEFYW No, Mr. Rittenhouse gave \$1,200 to Mr. Black as part of a plot for him to have a weapon before he turned 18. The \$1,200 wasn't a gift to Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse accessing the weapon wasn't a borrow. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave Irrelevant. He provided free storage for the weapon Mr. Rittenhouse through him illegally purchased, which frankly isn't helpful to his case. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave @NEEFYW Providing free storage for the weapon to allow the knowledge of the illegal purchase to elude Mr. Rittenhouse's Mom isn't helpful. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave Kyle gave Mr. Black the money for the purchase, with the understanding the weapon would be his, in concept. That makes it an illegal straw purchase, and Mr. Black an illegal seller of the weapon. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave @NEEFYW No, Mr. Rittenhouse gave Mr. Black the money, with the spoken understanding it was to be his weapon. That makes it an illegal straw purchase. Mr. Black effectively sold him the weapon, and that's why he is in deep shit. As for the possession, no, you are misreading the statute. Apr 23, 2021
- @NEEFYW @Who Is ThisDave Yup. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave With regard to Dominick Black, there is no legal rationale for an acquittal. Jury nullification is sometimes a thing, but its pretty rare and I can't imagine it occurring in this case. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave @NEEFYW Mr. Rittenhouse's lawyers arranged for the call: https://t.co/Z9RMP0NndT This isn't hard. They wanted to fundraise and run up some nice hefty legal bills, paid for by donations. Even Mrs. Rittenhouse understands this now. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave There's audio of the call dude. Believe what you want. Dominick Black is facing 12 years in prison for his role in this: https://t.co/Lg4tfog5Dw Open & shut. To be fair, it was \$1,200. Got confused on the exact number. Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave @NEEFYW @JustFreedom1776 No, Dominick Black took \$1,400 of Mr. Rittenhouse's money and purchased a weapon for Mr. Rittenhouse. Mr. Rittenhouse confessed this was the plan to the Washington Post. The illegal straw purchase is a proved legal fact. Apr 23, 2021
- @Sjharris2020 @RealMattCouch Free speech is fine, but when you bring your government email account into it and speak from the authority of a sworn position.... Apr 23, 2021
- @Who_Is_ThisDave @NEEFYW @JustFreedom1776 He hadn't taken a firearms safety course in Wisconsin. That's a known fact. It's one reason why Dominick Black's Dad kept the illegally purchased weapon in a safe. Moron unlocked it. Apr 23, 2021
- @JustinSalvato Nice video. Owed five Windows Phones back in the day. Great devices for their period in history, but yeah, apps.... Apr 23, 2021
- @MichelGilCue @rafaelvalerac Has nothing to do with censorship. If you are donating to an accused double murderer, it is downright stupid to use the digital equivalent of company letterhead. Apr 23, 2021
- @bubblinsuga @JKolkin @debbiemol65 @tracy_4571 @oXxRowanxXo Yeah, that's the trust we place in juries. If there's any evidence they were being dishonest, by all means.... Apr 22, 2021

- @tracy_4571 @oXxRowanxXo The words of someone from outside the court really don't change the outcomes of cases. Sure, it'll be brought up but the appeal will be rightfully shot down. Apr 22, 2021
- @swyx 1) Never screw people over. And when you win in a valid competition, be gracious. Apr 22, 2021
- @thurrott @bdsams According to Verge, these are Xbox Series S machines on the backend. One has to wonder about ratio of subscribers to machines to make this possible. https://t.co/8WjYE4TcIR Apr 22, 2021 @
- @thurrott Feels like a prelude for axing live tiles on the Start menu. This type of popup information is kind of their forte. Apr 22, 2021
- @thurrott @bdsams I'm very impressed with Master Chief Collection single player in the browser on my Surface Pro 7, on my Fios fiber connection. Compares favorably with a space expensive local install. Apr 22, 2021
- @thurrott @bdsams Circumventing the Apple Store makes me cry a little :) Apr 22, 2021
- @STDeltaShift This is just mean son. Apr 22, 2021
- @Stoneheart1974 @Nekros1776 @CoffeeOrDieMag Oh son, show your real face on Twitter before calling other people out on their manhood. Apr 22, 2021
- @Nekros1776 @Stoneheart1974 @CoffeeOrDieMag @CoffeeOrDieMag wonderful fans you have here. Downright enhancing to your brand. Apr 22, 2021
- @Stoneheart1974 @Nekros1776 @CoffeeOrDieMag I love how you think 10 to 20 years in prison is no big deal. Apr 22, 2021
- @Nekros1776 @Stoneheart1974 @CoffeeOrDieMag All your nonsense doesn't change the fact that a jail cell is occupied tonight. By all means, lie on Twitter all you like. Bullshit, as we have just seen, dies in court. Apr 22, 2021
- @WSBallin @PlanetAsia1930 @JgaltTweets @ACLU The police station had been evacuated. Smart move. Deadly force was never necessary to protect human life. Had Ms. Babbitt waited 10 minutes for the evacuation to be complete, she wouldn't have been shot. Unfortunately, it was a matter of protecting people, not property. Apr 22, 2021
- @GorgothI @thehill Seriously doubt it. Using a work email account (the equivalent of company letterhead) to make a donation to an alleged murderer, while disparaging police leadership, is very likely a termination-worthy offense. Apr 22, 2021
- @Stoneheart1974 @CoffeeOrDieMag Pro-tip: When there is no longer a pulse, release the hold. Don't maintain it for an additional two minutes. If you want to know the difference between manslaughter and murder, that is it. Apr 22, 2021
- @WSBallin @PlanetAsia1930 @JgaltTweets @ACLU Again, the White House was never breached. The Capitol was. There was one barrier between the violent mob and Congress; one that was being broken down. It's sad a bunch of men pushed a women through it to test the waters, despite seeing the gun. Swell brave chaps. Apr 22, 2021
- @WSBallin @PlanetAsia1930 @JgaltTweets @ACLU The White House wasn't breached; the last line of defense was never tested chief. Not even close. It was an outstanding shot. Apr 22, 2021
- @MrMichaelBurkes When you are part of a violent mob trying to break down the last line of defense to get to Congress, you might need to briefly live with the consequences.

 <u>Apr 22, 2021</u>

- @WSBallin @PlanetAsia1930 @JgaltTweets @ACLU When you are part of an assault force trying to break down the last barrier to get to Congress, you might need to briefly live with the consequences of your actions. Apr 22, 2021
- @PraetorianXVX Don't use company letterhead when donating to an alleged murderer.
 Don't give legal advise to an alleged murderer under the professional identity of a police officer. What an idiot. Apr 21, 2021
- @deneenknews If you are going to donate to an accused murderer, it's a bad idea to use the digital equivalent of company letterhead. Especially if you work in law enforcement.

 Apr 21, 2021
- RT @SenateDems: Republican Senator John Kennedy asks @StaceyAbrams to give him a list of provisions in Georgia's new voter suppression law... Apr 21, 2021
- @brycehaymond @madebygoogle They haven't arrived yet. Apr 21, 2021
- @JoeyBro13563077 @HouseDems @HouseGOP @SenateGOP @SenateDems It's different when you are part of a violent assault force trying to break down the last line of defense to Congress. Apr 21, 2021
- @chipfranklin If from a government account, then yes. Apr 21, 2021
- @dc_raider @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall Because he was threatened with violence. The threats he received could easily be featured in another segment Shucks, I've been tweeted photos of people getting shot just for commenting on this. As a note, the original news story is still on the station's site. Apr 20, 2021
- @atensnut @PaulZim63514872 Try to breach the last barrier of defense to get to Congress as part of a violent mob, you might need to briefly live with your mistake. Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah There were no emails or documents marked Classified or Top Secret in the headers. Mainly sentences in emails marked rarely with (C). So, yeah, she had a pretty good defense that she just missed them. Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah He used his work email. His comments could have easily been construed as support from the police department. Indeed, the cop suggested as much. From an official police account and a place of public trust,, this was a highly improper. Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah Again, you are missing the point. Classified information should never be sent through a private mail server OR a non-classified government mail system. Her device choice is irrelevant, because her claim is she didn't believe she was doing anything classified on her devices.

 Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah A police officer in Virginia just got axed for this. Possibly worse given the exposition in the donation. Just really stupid if you like your job. https://t.co/pefUzZbQbK Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah You'd have to prove intent. Mrs. Clinton's claim was she didn't recognize it in the emails. It wasn't a bad defense frankly. Apr 20, 2021
- @JuaqeIsGone @ACTBrigitte He maintained the hold for two minutes AFTER a pulse couldn't be detected. If you want to know the difference between manslaughter and murder, that's one of them. Apr 20, 2021

- @alldaydanforth @dannygoslingLFC @ACTBrigitte Really not important. Their identities were kept secret. Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah Still, hubris to be sure. Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah Meh, a conviction would have been hard, for a variety of reasons, not least of which the amount of emails containing classified materials were a VERY small percentage of email managed by her setup, and most classified info was minimally marked. Intent would have been hard for 12 Apr 20, 2021
- @thurrott All my good luck chants to get the same invite were for not. This is a bit deal for those with capable PCs, but low storage. Apr 20, 2021
- @ACTBrigitte Pro-tip: If you can't detect a pulse, release the dang hold. Apr 20, 2021
- Necessary. Very Necessary. Apr 20, 2021
- @dc_raider @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall That verdict was overturned at the Supreme Court level. Because free speech generally prevails at the higher levels. So moot. Apr 20, 2021
- @dc_raider @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall A government worker who uses his official government identity to send a donation to someone accused of double murder? Yeah, that's reasonably newsworthy. If you disagree fine, but no judge would agree with you. Apr 20, 2021
- @dc_raider @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall No this isn't Nick Sandmann. This is one dude on one segment of one daily local news show that barely would be famous for 15 minutes, if that. Nobody really cares, except right-wingers trying to signal boost for all it is worth so they can point fingers. Apr 20, 2021
- @dc_raider @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall Meh, you're being picky with words. There won't be legal action that comes from this. Frankly, whoever this guy is doesn't want to be famous. And the only people who seem to care are right-wing media types looking to manufacture outrage. It won't work. Apr 20, 2021
- @thurrott No iPad Mini. Pity. Apr 20, 2021
- @thurrott For the right type of professional, yeah worth it. People wonder why I spend \$2,300 on Surface Pro, warranty and accessories every three years. Because the job it helps enable pays well. Apr 20, 2021
- @thurrott It is begging to have a touch screen. But no. Apr 20, 2021
- @Intrepid00 @thurrott They won't cannibalize the IPad Pro. They can't. Apr 20, 2021
- @thurrott For a hundred bucks more, you can get an XBox Series S Apr 20, 2021 &
- @LisaWhi45458754 @johncardillo Again, you're stating nonsense. I mean, believe what you want, but there was no way a judgement wasn't going to be arrived at in civil court. Things went down hill the moment the cops drew on a guy sitting in his car over a \$20 bill. Apr 20, 2021
- @brycehaymond @madebygoogle The support team has issued me two new units to replace the ones I'd been having issues with. I'll report back with the results. Apr 20, 2021
- @vjeannek @johncardillo take care Apr 20, 2021
- @vjeannek @johncardillo Yeah, I don't think they'd run up a \$27 million dollar legal tab. And under the preponderance of evidence and jury majority standards, a civil judgement was all but guaranteed. Apr 20, 2021

- @LisaWhi45458754 @johncardillo Multiple medical experts found this wasn't an OD. Civil cases work of preponderance of evidence standards (lower threshold than reasonable doubt) and simply require a jury majority). Then there is the fact the hold was maintained for two minutes after a pulse wasn't detected... Apr 20, 2021
- @vjeannek @johncardillo That is the premise of settling. They internally realized they had liability exposure and cut a check. Apr 20, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL @FDRLST The amount is irrelevant. A government email account shouldn't be weighing in on an ongoing criminal matter, especially when money is involved. Or work email for that matter. There's plenty of companies where this would be an automatic termination on optics alone. Apr 20, 2021
- @johncardillo The city's lawyers by definition get to determine civil liability exposure. They advised the city to cut a check for \$27 million, and it was done. To think there wouldn't be a civil judgement in court under the preponderance of evidence standard is just silly. Apr 20, 2021
- @dc_raider @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall "using his government email" is why the word "caught" is valid. When you use a government email address, you are representing the agency as much as yourself. Were the funds agency funds? Is the support official agency policy? It's impropriety, pure and simple. And idiotic. Apr 20, 2021
- @johncardillo Not really. As a civil matter, the city has already assessed the police are responsible for the death and have already paid out \$26 million to the family. Whether Derek Chauvin is individually responsible is a different criminal matter. Apr 20, 2021
- @arizonacardslo1 @loganclarkhall @FollowWIN Honestly, this isn't really hard. 1) Look up name online based on public government email address 2) Use any number of private online people finders (they cost maybe \$30 a month). If you own property, your name is probably in a public property tax database. Apr 20, 2021
- @CharlieTemple10 @thunderman115 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah Kinda was. Multiple hearings. Very possibly cost her the election. Barely avoided criminal charges, etc... A cautionary tale of why you shouldn't screw with government email. Apr 20, 2021
- @LIBERTYiFREEDOM @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall @FollowWIN @ABC4Rosie Government email addresses are routinely available to the public. If you have the email address, yeah, you can probably find the person without too much trouble online This is basic knowledge. Apr 20, 2021
- @dc_raider @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall There are some pretty aggressively supportive Kyle Rittenhouse supporters out there. Personal safety perhaps? I've already gotten a photo of a guy getting shot just for commenting on this matter. I can only imagine what the reporter is getting. Apr 20, 2021
- @arizonacardslo1 @loganclarkhall @FollowWIN FOIA is for governments. They don't apply to private news organizations. Apr 20, 2021
- @WingAnesthesia @loganclarkhall @FollowWIN "private citizens"s shouldn't use their government email accounts to weigh in financially on criminal cases. It's just common sense. Putting the weight of the agency behind the donation invites scrutiny. Apr 20, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah It's not about the work computer, it is about the brand coop. Emails from a government domain carry the weight of the agency it is sent from. This is a major no-no in any serious government

- operation. Government email is owned by the people. Gov. employees should know that. Apr 20, 2021
- @FollowWIN You highlighted a government employee using their government identify to financially weigh in on a criminal case. Nothing to apologize for. Apr 20, 2021
- @BreitbartNews Use a government account to weigh in financially on a criminal case, you might invite scrutiny. Moron should have used a gmail account. Apr 20, 2021
- @ShimermanArmin "They irradiated their own planet?!?!" Apr 20, 2021
- @JackPosobiec She didn't remotely threaten the jury. An allusion to civil unrest, maybe Apr 20, 2021
- @joelevet @NickAtNews @RepMaxineWaters I kinda doubt it. The jury never heard the comments. They are under instructions not to watch the news. And frankly, this is already a very charged case. Those comments didn't add much gas to the potential fire Apr 20, 2021
- @KatharinaKoelb1 @NickAtNews No, because the jury wasn't in the room and has been instructed not to watch the news. Don't get me wrong. At first I was thinking the same thing. Apr 19, 2021
- @haysstanford I have an account. I never use it as a programmer. Apr 19, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah My business domain, my rules. And from a government account, this is just stupid. Yes, private citizens get to scrutinize government email. Gmail accounts are cheap and plentiful. Apr 19, 2021
- @CraigFM1789 @JackPosobiec @FollowWIN @guardian @abc4utah Your own property yes. Someone else's property, not so much. Wisconsin has minimal standards for working armed private security and such standards hold up to court scrutiny. Florida's laws are not germaine. Apr 19, 2021
- @FDRLST The donation was made from an official government account chief. That invites scrutiny. Is it the official stance of the agency? Were agency funds used? etc.. Apr 19, 2021
- @Festus04890111 @FollowWIN @guardian @abc4utah If you use an official government account to take sides in a criminal case, that might open you up to some scrutiny. Is it the official position of the agency? Were agency funds used? etc.... Apr 19, 2021
- @LeftOut21786028 @JackPosobiec @FollowWIN @guardian @abc4utah The opinion of someone with eight digits in their handle really is of no concern to me. Bye. Apr 19, 2021
- @CraigFM1789 @JackPosobiec @FollowWIN @guardian @abc4utah Sure, the recourse is castle doctrine if it is your property or being a trained private security provider if you want to defend someone else's. For the latter, there is a 21+ minimum age requirement and mandatory gun and security training. Apr 19, 2021
- @rickcoombe @mamasherryll @AriFleischer Yeah, he called it wasteful, not immoral or wrong. Not his investigative dollar bucks to spend, so whatever. Apr 19, 2021
- @rickcoombe @mamasherryll @AriFleischer He's getting hammered by right-wing media and right-wing media readers. That's pretty much the scope of it. The dollar amount really doesn't matter. Using a gov. account to take sides in a criminal matter is a bad idea. Apr 19, 2021

- @rickcoombe @mamasherryll @AriFleischer I've never worked in gov but know plenty people who do; this is just unheard of. There is a public trust that comes with that email extension. And frankly, I run a company. If an employee dragged my hard earned brand into this fiasco carelessly, there would be consequences. Apr 19, 2021
- @AdamInHTownTX @FollowWIN @guardian @abc4utah A government email account was used for the donation. That puts the weight of the agency behind it. It is an open invitation for scrutiny. Gmail accounts are cheap and plentiful. Apr 19, 2021
- @JackPosobiec @FollowWIN @guardian @abc4utah He donated using a government email account, putting the weight of his agency behind the donation. This is an open invitation for scrutiny. Apr 19, 2021
- @mamasherryll @rickcoombe @AriFleischer He used a government email account. He put the weight of his agency behind the donation. Apr 19, 2021
- @AriFleischer When you donate from a government email account, you are putting the weight of the agency behind that donation. This is an open invitation for scrutiny. Apr 19, 2021
- @CubsFanGeezer @treehousetim @FollowWIN @guardian @abc4utah When you donate using a government email address, you are putting the weight of that agency behind the transaction. Apr 19, 2021
- @maryjofoley It's the old three screens model without WinRT! Apr 19, 2021
- @thunderman115 @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah I own a company. If an employee dragged my hard constructed brand into this, there would be consequences. Apr 19, 2021
- @Struggl66246911 @thunderman115 The statute I cited wasn't about impersonation, but sure, spout out nonsense without actually doing any research. Apr 19, 2021
- @CharlieTemple10 @FollowWIN @wvcfd @abc4utah The problem is when you use a government email address, you are putting the weight of that government institution behind your donation. Apr 18, 2021
- @jimbobway63 @dcwomenkicknass @tennesseeazure @jomajonesnyc @USAlight3
 The problem is, from a government law enforcement email account, this could easily be construed as official support from a government entity. Especially with the note that the police back him up. Apr 18, 2021
- @hduenebeyus @PfMV1cDJdfGZHJA @swapmeetlouis13 Explosives were a known quantity in the area; bombs had already been found. Sorry, it couldn't be assumed a suicide vest wasn't part of equation; having a chamber of lawmakers blown up simply wasn't an option. It was an outstanding shot. Apr 18, 2021
- @hduenebeyus @PfMV1cDJdfGZHJA @swapmeetlouis13 When you try to break down the last line of defense to Congress as part of a violent mob that has already forced officers to abandon their post, you might need to briefly live with the consequences. Apr 17, 2021
- Punk fascist cop https://t.co/af5CB71uBu Apr 17, 2021 @
- @TyrLuthor @ReinaHW @Xbox They're party favors. Once you view them through that lens, they're ok. My kids got a couple hours of mileage out of the truck game today. For nothing, that ain't bad. Apr 17, 2021
- @scot1222 Nope, we are going to need to build a new prison for all these people. Apr 17, 2021

- RT @STDeltaShift: A crossover special with guest stars, all on today's #JellicoTrek #StarTrek https://t.co/k4Ta4hkTwL Apr 17, 2021
- @brink53d @dcgreenfield @CBSNews This might surprise you but most cops aren't as incompetent as Officer FUCKING HANDS!!! Apr 17, 2021
- @dcgreenfield @CBSNews The problem wasn't with the shot but with the commands. Officer FUCKING HANDS!!! was too amped up on aggression to remember to ask the suspect to *slowly* raise his hands. This was a mistake and the kid paid for it with his life for doing exactly what he was told. Apr 16, 2021
- @JustinSalvato @thurrott KITT loved it when Michael would come out of the donut shop and get punched in the face by the guy who wanted to park there. Apr 16, 2021
- @JustinSalvato @thurrott KITT did it. Apr 16, 2021
- @dramaqueenmama @JoyAnnReid The point is you call for slow surrendering actions, not yell SHOW ME YOUR FUCKING HANDS!!! The unprofessional aggressive instructions killed the kid as much as the bullet did. Whether this is bad training or criminally reckless incompetence I don't know. Apr 16, 2021
- @Shanb4Truth @donwinslow No, those fact I don't matter. At all. Cops are literally paid and sworn to deal with people less than perfect. If you issue surrendering orders and mow someone down for following them to the letter, you have more to worry about than being fired. Apr 16, 2021
- @MariposaMcQueen I thought the episode was deleted after being recorded. Apr 16, 2021
- @depthhidden The problem is with Officer FUCKING HANDS's instructions. He forget to say "slowly". He was running on emotion and forget his training. A kid is dead because of it. The bum instructions killed the kid as much as the shot. Apr 16, 2021
- @depthhidden Because it is the cop's paid and sworn job to not gun down suspects complying with surrender instructions. Apr 16, 2021
- @JackLinFLL @MrAndyNgo The kid literally had his bare hands up when he was shot. If you want to lie and bullshit on Twitter with doctored video, go nuts. The city is probably going to pay the family \$20 mil. This cop likely will be fired. And frankly, manslaughter charges are possible. Apr 16, 2021
- @JackLinFLL @MrAndyNgo Yeah, he blew it. Completely. He issued commands. They were explicitly followed. The palms of the hands were visible. The officer fired anyway. Policing out of frantic emotion generally is a bad idea. The slow video? Life doesn't operate at that speed, sorry. Apr 16, 2021
- @JackLinFLL @MrAndyNgo Irrelevant. The officer should have issued surrendering instructions to take that into account, not instructions that were as responsible for the death as the bullet itself. The word FUCKING is telling. He isn't operating on training. It's all emotion. And it got a kid killed. Apr 16, 2021
- @JackLinFLL @MrAndyNgo The real question is did they bother to run a toxicology report on the idiot cop. Is he usually this incompetent or are their other factors. Apr 16, 2021
- @JackLinFLL @MrAndyNgo Because the cop botched the instructions. You don't yell SHOW ME YOUR FUCKING HANDS! and then gun down prompt compliance. You ask for slow surrendering actions. Cop was running on emotion, forgot his training, botched the collar, and killed a kid he didn't have to. Apr 16, 2021

- @RomanRo52593370 @single_spacer @Srobertson324 @Soup_4MyFamily @JoshuaPotash The problem is the cop's instructions. You don't yell "SHOW ME YOUR FUCKING HANDS!" and then gun down prompt compliance. You call for slow surrendering actions. The cop was hopped up on emotion and botched the collar. Worse, he killed a kid he didn't have to. Apr 16, 2021
- @Srobertson324 @Soup_4MyFamily @JoshuaPotash Irrelevant. The officer was clearly running on emotion, demonstrated by FUCKING being used twice. He should have ordered the hands to come out slowly, not yell for the FUCKING HANDS and then gunning down a surrendering suspect. Apr 16, 2021
- @surface Is it just me or does that guy have a Bond villain aspect to him? Apr 16, 2021
- @NotSure121 @julie kelly2 Bullshit. https://t.co/2SHZWYHzvf Apr 16, 2021 &
- @MrAndyNgo This only proves he was unarmed when he was shot and killed by an incompetent cop, while following the cops' instructions precisely. Thanks for showing the shooting was truly unjustified. Apr 16, 2021
- @JordanBassior Legally irrelevant. Apr 16, 2021
- @windycitygirl91 @herts___ @__tmurray @owain_wn14 @RashidaTlaib Irrelevant. Cops are literally paid and sworn to do this. He messed up on the instructions. You don't yell "SHOW ME YOUR FUCKING HANDS!" to prompt quick action and then gun an unarmed person down. He forgot the word "slowly". Gross incompetence. Possibly manslaughter Apr 15, 2021
- @TrekMovie The lines were practically Shakespearean. And Captain Sisko deleting the log at the end. Brilliant. Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker No, I don't think the cop set out to kill anyone. But it is gross incompetence. The kid is 13 and this literally is the cop's paid and sworn job. If it turns out the cop was on drugs, drunk, hungover, or just not following policy, there is an argument for manslaughter. Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker He quickly turned because the officer yelled "LET ME SEE YOUR FUCKING HANDS!". An unprofessional command that spurred a quick reaction to comply. Then the officer killed him despite no held weapon. There is a very good chance a jury will need to ponder this. Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker It just comes down to incompetence. If you issue instructions to a suspect that can only lead to their death, that's a real problem. Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker Simply put, timing is only an issue because the cop clearly mishandled the situation. The erroneous frantic aggressive curse-laiden instructions are as much a problem, maybe more so, than the shot. Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker Yeah, the cop got his instructions wrong. You say "Drop it!" and then tell the perp to slowly raise their hands. Or get on the ground, hands behind the head or back. Instead, Mr. "Fucking hands" demanded action as fast as possible and then killed when he got it. Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker You still don't understand the problem. If the cop gave the kid instructions that led the kid to die, that killed him as much as the shot. "On the ground, hands behind your head" would have the kid alive today. Again, this is gross incompetence at best. Apr 15, 2021

- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker The cop literally was shining a bright flashlight at the kid. His gunless palms were clearly visible. I'm sorry dude, if the cop feels he can gun down someone no questions asked after said person does precisely, and I mean PRECISELY what he was instructed to do, its manslaughter Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker There is no both sides here. Both hands were up. Perfectly illuminated. The kid was doing exactly what the cop said. He was gunned down for it. I damn well hope the police did a toxicology report on the shooter. Apr 15, 2021
- @Lundieeee @dizzlo89 @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker If he hadn't run, maybe the cop would have gunned him down earlier. Clearly showing two unarmed hands was worthy of lethal force. But yes, when a cop kills someone complying perfectly with instructions in a well lit area, it's gonna be on him. He is sworn to be better than this. Apr 15, 2021
- @dizzlo89 @Lundieeee @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker Frankly, I usually say the city owes families about \$20 million when this happens, because the person shot often had loved ones to support. I don't know how it works when the person gunned down is 13. Apr 15, 2021
- @dizzlo89 @Lundieeee @GoomShill @Exxcast @austin_walker Again, the kid complied perfectly with officer instructions and WAS NOT holding a weapon. Meanwhile the officer is fired up and cursing like a sailor. Basically, the kid never had a chance. He put down the weapon. He showed his hands. And was gunned down. Apr 15, 2021
- @windycitygirl91 @__tmurray @owain_wn14 @RashidaTlaib Irrelevant. He complied with police officer instructions perfectly and was killed for it. Both hands visibly did not have a gun. Gross incompetence at best, murder at worst. Apr 15, 2021 #
- @GoomShill @dizzlo89 @Exxcast @austin_walker Irrelevant. He complied perfectly with officer instructions and was killed for it. This is gross incompetence at best, murder at worst, and very likely manslaughter. Apr 15, 2021
- @riley01283150 @JamesBr24128885 @_cynthiaauthor @julie_kelly2 The brave men who saw the gun and still boosted the woman up and through to test the waters? Agreed, those assholes should be charged with murder. Apr 15, 2021
- @riley01283150 @JamesBr24128885 @_cynthiaauthor @julie_kelly2 More like the police were outnumbered 10-to-1, and the rioters broke through doors and windows to gain access, as well as overpowered the police who had to fall back. Yeah, they were let in the same way a rape victim yields to a rapist. Apr 15, 2021
- @__tmurray @owain_wn14 @RashidaTlaib Let's just say the spirit of what the prosecutor said was BS. The amped up cursing-like-a-sailor cop told him to show his hands. He showed two gun-less hands and was killed for it instantly. This is gross incompetence at best and murder at worst. Maybe manslaughter Apr 15, 2021
- @JamesBr24128885 @riley01283150 @_cynthiaauthor @julie_kelly2 SWAT arrived right before the shot, but hadn't had an opportunity to take positions or do anything. The barrier was still being broken down when Ms. Babbitt was killed. The shot made everyone immediately reconsider the wisdom of continuing. Apr 15, 2021
- Wow. Adam Toledo complied and was killed for it: (Gross Incompetence at best, murder at worst. Apr 15, 2021

- @ndelriego Manslaughter. Completely. Apr 15, 2021
- @thurrott It always looked neat. But I never used it. Chrome has all my web stuff synced across tablets, pcs, phones. Office 365 has all my non-dev work history. Neat idea just executed elsewhere. Apr 15, 2021
- @thurrott If you are hearing this... You are the resistance. Apr 15, 2021
- @theSinghMan @JennJenn699 @1hairyman Anyway, enjoy the block. Your fascism is showing and you aren't worth it. Apr 15, 2021
- @theSinghMan @JennJenn699 @1hairyman Where is Mr. Wright being made to be a hero? Why make stuff up? Mr. Wright was never convicted of anything. Neither was Ms. Babbit. Based on the immediate circumstances, Mr. Wright was provably wrongfully shot. Ms. Babbit was rightfully shot. Apr 15, 2021
- @theSinghMan @JennJenn699 @1hairyman Ms. Babbit was part of a violent mob actively engaged in an insurrection with the US government. Still, the media covered the loss to her family. Mr. Wright was mistakenly shot by an incompetent cop. The media is covering the loss to his family. Apr 15, 2021
- @theSinghMan @JennJenn699 @1hairyman Mrs. Babbits is permanently not guilty of a crime. That said, there is video proof she took part in a violent mob trying to break down the last barrier of defense to get to Congress, and was summarily dispatched with by a security professional who knew he was firing a gun. Apr 15, 2021
- @theSinghMan @JennJenn699 @1hairyman Again, allegations, not convictions. If you have a video of the alleged robbery, by all means, prove your claim. Otherwise, per our values, Mr. Wright is now permanently innocent of those charges. Apr 15, 2021
- @JennJenn699 @theSinghMan @1hairyman Mr. Wright had no criminal record. Only allegations he is now permanently innocent of. Apr 15, 2021
- @theSinghMan @1hairyman @JennJenn699 Mr. Wright was never convicted of a crime and is now permanently innocent of all charges. Presumption of innocence, remember? Kind of an American thing? You want to call him a criminal? A dumb cop can't mistakenly kill him before the trial date. Apr 15, 2021
- @JennJenn699 @theSinghMan @1hairyman Cosign Apr 15, 2021 &
- @theSinghMan @1hairyman @JennJenn699 Basically, manslaughter. Which isn't fun to be convicted of. Apr 15, 2021
- @ishabazz Not to go negative, but if she wants to sue, well she's qualified. Apr 15, 2021
- @DTeeboom @jayrodney21 @KamVTV Bombs had been found. So yes, explosives were in the mix. To say nothing of calling for the assassination of the Vice President. You try to break down the last barrier to Congress, you might wind up eating lead. Wonderful men, pushing a woman through to test the waters. Apr 15, 2021
- @lorileeb129 @julie_kelly2 If you try to breach the last line of defense with an angry and violent mob who has planted bombs and is calling for the assassination of the Vice President, you might need to briefly live with some consequences. Outstanding shot. Apr 15, 2021
- @Spankyx813 @SiskoBaseball @_Sweet_Lew @MattWalshBlog Damn right she never made it into the chamber. Apr 15, 2021
- @Frankly94176605 @julie_kelly2 Um yeah, he did a bunch of violent crap after leaving Pelosi's office. Prosecutors found a bunch of new stuff. All in the latest court filing.

- https://t.co/ystv9SSR2W And bro, three years in the clink? That's less than fun. Apr 15, 2021
- @Frankly94176605 @julie_kelly2 I'm sure he is enjoying his living accommodations these days. Better get used to them. Apr 15, 2021
- @Frankly94176605 @julie_kelly2 The announcement of military deployment https://t.co/DQKx0HQ0Jl Apr 15, 2021
- @Frankly94176605 @julie_kelly2 They took control of the building. The plan was to stop the joint session, and it succeeded until the military mobilized without Trump's authorization. Yeah, when the real military showed, they ran like babies. And now many will be in prison. Good. Apr 15, 2021
- @hufco60 You want to be helpful? Offer your professional skepticism to the idiots who are facing 20 years in prison for trying. Apr 15, 2021
- @Frankly94176605 @julie kelly2 They sucked at it, I'll give you that. Apr 15, 2021
- @ForAshli When you try to violently breach the last line of defense to Congress as part of an angry and violent mob, and explosives are already a known quantity (bombs found), the guards can't assume a suicide vest isn't part of the package. A bunch of men boosted her through. Brave guys Apr 15, 2021
- @theSinghMan Sure. I'm just happy my views are inline with the prosecutors. Sweet. Apr 14, 2021
- @theSinghMan That's fine. You weren't making the prosecution decision. So it's immaterial. Apr 14, 2021
- @theSinghMan Your challenges are worthless to me. Just not worth my time kid. Apr 14, 2021
- @hnh1957 @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @bilarichfield @KamVTV That's factually not true. There were members in the chamber not evacuated yet who attested to the fact the guard had no choice. He probably saved their lives. Excellent work. Apr 14, 2021
- @theSinghMan No, your questions are a meaningless appeal to whataboutism. I just don't care. They aren't relevant. A traitor died a fool's death. Pushed through by men who saw the gun and still had her test the waters. Why you are ok with those assholes is beyond me. Apr 14, 2021
- @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @hnh1957 @bilarichfield @KamVTV Are those homeless people storming the Capitol on a spoken mission to assassinate the Vice President? Apr 14, 2021
- @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @hnh1957 @bilarichfield @KamVTV Nope. In this life, there are physical lines where if you cross them, you die, minimal questions asked. Doing so as part of a mob that planted bombs and chanted for the death of the Vice President made the shooting decision pretty easy. It was an excellent shot. Apr 14, 2021
- @hnh1957 @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @bilarichfield @KamVTV Um yeah, they were violent tearing down the last barrier to Congress while violently threatening the cops to the point where they were forced to abandon their location. Then the brave men shoved a woman through to test the waters. Wonderfully brave chaps. Apr 14, 2021
- @hnh1957 @ejaculationboss @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @bilarichfield @KamVTV If they storm the Capitol screaming for the assassination of

- the Vice President and violently work to break down the last barrier of defense, I'll buy the bullets. Apr 14, 2021
- @theSinghMan Because your questions are of no value to me and they aren't worth my time. If you don't understand how someone can die as part of a violent mob chanting for the assassination of the vice president at the last line of defense, OK. Apr 14, 2021
- @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @hnh1957 @bilarichfield @KamVTV I'm ok with traitors being gunned down like dogs when they storm the Capitol chanting for the assassination of the Vice President, and try to breach the last line of defense. No, the security guy wasn't brave. He did his job with professional expediency. Apr 14, 2021
- @theSinghMan They found bombs. The mob was shouting for the assassination of the vice president. So yeah, a traitor got gunned down like a dog. When you storm the Capitol with explosives in the mix and shout for death, well, it might become your dance partner. Apr 14, 2021
- @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @hnh1957 @bilarichfield @KamVTV If bombs are found, generally everyone gets apprised pretty quickly. And if you are part of a violent mob trying to break down the last barrier to Congress, expect to be gunned down like a dog. Real brave, those men who pushed a woman through to test the waters. Apr 14, 2021
- @DTeeboom @jayrodney21 @KamVTV You try to breach the last line of defense to Congress when explosives are a known quantity, you might need to briefly live with the consequences. Apr 14, 2021
- @KamVTV Try to breach the last line of defense to Congress with an angry mob and explosives as a known quantity, you might need to briefly live with the consequences. Security personnel can't assume a suicide vest doesn't come with the person. Apr 14, 2021
- @haha_ugay @DavidLy15598366 @thenickslayton @hnh1957 @bilarichfield @KamVTV Bombs were an immediate known factor. You try to breach the last line of defense to Congress, the security personnel can't assume a suicide vest doesn't come with the package. It was an outstanding shot. Apr 14, 2021
- @riley01283150 @_cynthiaauthor @julie_kelly2 Bombs were a known factor. If you try to breach the last line of defense to Congress, the security personnel can't assume a suicide vest doesn't come with the package. Having the entire chamber murdered simply wasn't an option. It was an outstanding shot. Apr 14, 2021
- @theSinghMan Bombs were a known quantity at the time. Could they let someone with potentially a suicide vest through to kill everyone in the chamber? You try to breach the last line of defense to Congress, well you briefly live with the consequences. Apr 14, 2021
- @GraceGuerrilla @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews I hope they did a toxicology report quite frankly. Apr 14, 2021
- @GraceGuerrilla @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews There are a preponderance of factors that disadvantage black people when it comes to fatal outcomes. I get that The traffic stop itself was BS. For the weapon discharge itself, I think gross incompetence is clearly there. Possibly criminal recklessness Apr 14, 2021
- @RHccm @julie kelly2 Are there aspects of a coup here? You bet. Apr 14, 2021

- @aurasotop There's a possibility you are right. "Beyond a reasonable doubt"? Given the video where she seems genuinely surprised, I just don't see getting 12 jury members there frankly. Not that this isn't awful. Have a good day. Apr 14, 2021
- @julie_kelly2 Trying to overthrow the federal government is actually pretty serious. Apr 14, 2021
- @_cynthiaauthor @julie_kelly2 Ashley Babbitt was part of an assault force on a mission to detain/capture/kill lawmakers. She tried to breach the last line of defense and yeah, given that bombs were an immediate known quantity, the cop couldn't let her potentially kill the entire chamber of people. Apr 14, 2021
- @theSinghMan Ashley Babbitt tried to forcefully breach the last line of defense as part of an insurrection on a mission to assault/injure/kill/detain our lawmakers. Why you aren't mad at the brave man who pushed a woman through first is beyond me. Apr 14, 2021
- @upthebooks @NelwynToes @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews My hot take. If there isn't a policy violation or substance/exhaustion reason for what happened, she'll probably walk. And hopefully never do police work again. But if there's a smoking gun for why she shouldn't have been working, she should cut a deal. Apr 14, 2021
- @upthebooks @NelwynToes @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews Kid, I've almost died a couple times. And cases like these are a rarity, which really isn't helpful to her case. The VAST majority of officers aren't this incompetent. Was she on drugs? Had she been drinking? Did she go on shift exhausted? These are all factors that matter. Apr 14, 2021
- @upthebooks @NelwynToes @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews Irrelevant. By Mrs. Potter's own admission and camera: 1) She didn't believe lethal force was warranted "in the heat of the moment", hence why she was yelling "taser!" 2) She fired her gun by mistake. 3) She immediately was surprised she did. This was pure incompetence. Apr 14, 2021
- @upthebooks @NelwynToes @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews Irrelevant. Legally, you can't justify a shooting post-fact. Mrs. Potter vocally called for use of a taser. It's the level of force she believed was relevant at the moment. She used a gun by mistake. That's gross incompetence, possibly manslaughter. Apr 14, 2021
- @aurasotop I'll answer your question. The video indicates she didn't know which weapon she was firing. She seemed to believe it was a taser; the body cam might have had a better view of the gun than her own eyes. As such, there is no intent to kill and this falls into manslaughter. Apr 14, 2021
- @upthebooks @NelwynToes @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews The reaching for guns thing isn't relevant. Ms. Potter is on video proclaiming a taser is the appropriate response and through her incompetence, killed someone. That's on her. That said, Mr. Geiger knew she was firing a gun and had intent to kill in the moment. Apr 14, 2021
- @NelwynToes @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews The cases are somewhat different. Mrs. Geiger intentionally caused death. She knew she was firing a gun and entered the apartment with it drawn. Mrs. Potter didn't seem actually want to kill anyone. Her weapon choice was an accident. Hence, intent to kill vs no intent Apr 14, 2021

- @aurasotop Based on the video footage, the shoe fits frankly. Apr 14, 2021
- @TheCont83801381 @nytimes There's clearly discovery to be had 1) was the officer working exhausted? 2) had the officer been drinking? 3) was the officer on opiods? Simply put, why was this day different than all other days in her 26 year history. Apr 14, 2021
- @Graciem30 @kylegriffin1 @MSNBC @NBCNews I think proving anything more would frankly be hard. This charge basically alleges she was recklessly incompetent and someone died because of it. A conviction even for this isn't guaranteed. Apr 14, 2021
- @Andrew60221530 Mr. Wright is permanently innocent of those charges, due to the gross negligence of a cop. Apr 14, 2021
- @Gildenstern2 @RashidaTlaib He is permanently innocent of those charges, due to the gross negligence of a cop. Apr 14, 2021
- @STDeltaShift Wow. Believable but wow. Apr 14, 2021
- @JimBrya68704905 @JMichaelWaller Oh he knows. It's deliberate bullshit. He know better. Apr 14, 2021
- @JMichaelWaller Complete bullshit and you know it. The Mayor has literally nothing to do with Capitol Security. Turns out they actually didn't need the help. The actual city was fine. Apr 14, 2021
- @GElvidge821860 He is permanently innocent of those charges, due to the gross negligence of a cop. Apr 14, 2021
- @margetenenbaum @benandjerrys Daunte Wright is now permanently innocent of all those charges. Apr 14, 2021
- @RobS____ And he is now permanently innocent of those charges. Because of an incompetent cop. Apr 14, 2021
- @Steve57756394 @TheRickyDavila @castadiva95 Legally Irrelevant. He's now permanently innocent of all those charges because of an incompetent cop. Apr 14, 2021
- @SherrySherryy1 @MailOnline And he is now permanently innocent of those charges, because of gross incompetence from a cop. Apr 14, 2021
- @flashlites @thurrott :) Apr 14, 2021
- @flashlites @thurrott Seriously, try #launcher10. Once you pay for the live tiles, it is dang close. For all intents and purposes, it is a Windows 10 Mobile device. Apr 14, 2021
- @ShotOverShotOut @clockoutwars Legally irrelevant. Cops don't get to be judge, jury, and executioner because they are too stressed, tired, or hungover to do their jobs right. Justice is dispensed in a court room. Apr 14, 2021 ₺
- @flashlites @thurrott I has a Zune pass too! Yeah, good times. Microsoft has really gone all in on Android. Really the place to be. Apr 14, 2021
- @Dollarpocalypse @gatewaypundit Legally irrelevant. Apr 14, 2021
- @xXSyph3r @Dblindeman @AOC Every medical professional who has reviewed the case has said it wasn't an overdose. Enjoy the block. Apr 13, 2021
- @Dblindeman @AOC George Floyd panicked. He was then murdered. Sorry, sometimes it isn't as simple as "compliance" Apr 13, 2021

- Otherwise, just leave me alone and let me keep rightfully warning people to not buy #nestmini. Apr 13, 2021
- I gave up on #nestmini a while ago. Bought two devices that proved to be unreliable and moved onto #amazonecho (very reliable). #google keeps wanting me to retest the bum devices to prove they are OK, but really, no something is wrong. If they want to ship me new ones, great. Apr 13, 2021
- @madebygoogle @brycehaymond As a quick heads up, I completed the requested test of the Nest Minis. At the 13 day mark, they have lost Wifi connectivity. If you want to exchange the pair of Nest Minis I have with a new pair, I'm happy to conduct a retest. For now, my take is the product is unreliable Apr 13, 2021
- @flashlites @thurrott I had the Lumia 900, 820, 635, and 640. All great phones for their time. A \$350 Google 4a, \$10 for #launcher10, and all the Microsoft Android apps make a great Microsoft Phone. For now, just can't see spending triple. Apr 13, 2021
- @CongoAlisback @Reuters Yes. The city government is a municipal construct of the taxpayers. And yeah, they might want to consider police reforms if they want to have \$\$\$ for other things. Apr 13, 2021
- @Paula10380409 @BuddyWinston @MSNBC_rocks_ Irrelevant. By her own admission of "taser, taser, taser", lethal force wasn't remotely appropriate. This is gross incompetence at best. Apr 13, 2021
- @gatekeeperguy @BuddyWinston Misdemeanor warrants? Yeah, I wouldn't call him a criminal over those. Get to felony stuff (with convictions), and maybe we can start talking about labels. Apr 13, 2021
- @thurrott They want the relationships in place for the Surface Duo 2/3/4 etc... I compare the current Duo to the Surface Pro 1. Clunky, underpowered, etc... If they let the product get up a few versions, they might actually have something. Apr 13, 2021
- @thurrott And of course, I forgot about the new Spectre 14, which does have a 3:2! Doh! So that machine will get a look from me during the next hardware refresh. Apr 13, 2021
- @thurrott Screen ratio. Seriously, that's why Surface Laptops are a draw for many. Same thing with Surface Pro. That 3:2 is a awesome for Productivity. More competitors need to get that. The HP Spectre is a quality premium product, but once you work with 3:2, 16:9 is a tough sell. Apr 13, 2021
- @timmullaney @kimmurphy100 @harrylitman @VNKNSTR Intent isn't necessary with say, involuntary manslaughter. There's probable cause here. She couldn't tell between a heavy black gun and a light yellow taser. With 26 years of training. To me, that indicates some form of impairment. Apr 13, 2021
- @QuakeDawg @lena_tweeter @RashidaTlaib The police chief said she was a fairly senior person. Unless he was referring to age, what you are saying simply isn't true. Apr 13, 2021
- @ChyanneKeo Drunk, hungover, on allergy drugs, working while exhausted, etc...

 Really, the state of the officer will likely determine if a manslaughter charge is filed. Apr

 13, 2021 🗗
- @ossi_mantylahti @TrentTech @thurrott I think more like you were lucky. Our CEO got a Surface Book 1 with more or less the same chipset and it fizzled too. Basically they ran with bleeding edge silicon. Maybe you got one from a good batch. But the 4s were notorious for problems https://t.co/GIcRzPqJ8Q Apr 13, 2021

- @passport2hauteT @DanHaymore @iBeenWoke7 @StevenDrolet @Reuters No, we won't. I was falsely suspected of some pretty nasty crimes.about 20 years ago, and the police invited me for questioning. No arrest. No physical restraints. No guns. There is a different standard. Apr 13, 2021
- @leateafly @JULIEMANNWINE @Reuters He panicked. Maybe he wanted to hug his kid before heading back to jail. Who knows. People aren't perfect. Lethal force wasn't justified, per the confession of the cop herself. This is a wrongful death and best and manslaughter at worst. Apr 13, 2021
- @Reuters The city owes the family \$10-\$20 million. This is civily a wrongful death at best and a criminal manslaughter at worst. Was the cop tired, drunk, or on drugs? This needs to be probed throughly. Apr 13, 2021
- @DanHaymore @iBeenWoke7 @StevenDrolet @passport2hauteT @Reuters The cop didn't intend to use lethal force. She screwed up, by her own admission. So no, there is no post shooting justification that is valid here. The city owns the family about \$10-\$20 million and the cop will be lucky if she is only fired. Apr 12, 2021
- @DanHaymore @Reuters Irrelevant. By her own confession, the cop knew lethal force wasn't warranted. Which is why she said "Taser!" You can't justify lethal force post fact. In the moment, lethal force wasn't the intent. This is a wrongful death at best and manslaughter at worst. Apr 12, 2021
- @TrentTech @ossi_mantylahti @thurrott I went through three of them during my three year extension (faulty screen, faulty battery, faulty chipset). My last one became unreliable outside the three year mark. They went with bleeding edge silicon and paid the price for it. Apr 12, 2021
- @timplu21 @EmmanuelAcho Irrelevant. The situation didn't remotely call for lethal force, especially with a passenger RIGHT next to the victim. It was a bad shooting. The city owes the family, especially the small child, serious money. Eight-figures. Apr 12, 2021
- @thurrott They are still feeling the burn from the Surface Pro 4 fiasco. Good. Apr 12, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews @Melissa61957204 @Unwritten_Photo @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid Calmly asking what the problem is isn't being argumentative. At gunpoint, its actually kinda amazing. One cop was fired. He is being sued in federal court. You want to donate to that criminal, go for it. Apr 12, 2021
- @davidholmanpod @Ileen057550 @Yamiche Probably more like manslaughter. But yeah: (It's horrible. Tragic. And the city owes the family, especially his kid, real compensation. Apr 12, 2021
- @Yamiche @GregJaffe Wow. Fire the cop immediately. And look into manslaughter charges. Apr 12, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews Because someone who just purchased a car would be an immediate expert on all its controls and would have immediately setup the phone integration. Like, before the ink on the purchase paperwork had dried. That.... Isn't a bright assumption.

 Apr 12, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews @Unwritten_Photo @Melissa61957204 @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid Because someone who just purchased a car from the dealer has had time to read up on the sync features, configure their phone link, and be ready to use it immediately. Frankly, that isn't a bright point. Apr 12, 2021

- @Melissa61957204 @Debbieamatthews @Unwritten_Photo @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid Debs clearly isn't arguing in good faith. I have to wonder if it is a troll account. Apr 12, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews @Unwritten_Photo @Melissa61957204 @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid It's illegal to initiate a phone call with your hands in Virginia while driving. So no, what you are suggesting would have been unlawful. Perhaps familiarize yourself with our Laws before weighing in. Apr 12, 2021
- @donasarkar Mosquitos love me. Spiders eat them. Yeah, they creep me out, but I register them as an ally on my enemy/ally grid. They usually get a pass outdoors. <u>Apr 12</u>, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews I think it is perhaps more clueless to not know Virginia criminalized using hands to make calls from vehicles while driving. The whole he could have called 911 thing... Nope. Apr 12, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews @Melissa61957204 @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid Legally irrelevant. The cops threatened harm to the driver if he followed their instructions. And then threatened his career if he filed a complaint. None of this is procedure or legal. The cops are going to run up quite the legal bill. Apr 12, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews @Melissa61957204 @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid Um no, if you have guns drawn on someone and your professional objective is to gain control of the situation, you don't threaten them with murder if they do exactly what you say. All over license tags that the dealer put on the vehicle... Ridiculous. Apr 12, 2021
- @Debbieamatthews @Melissa61957204 @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid No, he said he was afraid to exit the vehicle and the answer from the police was menacingly, "Yeah, you should be!" The police threatened him with violence should he do what they told him to do. Then used excessive force when he didn't comply. Some pigs are getting fired. Apr 12, 2021 ₽
- @Debbieamatthews @Melissa61957204 @VCaedes @JoyAnnReid Do most cops threaten the person they are stopping with violence if they do comply and get out of the vehicle? Apr 12, 2021
- @gregkellyusa A gun he was legally allowed to carry. A gun he truthfully answered was present when asked. Strange how the 2nd Amendment doesn't matter for some people. Hmmm Apr 12, 2021
- @thatrobguy @donasarkar Quality pick Apr 11, 2021
- @tom peters In a word, No. Apr 11, 2021
- @parallel235 @caterita2008 @CivilLost Oh no, allow me. Apr 11, 2021
- @parallel235 @caterita2008 @CivilLost I'm not sure why you feel it is ok to watch cops get ambushed and gunned down by a loose killer with an AR-15. Cold man. <u>Apr 11, 2021</u>
- RT @STDeltaShift: I try to avoid double entendre jokes, but it's so hard! #JellicoTrek #StarTrek https://t.co/xovgZWmgH2 Apr 10, 2021
- RT @JulianCastro: Army Lt. Nazario was driving his new car home. He was pulled over, pepper sprayed, and arrested without explanation. Thi... Apr 10, 2021
- RT @JulianCastro: Here is the full video. How many incidents have there been like this just in the last year? We need to pass the George F... Apr 10, 2021

- @DrewBeauch @cwagersmcdaniel @DennisExMachina @JRehling I've been pulled over a couple times in my life. Nothing like that has ever remotely happended to me. Apr 10, 2021
- @parallel235 @caterita2008 @CivilLost For shooting #2, it's a conflict of self-defense (Rittenhouse) vs pursuers trying to keep more people from getting shot (lawful third-party defense) Self-defense law only covers use of force on unlawful interference of one's person. Not all self-defense is lawful. Apr 10, 2021
- @parallel235 @caterita2008 @CivilLost Mr. Rittenhouse is on video earlier in the night advocating being vicious. Then he heads out with a threatening carry. Intent to provoke makes self-defense a climb for shooting #1 1/2 Apr 10, 2021
- @laurieontech Proud owner of https://t.co/OrOkuUATqV Will get to it one day... Apr 10, 2021
- @KAG45Patriot Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera advocating being vicious that night def: deliberately cruel or violent Complicates the self-defense plea when you confess in advance the plan is to draw fire. Apr 10, 2021
- @thurrott Not that it isn't awesome for the price. Apr 10, 2021
- @thurrott And here I just bought the 4a... Apr 10, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan 13 tweets bro. Now 14. Maybe has taken 10 minutes of my day. Fine for a single specific point of issue. But analyzing the US media market in its entirety? With someone who doesn't even concede their first point made no sense? Just not worth it. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan No, it's called prioritization. I don't have the time or inclination to deconstruct the entire media landscape of the US. I have a full-time job and it certainly isn't that. Apr 07, 2021
- @OwenORourke7 I just block em. Life's too short. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan I have no interest in that discussion, as it isn't germane to your original point, which was nonsensically comparing treatment of a juvenile case to treatment of an adult case. If you can't understand the flaw in your assertion, I can't help you further. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan Mr. Rittenhouse is being tried as an adult as he is 17. In the eyes of the Law, he isn't a minor, per Wisconsin Law. The girls are being tried as minors, as per DC Law, prosecution as adults begins at 16. So yes, your point is silly. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan No, your original point was explicitly comparing the media treatment of an adult case with a minor case. Which is just silly. Yeah, when you were called on that, you called for a broader discussion, without any recognition of the silliness of your original point. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan Not relevant to your initial point, which is all I plan to address. The perps are being charged as minors. Conservative news outlets aren't releasing names either. It's inherently a non-political decision. To claim otherwise is just silly. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan None. As such there isn't a Law that protects their identities. if you think that doesn't make sense, that's your perogative. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan 13-year olds who have committed crimes generally don't have their identifies released, unless they are tried as adults. When that happens, yeah, release is fair game. I really can't find for your what doesn't exist. Apr 07, 2021

- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan No, your question isn't germaine. Your original point was why don't we know anything about the girls? Because of the Law, which robust conservative news outlets are also following. You asked a question, I gave you a targeted factual answer. You don't have to like it. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan Let me ask you a better question. How come Fox News, which has a first-rate daytime news operation, hasn't released the names of the girls. Or the Washington Times, the local conservative paper? Considering non-release is being adhered to by everyone, again bad hill. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan That really isn't true. Free-to-read/watch outlets tend to me slanted for their respective political audiences, but if you actually pay for your news in print, it's pretty well balanced. And again, this really isn't the hill to die on to make your point. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan I'm not saying Sandmann's treatment in the media was fair. But if your beef is why don't we know the names of the girls being charged, it's because it isn't lawful for the police to release the info. Full stop. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan Nick Sandmann wasn't accused of a crime. Not relevant. The girls are being tried as minors, as such, the police won't release the names. Mr. Rittenhouse is being charged as an adult. That, and he electively gave media interviews, both before and after the shootings. Apr 07, 2021
- @jj4t12win10 @TimHannan If you are 13 in DC, yeah you can't be charged as an adult. Tweenager privilege, go figure. Apr 07, 2021
- @CaptCookie7 @KaitMarieox If they were on trial, I would agree. But they aren't. Mr. Rittenhouse is on trial, and the reasonableness of his decisions based on the information he had at the moment is the criteria for guilt/innocence. Apr 07, 2021
- @TheRealVadea @KaitMarieox They weren't accidental shots. Mr. Rittenhouse chose to trigger pull against a target he hadn't remotely lined up for a clean shot, jeopardizing others in the immediate area. Apr 07, 2021
- @NickRiddle19 @KaitMarieox They certainly do. As does the potential relevance of 939.48(4) Apr 07, 2021
- @JohnLLucci @GTysonCutler @KaitMarieox Not for the shots I'm referring to. As I mentioned, these were fairly wild shots he never really lined up and were not at the individual with the skateboard. Apr 07, 2021
- @WSV_GUY @thurrott A false prophet who mind-controls a space crew to take a journey to a forsaken planet to liberate a demonic creature from a millennia of imprisonment. Just saying ;) Apr 07, 2021
- @jbrown11871 I wouldn't be surprised if discovery is taking longer than usual. The prosecution asked for the delay just as much as the defense did. Things run slower in a pandemic. I've seen new trial dates slip two months simply due to an ongoing trial taking longer than usual. Apr 07, 2021
- @thurrott Let's not forget Star Trek V. Apr 07, 2021
- @marklindesr Good parenting means sometimes you realize you just need to stay in. Apr 07, 2021
- @SheriffClarke By bragging about being vicious on camera and then presenting his weapon to enough people until someone took the bait? Apr 07, 2021
- @justmeagain34 And they will be in a correctional institution and in custody. Just not outright adult prison. Apr 06, 2021

- @justmeagain34 Adult prosecutions in DC start at age 16. Blame the rulebook, not the players. And no, it wasn't premeditated murder, it was felony murder. Still very bad, not premeditated. Apr 06, 2021
- @PatrickMusick @JohnReiche @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox The video is pretty dang clear the moment he turned was right after "FUCK YOU!". McGinniss is allowed to make mistakes; he is living this stressfully in real-time on adrenaline. It's OK that video disproves his statement. Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox You're making up molatove cocktails now despite that being conclusively disproven for months. Bad faith earns blocks. Bye Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox 1) Pay attention to the lower left quadrant. https://t.co/dYwDYuDd5s 2) The first sentence matters quite a bit. Again, see notes on State v. Watkins. 3) You can't make dead rioters pay for damages they cause. Or catch them if you are busy processing a shooting scene. Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox 1) No, he turned after he heard the loud "FUCK YOU!". This is proven on video. 2) Yes, all sentences matter, including the first one. By all means, do your homework and carefully read them all. 3) **tumbleweed rolling** Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox 1) Quite easily actually. Again, Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't react to it. At all. 2) Again, read the first sentence of the statute carefully. 3) How many rioters were arrested has literally nothing to do with the case. Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox 1) If Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't know about the shot and his actions are what is on trial, then no, legally it is not relevant. Shootings legally are never justified post-fact, using info unknown at the moment. 2) Not in all cases. Read the entire statute 3) nope Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox 1) Shot was in the air. So no. Irrelevant. 2) Wisconsin. Why would I reference another state? 3) Irrelevant. Your other comments are not germane. Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox 1) He turned when he heard "FUCK YOU!" a couple seconds later. This is really obvious from the right angle.
 2) Incorrect. You should read sentence 1 of the self-defense statute clearly. And refer to notes on State v. Watkins. 3) several rioters have been charged. Good. Apr 06, 2021
- @JohnReiche @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox 1) Mr. Rittenhouse never noticed the gunshot from behind him. That's clear when you have the right video angle of shooting #1. As such, not germaine. 2) Mr. Rittenhouse's shot against the man with the pistol is self-defense, however, potentially not lawful self-defense. Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle lol. No. I just find people internalize stuff better when they do their own homework. Can you at least guess why it wouldn't apply? If you guess correctly what the exception is, I'll confirm. Otherwise, no worries. Not giving it out for free though. Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle Again, the first sentence enables a notable exception to that. Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle It's clear in the 60 seconds of video his pursuers believe him to be a lethal threat and it is also apparent Mr. Rittenhouse knows that. So are you saying

- even though he has convinced them he is an apparent lethal threat, he can kill them? Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle Are you saying that even if Mr. Rittenhouse has convinced people he is an apparent lethal threat and knows it, he can shoot to kill? Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle I would recommend reading the first sentence of the self-defense statute. Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle Yeah, except it was obvious everyone already knew he had shot someone. More of a responsibility dodge, which isn't particularly helpful as he runs toward more people at low ready. Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle Yes, when the streets are full and you have a held weapon at low ready, you are always running toward new people. Making a self-defense claim would have been smart. Lying about the shooting was deeply tortious, given the circumstances. Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle Basically, it's very possible Mr. Rittenhouse's aloofness and then lie enabled lawful third-party defense among those trying to stop him Self-defense is only valid against unlawful interference. 2/2 Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle After shooting #1, he ran at new people at low ready, ignored all pleas for answers, then lied about shooting anyone, and then darted off toward more people at low ready Then when people catch up, he fires two shots at an unarmed guy before the skateboard enters the picture 1/2 Apr 06, 2021
- @BradOller @politicalelle Not the shots I'm referring to chief. Apr 06, 2021
- @RandeeM5 @politicalelle Yeah, you don't know about the shots I'm referring to. Apr 06, 2021
- @tater821 @politicalelle Basically, hate the Law, not prosecutor. Apr 06, 2021
- @Bustinurdragon @PatrickMusick @KaitMarieox Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired two wild shots before the skateboard or gun entered the picture. I'm not sure why anyone dwells on those. Either those first shots were justified or Mr. Rittenhouse is an unlawful active shooter and we are off to the races. Apr 06, 2021
- @Bustinurdragon @PatrickMusick @KaitMarieox Your projecting unproven intent that frankly isn't backed up by the video and maliciousness that again doesn't come out in the video. What does come out is panic, fear, and sure, understandable anger. Again, lying about the shooting and then gunning people down is a bit of a trip Apr 06, 2021
- @tater821 @politicalelle The 13 year old can't be tried in DC as an adult. Hard for the 15 year old. Given that, these deals are probably pretty close to what the maximum allowable punishment would have been, maybe with a bit nicer correctional facility. Apr 06, 2021
- @politicalelle Mr. Rittenhouse had already wildly fired twice in the immediate vicinity before the skateboard hit happened. Why everyone focuses on skateboards I do not know. Apr 06, 2021
- Trek On #StarTrekUnitedGives https://t.co/aMFTAy9CTy Apr 06, 2021 @
- @Bustinurdragon @PatrickMusick @KaitMarieox But more to the point, he lied about what happened. Rather than take responsibility, he contributed to the panic of the moment by trying to pretend nothing even happened as he ran toward new people at low ready. It's deeply tortious. Apr 06, 2021
- @Bustinurdragon @PatrickMusick @KaitMarieox Jury instruction might very well require all reasonable options be exhausted before resorting to lethal force. Remaining

- silent after convincing everyone you are a killer on the loose purely to avoid prosecution would lets just say not be a hit in court. Apr 06, 2021
- @jshteek @TheStoneActual @KaitMarieox Ultimately, a jury will need to decide if Mr. Rittenhouse was lawfully intercepted and if so, whether Mr. Rittenhouse should have understood that. So much of this will likely come down to jury instruction. Apr 06, 2021
- @jshteek @TheStoneActual @KaitMarieox Ultimately, this is a third-party defense /first-party defense case. Mr. Rittenhouse's actions could easily lead a reasonable person to think someone else was about to die. Hence lawful third-party defense privilege. The self-defense statute only covers unlawful interference. Apr 06, 2021
- @jshteek @TheStoneActual @KaitMarieox People call Mr. Rittenhouse a murderer because he killed someone, ignored all pleas for answers, then lied about shooting anyone, and then ran at new people at low ready, alarming everyone. Then gunned people down trying to stop him. It's insanely tortious. Apr 06, 2021
- @BuggsBunny100 @KaitMarieox You don't know about the two shots that immediately preceded the skateboard hit? Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @NATHANINSOCAL @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Anyway, time to block the obnoxious name callers. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @NATHANINSOCAL @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox There were people protesting peacefully. And rioters. Different people. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @NATHANINSOCAL @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox There were peaceful protestors and rioters. This is pretty obvious. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Uh yeah, lying about not shooting someone when you know you did it is tortious by nature. Again, a self defense claim would be smart before gunning more people down. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox He lied to the new people he ran into later. Which creates a problem. People are chasing him insisting he shot someone and yet he is now telling new people it didn't happen. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Criminal histories are legally irrelevant and trying to character assassinate the guy who took on a gunman who was already shooting with only a skateboard has the potential to backfire horribly in court. I say totally go for it. Apr 05, 2021
- @vizini8 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox If a gunman kills someone without explanation, and then runs at your loved ones at low ready while ignoring all calls for an explanation, it's good to know where you stand on this. Apr 05, 2021
- @runningnoodles @KaitMarieox You are saying the off balance shots that wildly miss at the unarmed guy didn't happen? This is an interesting exercise in what people simply will mentally tune out. Everyone focuses on the skateboard... Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @NATHANINSOCAL @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Silence would have been better than lying about the shooting. Call that tortious gas on the fire. Apr 05, 2021
- @NATHANINSOCAL @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Legally irrelevant. Apr 05, 2021
- @NATHANINSOCAL @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox The notice with the protest exemption. https://t.co/59R4tXSlCd Of course, arson is bad. I have no issue with robust prosecutions for property destruction. Apr 05, 2021

- @NATHANINSOCAL @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox The curfew order had an exemption for peaceful protest. So yeah, the ones peacefully holding signs had every right to be there. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @NATHANINSOCAL @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Legally irrelevant. Had he clearly communicated that with everyone while making a self-defense claim instead of telling one guy while immediately lying about shooting anyone, you might have some semblance of a point. Apr 05, 2021
- @JohnReiche @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox It's so much better if you find it yourself. I'll tell you one thing. I don't bluff. It exists. And the right people have it. Apr 05, 2021
- @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox Jury nullification is 100% about not being faithful to the written statutes. I don't know how that is an ignorant take. And yes, John Pierce was effectively removed from the case after lying about Mr. Rittenhouse's address on the bail paperwork. That and donation issues. Apr 05, 2021
- @JohnReiche @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera earlier in the night bragging about being vicious. I don't know what you want from me. The girls are being institutionalized. They're guilty and they know it. Not sure what more you want. Apr 05, 2021
- @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox John Pierce explicitly said his plan was for jury nullification in his Slightly Offens*ve interview. https://t.co/daHM18UVnk You only do that when you concede your client is convictable following statute. Was it smart firing him? Yup. Apr 05, 2021
- @theRobGarber @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox Yeah, that's uncalled for. Bye. Apr 05, 2021
- @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox The reasons are not legally irrelevant, per statute. You should reflect on the fact that John Pierce all but admitted Mr. Rittenhouse was guilty per statute. Apr 05, 2021
- @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox Mr. Rittenhouse was asked for 45 seconds about what happened. He didn't answer and then eventually lied about shooting anyone, while darting at new people at low ready. It's a really bad idea to lie about the circumstances of your shots to the panicked people present. Apr 05, 2021
- @theRobGarber @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox Mr. Rittenhouse is also on camera that night bragging about being vicious. What's your point? When you are living a war fantasy, of course there are friendlies and hostiles. Apr 05, 2021
- @theRobGarber @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox You do know his conviction was overturned right? Due to his mental competency not being evaluated pre-trial, something you might want to do with a 12 year old. Swing and a miss. Wanna try again? Yeah, it's really hard to convict a 12 year old and have it stick. Apr 05, 2021
- @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox Imagine if Mr. Rittenhouse had told Mr. Rosenbaum "I'm gonna kill everyone here.". Then suddenly the frantic chase to get the gun makes sense. Not saying that happened, but you can't assume everyone is on the same page after having shot someone. That is the def. of privilege. Apr 05, 2021
- @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox Yeah, that's inaccurate. You're missing two shots at an unarmed male that wildly missed, particularly shot #2. Apr 05, 2021
- @mickeyandalie @KaitMarieox Sure. Being in juvey until you are 21 isn't really a slap on the wrist. It's 8 years of custody, if not in an outright adult prison. Manslaughter sentences often wind up about that long. Apr 05, 2021

- @KobolOf @KaitMarieox Actually, Mr. Rittenhouse confessed to initiating a confrontation to his friend Mr. Black and to the Antioch police. So..... Apr 05, 2021
- @832ndV @KaitMarieox sick burn bro Apr 05, 2021 @
- @GoldieFall @KaitMarieox Legally irrelevant. I don't have a problem with businesses hiring qualified security to defend their property. Or having qualified people volunteer. The age requirement for armed private security is 21, with a few training courses. Apr 05, 2021
- @GTysonCutler @KaitMarieox I'm referring to when Mr. Rittenhouse fired off balance twice at the guy going over him, who quite likely could have been legally privileged under 939.48(4). It's a bad idea to shoot someone, run at new people at low ready, remain aloof, and then lie about shooting anyone. Apr 05, 2021
- @aweber91 @KaitMarieox I said "wildly", not "random". And I'm referring to the shots at the guy who went over him during the second confrontation. Apr 05, 2021
- @jshteek @KaitMarieox And frankly, if the first shot had connected, Mr. Rittenhouse would probably have had a 170 pound male on top of him, considering he was on the ground. "Guy, it was self-defense" would have been a VERY SMART THING TO SAY.

 Apr 05, 2021
- @jshteek @KaitMarieox https://t.co/xGdeM3wh9g This one. Shots at the guy going over him. Mr. Rittenhouse is clearly off balance. Never really lined up the second trigger pull. And the guy is already past him when the second shot happens. I can't justify that trigger pull, not with so many ppl there Apr 05, 2021
- @NATHANINSOCAL @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Mr. Rittenhouse ran into an area that yes, had protestors holding signs and other bystanders. <u>Apr 05, 2021</u>
- @batesfamily2 Because it is so much better if you were to find it yourself. Apr 05, 2021
- @boredasf74 @_Jon_Doe @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Conjecture really not backed up by the facts. More likely, the confrontation Mr. Rittenhouse admitted to starting was likely with Mr. Rosenbaum's friends. Mr. Rosenbaum likely jumped in a that point. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox You really don't understand this is Wisconsin vs. Rittenhouse. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Yeah, at the immediate scene of the first shooting. Then when he runs into new people at low ready, he claims he hadn't shot anyone. This is backed up by multiple witnesses. Really not smart Apr 05, 2021
- @_Jon_Doe @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Really not backed up by the video. Most everyone is yelling "he shot someone!", "why did you do it?!", and "Stop him!". Mr. Rittenhouse ignores this for a while and then lies about shooting anyone. You'd be crazy not to disarm this kid. Bullshit tends to die in court. Apr 05, 2021
- @CaptCookie7 @KaitMarieox And yes, unless Mr. Rittenhouse had criminal files on all the people in the area, past criminal convictions are irrelevant. His actions, based on the information he has in the moment, is what legally matters. Apr 05, 2021
- @CaptCookie7 @KaitMarieox 1) The shot was in the air, not at him. Mr. Rittenhouse was not "shot at". 2) The video footage shows Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't react to the shot at all. His back was turned and he turns when he hears "FUCK YOU!" So yes, the shot is legally irrelevant. Apr 05, 2021

- @batesfamily2 That video is several months old. You are assuming I haven't seen it at least once? Yeah, it's grifty propaganda meant to make lawyers rich. According to Mr. Rittenhouse's Mom, the makers of the video stole all the donations. Apr 05, 2021
- @batesfamily2 That video strangely leaves out the footage where Mr. Rittenhouse brags on camera about being vicious. Wonderful piece of grifty propaganda you have there. By all means, donate and make some lawyers rich. Apr 05, 2021
- @CaptCookie7 @KaitMarieox Legally irrelevant. Apr 05, 2021
- @cdw021066 @KaitMarieox It's horrible. I completely get and understand that. My belief we can't prosecute 13-year olds as adults in no way is meant to diminish that. It means more people are culpable. Apr 05, 2021
- @aShitty_Opinion @KaitMarieox You got me, there was a little sarcasm mixed in there. But Mr. Rittenhouse bragging about being vicious on video is real. Apr 05, 2021
- @aShitty_Opinion @KaitMarieox You clearly haven't seen the video where Mr. Rittenhouse is on video bragging about being vicious before all this happened. Its OK, the right people have the footage. Apr 05, 2021
- @_Jon_Doe @KaitMarieox That video leaves out Mr. Rittenhouse on video bragging about how he is going to be vicious that night. It's OK, the right people have that footage. Apr 05, 2021 \$\vec{ap}\$
- @aweber91 @KaitMarieox Legally irrelevant and unrelated to the matter at hand. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Pro-tip: don't lie and claim you hadn't shot anyone right after you say you are going to the police. Trying to dock truth with a lie is a really bad idea. Dumb kid. Apr 05, 2021
- @billybobintheus @skier017 @KaitMarieox First and foremost, after shooting #1, I'd make a self-defense claim immediately. And not run at low ready toward new people, remain aloof, and then claim I hadn't shot anyone. Basically, don't enable 939.48(4) privilege for those around me. Apr 05, 2021
- @mickeyandalie @KaitMarieox You can't prosecute a 13 year old as an adult in DC. Period. Really, in most states it is a nonstarter. Has nothing to do with how the victim suffered, but recognizes other parties failed the kid. Apr 05, 2021
- @jshteek @TheStoneActual @KaitMarieox True. Frankly, I'm more concerned about the lionization of Mr. Rittenhouse's actions. Some folks are like "He killed commies, good!". That leads to things like, I don't know, the sacking of the US Capitol. Some guys even had Rittenhouse patches there. Apr 05, 2021
- @cxldboi @KaitMarieox The video is nothing but authentic. People are genuinely worried a shooter is running at low ready toward new people, having first refused to answer any calls for answers, and then simply lying about shooting anyone. No one is doing this for fun. Apr 05, 2021
- @Von_Lala_land @KaitMarieox An incomplete understanding of what constitutes what is allowable for lawful self-defense. Apr 05, 2021
- @TheRealVadea @KaitMarieox Yeah, triggering pulling while falling backward without lining up a target is inherently selfish and reckless. If you don't understand that happened, OK. Apr 05, 2021
- @hairmon @Tumblin_Tom @KaitMarieox The case is Wisconsin vs RIttenhouse, not Rosenbaum vs. Rittenhouse. Maybe reflect on that. Apr 05, 2021

- @Tumblin_Tom @KaitMarieox Legally irrelevant. The case is Wisconsin vs RIttenhouse, not Rosenbaum vs. Rittenhouse. Maybe reflect on that. Apr 05, 2021
- @fi1775 @KaitMarieox You are bad at counting. Apr 05, 2021 ₽
- @cxldboi @KaitMarieox Your "revenge" argument isn't backed up by available evidence. The first attackers criminal history is legally irrelevant. And why you would let a loose killer ambush a bunch of cops with an AR-15 is beyond me. Apr 05, 2021
- @theRobGarber @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox Yeah, 13 year-olds as a broad general rule aren't prosecuted as adults. I'm not making that up. It's simply a legal fact. Apr 05, 2021
- @theRobGarber @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox Not at 13 years old. Look it up if you care. Usually, adult prosecution ages start at 14, and even then, it can be difficult to get a case in adult court. Apr 05, 2021
- @skier017 @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox Two bullets never hit a target. Once flew out at ground level. In an area with a considerable amount of bystanders. Don't mistake luck for skill. Apr 05, 2021
- @jshteek @TheStoneActual @KaitMarieox Wonderful advice, except bullets were flying and cover was scarce. If you are a bystander endangered by flying bullets, you have lawful self-defense privilege. And if the other guy understands you are operating under that and kills you, holy shit. Apr 05, 2021
- @TheStoneActual @KaitMarieox Actually, it matters quite a bit if you carefully read the first sentence of the self-defense statute. The notes on State v. Watkins also provide a clue was to why Mr. Rittenhouse's actions were problematic. Apr 05, 2021
- @cdw021066 @KaitMarieox You can't charge a 13-year old as an adult in DC. Period. End of story. Doing so for a 15-year old is also pretty hard. Still, horrible business that REQUIRES institutionalization. Should Mr. Rittenhouse be similarly shopping for a plea? Perhaps. Apr 05, 2021
- @jshteek @KaitMarieox Yeah, taking a trigger pull while falling backward and wildly missing isn't a sound decision, when you are surrounded by bystanders. Sorry, if you don't think pulling without aiming is reckless. Apr 05, 2021
- @PatrickMusick @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox Yeah, you're missing about 45 seconds of people pleading for answers, Mr. Rittenhouse not giving them and then lying about shooting anyone, followed by him shooting at another unarmed guy before the skateboard entered the picture. Apr 05, 2021
- @billybobintheus @KaitMarieox He missed twice. And trigger pulled while falling backward surrounded by people, many of which weren't pursuers. It was the most selfish dimwitted trigger pull I've ever seen in my life. Yes, these are semi-automatic weapons and each pull is a decision. Apr 05, 2021
- @Tumblin_Tom @KaitMarieox You really should read the first sentence of the self-defense statute in Wisconsin. Like, really read it. Apr 05, 2021
- @Joris_Bonson_ @KaitMarieox You can't try a 13-year old in DC as an adult. Period. For a 15-year old, its still pretty hard. You wanna say Mr. Rittenhouse should be tried as a minor? I don't inherently disagree. Apr 05, 2021
- @Tumblin_Tom @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox Legally irrelevant. Sorry if you don't get why. Apr 05, 2021
- @Tumblin_Tom @KaitMarieox An incomplete understanding of relevant self-defense laws. Apr 05, 2021

- @Bustinurdragon @KaitMarieox If you watch the video, Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired two shots before the skateboard ever hit him. One was as he was falling backward. It's a miracle it didn't kill a bystander. Then he makes a killing decision on a target he never got a good look at. Apr 05, 2021
- @Tumblin_Tom @KaitMarieox He hit him once on the shoulder, and then makes a grab for the gun, after Mr. Rittenhouse had discharged his weapon twice. BS tends to die in court. Apr 05, 2021
- @KaitMarieox Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired wildly twice in the immediate area before being hit with the skateboard. For all he knew, Mr. Huber was a bystander who didn't want to die via a stray bullet. Details matter. Apr 05, 2021
- @RonFilipkowski I was always surprised the bail money was eventually posted. Seems like quite the risk if you actually wanted to keep the money. Apr 05, 2021
- @Platypus222 @MichaelSacal @TrekCore Every single time the concept of a ship blockade comes up, my head explodes. Space is so huge and ships are so small. Apr 05, 2021
- @Platypus222 @MichaelSacal @TrekCore Multiverse theory and single-verse time travel have both been confirmed to exist in Trek. The Red Matter black hole perhaps was a gateway to another parallel universe, as much as it was a time slide. Apr 05, 2021
- @xNragedx @CivilLost @stevenwynne15 @NoThank68182276 @Burbankbigots @Exile_in_LA @BurbankPD Stevey is going to conveniently forget Mr. Rittenhouse is on video that evening touting being vicious. Apr 05, 2021
- @NoThank68182276 @stevenwynne15 @Burbankbigots @xNragedx @Exile_in_LA @BurbankPD Fair enough;) Apr 05, 2021
- @NoThank68182276 @stevenwynne15 @Burbankbigots @xNragedx @Exile_in_LA @BurbankPD Seriously, block like 5 to 10 guys and it pretty much goes away. <u>Apr 05</u>, 2021
- @charles_gaba @Cleavon_MD Atari 800 Apr 05, 2021 @
- @SpookyNorwegian @mikomerro @1WitchyChick @Charlen60403930 They have been charged with felony murder as juveniles. Frankly, no one should judge a plea deal until its terms are announced. It will without doubt incorporate institutionalization. Apr 05, 2021
- @babayaga1102 @bette_oh The 13 and 15 year olds aren't getting passes. They are being charged with felony murder, albeit in juvenile court. Apr 05, 2021
- @rojobin2 @SteveBloss @Charlen60403930 @Braveheart_USA More like for convincing everyone he was a killer on the loose, and then gunning people down trying to stop him without a word of explanation, after he ran toward new people at low ready. Not a guaranteed conviction but frankly, I wouldn't want to be in his shoes. Apr 05, 2021
- @JamesCo97301892 @Charlen60403930 @69Marine They've been charged. Not sure where you are getting that they haven't. Apr 04, 2021
- @AngelaB06947498 @eliasgould @FreakDirtyda @Sports_Schlub @carr4964 @Breaking911 Probably not. Your rumor is based on a misread of the under-18 exemptions for hunting. Folks are confusing "non-compliance" (not what the law says) with "not in compliance" (What the Law does say). Mr. Rittenhouse neve completed the elective compliance actions for the carry. Apr 04, 2021
- @MatthewVealey Wisconsin considers 17 year olds to be adults in the eyes of the Law. It's controversial, no doubt. Apr 04, 2021

- @Aggieman20 @billoxenford @TheGeneral_0 And yes, I know what felony murder is. The argument for moving a minor case to adult court would be if there was real murderous intent at play. I don't see that here. That isn't to underplay the tragedy that occured or the role institutionalization must play here. Apr 04, 2021
- @Aggieman20 @billoxenford @TheGeneral_0 That's simply not true for 13 year olds. 14 is more often the age where transfers to adult court can he applied for, even in cases of murder. That said, this case feels more like reckless homicide than intentional homicide. Arguably more a manslaughter case (still bad) Apr 04, 2021
- @billoxenford @TheGeneral_0 They would be charged. As minors. Per the Law in DC, it's actually not possible to try the 13 year old as an adult. Apr 04, 2021
- RT @richcampbell: When a senior developer tries to support a junior developer... https://t.co/EFShpz5u0J Apr 03, 2021
- @FoodNetwork You monster. Apr 03, 2021
- @LuffLuffwaffen @DozaiLam @ess0h @CassandraRules Different jurisdictions. Different rules. To say nothing of the fact that Mr. Rittenhouse's actions are on multiple mobile phones and that he himself have interviews to at least two reporters before the shootings. Cat simply was out of the bag. Apr 03, 2021
- @BTCLayZerz @thestang65 @submarineLEVIN @FantasyJB @LindseyGrahamSC @PalmettoArmory And "my private street" is incorrect as well. It would be the community association's street the private property owner pays into. He wasn't legally privileged to defend it on any level. Apr 02, 2021
- @BTCLayZerz @thestang65 @submarineLEVIN @FantasyJB @LindseyGrahamSC @PalmettoArmory No gate was knocked over. Most gated communities don't really thwart pedestrian entry. It has far more to do with the perception of safety. I've accidentally entered several simply by walking dog and not noticing the change to private street signs. Apr 02, 2021
- @peterdukephoto @TinhornFlats @BurbankPD "There are multiple fire/life safety violations that have been brought to the business owner's attention. These violations may create life safety hazards to any occupants in the structure," What a peach Apr 02, 2021
- @BTCLayZerz @submarineLEVIN @thestang65 @FantasyJB @LindseyGrahamSC @PalmettoArmory I suspect you need some gun education. https://t.co/I895Q9Axms Apr 02, 2021 @
- @BTCLayZerz @submarineLEVIN @thestang65 @FantasyJB @LindseyGrahamSC @PalmettoArmory Being armed is fine. Pointing a weapon at someone who is not immediately threatening is not. One finger inch and suddenly you have someone dead. Responsible gun owners know this. The gun possession wasn't the problem. The brandish was. Apr 02, 2021
- @pinemikey @FusRohDah @Antidote4BS @LindseyGrahamSC @PalmettoArmory Mr. Rittenhouse is on video espousing being vicious before heading out. That might come back to bite him. Apr 02, 2021
- @dotdotcarissa The article leaves out that Mr. Rittenhouse is on video espousing being vicious during an interview. Yeah, I didn't like how GQ painted him as clueless. There was intent to be threatening. Apr 02, 2021
- @thestang65 @submarineLEVIN @BTCLayZerz @FantasyJB @LindseyGrahamSC @PalmettoArmory Legally, they weren't privileged to point their weapons at anyone. People being inside a gated community doesn't remotely greenlight threatening death via

- a pointed gun. There's no castle doctrine at play. The case is an open and shut conviction. Apr 02, 2021
- @imo_omar @ROGUEGAMINGUK @_LarZen_ @ragehunterpod @GameSpot They sure do. I have about 30 months of Ultimate left using that technique. And I think it is still available. https://t.co/GbBb2PxUc6 Apr 02, 2021
- @imo_omar @ROGUEGAMINGUK @_LarZen_ @ragehunterpod @GameSpot Yeah, I still think that deal is outstanding. https://t.co/GbBb2PxUc6 Apr 02, 2021 @
- @imo_omar @ROGUEGAMINGUK @_LarZen_ @ragehunterpod @GameSpot Not sure if this deal is still out there, but for a while you could pre-buy up to 36 months of Gold and then upgrade to Ultimate, with a 1:1 conversion ratio of months. Apr 02, 2021
- @verge Cortana's revenge Apr 01, 2021
- @tqbf Mistakes were made! Apr 01, 2021
- Azure outage the day they relieved Cortana of her mobile duties... Hmmm...... Apr 01, 2021
- *(a)*thurrott <u>Apr 01, 2021 </u>
- Cortana's revenge! #azure Apr 01, 2021
- @AzureSupport Cortana's revenge. Apr 01, 2021
- @ROGUEGAMINGUK @_LarZen_ @ragehunterpod @GameSpot As I said, most are party favors. My kids will probably enjoy the truck game for a few hours when it becomes available. Given that it is effectively free, not an awful thing. Apr 01, 2021
- @ROGUEGAMINGUK @_LarZen_ @ragehunterpod @GameSpot Games with Gold is like party favors you get at B-Day parties. Still there, have been some decent ones in the last 12 months: Project CARS 2 V-Rally 4 Gears 5 Dead Rising Resident Evil As a free add-on, those are some serious games for \$60 annual. Apr 01, 2021
- @danieltrt7 @madebygoogle I suspect some of the Mini Nests were just shipped with defective WiFi chips. Why Google doesn't simply swap them out all-costs-paid is beyond me. They have the \$\$\$ and allowing a bad reputation to be spread when you are being outsold by more than 2-to-1 is beyond me. Apr 01, 2021
- @GregJaffe @NKingofDC Futurama tubes come to mind. Apr 01, 2021
- @madebygoogle OK. Message sent. Apr 01, 2021
- @ReadYouForFree @SteelHammer88 @joshmapes @AnnCoulter More important than the illegal weapon procurement is Mr. Rittenhouse on camera espousing being vicious (definition: deliberately cruel or violent). This makes premeditation to be threatening with the weapon very likely. Apr 01, 2021
- @madebygoogle @thurrott This is quite the thread. Possibility worthy of a mention on a TWIT show? Apr 01, 2021
- @davidpine7 Even my Surface Pro 7 has trouble keeping. Given work from home is becoming more the norm, building a kickass tower system is very tempting. Apr 01, 2021
- @madebygoogle I work in the basement. I need to have my smart speakers in the basement. My wife works upstairs. She needs her smart speakers to work upstairs. The router can only be on one floor. Simply put, there's no point in testing the potential success of an unacceptable scenario. Apr 01, 2021
- @madebygoogle I don't know the exact message. Internet unavailable or something like that. I have 2.4 Ghz and 5 Ghz. That 15-20 feet... That's a requirement my Alexa devices

- don't have and frankly its ridiculous. My house has only one router, and I have smart speakers all over the house. Apr 01, 2021
- @madebygoogle On separate floors. Router in living room, google minis in basement, more or less in the room underneath. Using a Verizon Fios sharkfin router. <u>Apr 01, 2021</u>
- @madebygoogle I have two Google Mini Nests that sporadically disconnect from Wifi. Power cycling them gets them reconnected, but for a voice activated smart speaker, it isn't ideal. This seems to be a documented issue. Any word on a fix? https://t.co/7a5t4tXXIA Apr 01, 2021
- @pallentx @thurrott Frankly, Amazon smoked Microsoft and Google. I have like eight Alexa smart speakers and clocks in my house and it all works REALLY well. I can't get a Google Mini Nest to keep connectivity more than week. And Cortana never could understand my wife. Dealbreaker Apr 01, 2021
- The federal government just cancelled all 2020 income taxes!!! Apr 01, 2021
- @nikkilee241lee @HeyGuysItsChels @SavePawz @TeenMom_OG @CatelynnLowell In a sane political environment, the Conservatives would be using Mr. Huber's death as why people need to have concealed carries. Apr 01, 2021
- @nikkilee241lee @HeyGuysItsChels @SavePawz @TeenMom_OG @CatelynnLowell The big issue is he never got a good look at Mr. Huber. Mr. Rittenhouse already had fired two wild shots, and any bystander in the area would have been privileged to go for the gun to simply not die. Mr. Rittenhouse kills without even considering the bystander scenario. Apr 01, 2021
- @nikkilee241lee @HeyGuysItsChels @SavePawz @TeenMom_OG @CatelynnLowell Very true. Mr. Huber's big mistake was mercy. He shouldn't have stopped with one hit. Mr. Rittenhouse killed him in cold blood because of it. Apr 01, 2021
- @nikkilee241lee @HeyGuysItsChels @SavePawz @TeenMom_OG @CatelynnLowell A couple things. 1) his friend Dominick Black straw purchased the weapon illegally (he's facing 12 years for this). 2) the weapon is very deadly (4x as powerful as a pistol, albeit not an automatic weapon. 3) Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera earlier espousing being vicious. Unhelpful Apr 01, 2021
- @nikkilee241lee @HeyGuysItsChels @SavePawz @TeenMom_OG @CatelynnLowell There's a mix. Some are fascists who are ok with extrajudicial killing, so long as the dead are people they disapprove of. Others genuinely believe the statutes will lead to an acquittal, given he was in retreat for both shootings. That said, still looks like illegal homicide. Apr 01, 2021
- @OwenORourke7 @repIyguy @CivilLost @SteelHammer88 @jay_is_blue @MrBaddddd @number1poster Fellas, you'll never get a good faith argument from the Civil Society Whatever. Or the Spaceman. Life is so much better if you block and move on. Apr 01, 2021
- @nikkilee241lee @HeyGuysItsChels @SavePawz @TeenMom_OG @CatelynnLowell There are some accounts on the Twitter near dedicated to Kyle Rittenhouse defense. You'll never get a good faith discussion. Best to block and move on. Apr 01, 2021
- @AnnCoulter Every coroner marked the cause of death as homicide. Nice try. https://t.co/GUNZ4EAj2J Apr 01, 2021
- @wompus1 @marcomucho @AnnCoulter Every coroner's report listed the cause of death as a homicide. https://t.co/GUNZ4EAj2J Apr 01, 2021

- @iJuicy_Fruit Yeah, me too. Apr 01, 2021 @
- @iJuicy_Fruit With respect, Mr. Rittenhouse's trial starts in November (delayed) and the shooting of Jacob Blake was the catalyst for the protests in Kenosha. Apr 01, 2021
- @hartleybryan @HankVenture5 @skkrrrrrtcobain @N1ckSandmann He let himself be legally represented by white nationalists, one who backed the overthrow of democracy. And he patrolled with someone publicly linked to white supremacy. You have to prove intent-to-harm to win a libel case. Just won't happen here. Apr 01, 2021
- @HankVenture5 @hartleybryan @skkrrrrrtcobain Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera earlier that night espousing being vicious (definition: deliberately cruel or violent). Speaks to intent-to-provoke, which complicates a self-defense claim. Mar 31, 2021
- @vnbaaij @thurrott Different animal I think. Mar 31, 2021
- @thurrott 360 era games make a lot of sense for phones. It's hard to take a beautiful 4k XBox Series X rendered modern game and accept the downgrade onto the phone. Mar 31, 2021
- @thurrott She's not taking this well. https://t.co/2uXrWSgEE1 Mar 31, 2021 Mar 31, 2021 2021
- @thedailybeast John Pierce literally called for jury nullification, which for all intents and purposes expresses a belief his client was guilty per statute. Mar 31, 2021
- @Sylvaners John Pierce called for jury nullification, which basically is admitting your client is guilty per statute. Yeah, he didn't provide great representation. Mar 31, 2021
- @BigJoe79993479 @sgrant3350 @brithume Mr. Rittenhouse is on video bragging about being vicious that night. Speaks to pre-existing intent to provoke, which would complicate a self-defense claim. Mar 31, 2021
- @LgSmith37428553 The trial was postponed till November. No jury selection has occurred. Mar 31, 2021
- #gameswithgold are like party favors you get at birthday parties. When viewed in that light, they are fine. My kids will probably love the truck game, at least for a weekend. Expecting AAA titles every month is folly. https://t.co/YtbympZzI4 Mar 31, 2021
- @thurrott Party favors. Once you view these in that light, they're fine. My kids will probably love the truck game. We got lots of mileage from the Lego Star Wars giveaways from prior months. Mar 31, 2021
- @Peril_in_Pink @johnniewalkerd1 @katyvernonmusic Johnnie is a troll. I'd recommend blocking. Mar 31, 2021 🗗
- @2Used2bUseful @realTuckFrumper Honestly, she didn't have anything directly to do with it. His friend, Dominick Black, straw purchased the weapon and drove him to the protest. Mar 31, 2021
- RT @thurrott: Microsoft Announces Games with Gold for April https://t.co/VBvhKSxmcr https://t.co/17CrULEnvK Mar 31, 2021
- @burgessdryan Strangely, can't think of any. Started when I was 16, received a free copy of Visual Basic from Microsoft, had access to decent books from Borders books. Lots of trial and error, but it was a good way to learn. Mar 31, 2021
- @haveyouseen01 @rickpassarin @JezCorden I run #launcher10 on Android. It's a really good Windows Phone launcher. Frankly, with Microsoft's Android apps, it's the best Microsoft phone I've ever owned. https://t.co/CzbGYPxpQt Mar 31, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @EarlShayOFAGa @stinchfield1776 @newsmax I don't know why you feel the police deserve to get ambushed by a gunmen running toward them with a

- held AR-15, after killing someone else without explanation. Frankly, that position is deplorable. Mar 31, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @EarlShayOFAGa @stinchfield1776 @newsmax The second shot was ground level as he was falling backwards, wildly missing. Seriously, to trigger pull under those circumstances with bystanders everywhere is the height of irresponsibility. You only fire when you have a target lined up in your sights. Mar 31, 2021
- @EarlShayOFAGa @stinchfield1776 @newsmax ThIs is really why he is screwed. It's a bad idea to kill a someone you haven't identified after firing your weapon twice, wildly missing both times. Pursuer or bystander simply trying not to die; it didn't matter to Mr. Rittenhouse. Mar 31, 2021
- @EarlShayOFAGa @stinchfield1776 @newsmax That grab came after Mr. Rittenhouse had wildly fired two shots in the immediate area. Any bystander was privileged to go for the weapon, on simple grounds of not wanting to get hit by a stray. Mr. Rittenhouse made a killing decision without clearly seeing who he was shooting at Mar 31, 2021
- @haveyouseen01 @rickpassarin @JezCorden I used Windows Phone from end of 2011 through March of 2016. No regrets about the 4.5 years, but yeah, too many false starts and trust issues to build the app momentum. Mar 31, 2021
- @haveyouseen01 @rickpassarin @JezCorden Buying Skype also made phone carriers in the US weary of Microsoft. At the time, charging per voice minutes and texts was still somewhat of a thing. Skype represented the end of that. Mar 31, 2021
- @ChakieChiz @maggiejuang1 @AndrewYang It's legally a bad idea to go on camera and boast about being vicious before you go out with a held weapon and then use it. Mar 31, 2021
- @Life_is_Xbox My kids are going to love the truck game. One out of four is a solid hit with us. Mar 31, 2021
- @ErimusGaming @Xbox Honestly, it's best to consider these games like the party favors you get at a kid's b-day party. Amusing, but not really full fledged gifts. That said, my kids will be loving the truck game. Mar 31, 2021
- @thatsmytrunks It's harsh after you've enjoyed Squad-based help in Halo 5. Mar 31, 2021
- @Real_Bobby_Levy Plenty of guilty people don't virtue of shutting up. Still, interesting... to call someone out by name on air. Mar 31, 2021
- @KlasfeldReports An ex-Navy Seal just let a guy swipe his gun?!?! From his holster?!?! So much for situational awareness. Mar 31, 2021
- @KlasfeldReports John Pierce literally called for jury nullification, which effectively is admitting your client is guilty per statute. Yeah, I doubt his motivations centered on what was best for Mr. Rittenhouse. Mar 31, 2021
- @high5assfuck @DavidMendozaVzl @DLoesch Seriously, you won't get a good faith discussion out of David here. My recommendation is to block and move on. Mar 30, 2021
- @GoateyTheGoat @JoeCoolXbox @Colteastwood The Truck game might buy a weekend's worth of enjoyment out of the kids. But yeah, not a month to write home about. Mar 30, 2021
- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ The officer, who clearly lacked the entire context, made the proper decision to take control of the situation, including of the man with the weapon. Officers don't file charges.

- Prosecutors do. Frankly, the only crime I see here is vandalism to the vehicle and assault on the man Mar 30, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @ScottHech Frankly, it is likely JR's friends were brandished. Mr. Rittenhouse also told the Antioch police he initiated the confrontation, an account also related by Dominick Black, based on what Mr. Rittenhouse told him. https://t.co/SZaFreBEWM Mar 30, 2021 @
- @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @SteelHammer88 @ScottHech Yes, I know. That case seems pretty rock solid. Every killing bullet was felonious, at least from the standpoint of Mr. Black's legal situation. Mar 30, 2021
- @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @SteelHammer88 @ScottHech The gun possession was unlawful, because he didn't have a hunting cert and he couldn't be in compliance with another under-16 statute. More to the point, both Dominick Black and his Dad confessed they knew the carry was illegal. Small potatoes stuff though. Not the biggie Mar 30, 2021
- @chabranigdo @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @ScottHech No. Something else. It's a biggie. Mar 30, 2021
- @chabranigdo @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @ScottHech Mr. Rittenhouse running at new people at low ready after gunning someone down without explanation and refusing all pleas for answers will likely be viewed as tortious by the Judge. And likely activated lawful third-party defense privilege. Still, you fail to see the big problem. Mar 30, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @ScottHech As I said before, I never bluff and it is best if you find it yourself. Rest assured, it's in the hands of the people who can make most use of it. As for Grosskreutz, he has no idea defense has anything to do with the first shooting. Because some idiot didn't make a defense claim Mar 30, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @ScottHech The video is nothing but authentic. Yeah he's worried a kid who has apparently shot someone is speeding off toward more potential victims with a held weapon. This comes across pretty clearly in the video. Mar 30, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @ScottHech At best it's a non-denial and at worst the police are his next target. That and Mr. Grosskreutz never clearly heard the answer if we are being honest about it. He immediately tries to ask again, but Mr. Rittenhouse speeds off. So no, horrible communication. Mar 30, 2021
- @wrs_willoughby @SteelHammer88 @chabranigdo @ScottHech Seriously, they are not legal. Stray bullets suck. A girl in Virginia Beach died this weekend because of one. That said, lack of clear oral communication from Mr. Rittenhouse is a real problem, especially after shooting #1. Mar 30, 2021
- @wrs_willoughby @SteelHammer88 @chabranigdo @ScottHech Warning shots are actually pretty reckless, especially with the range of that weapon. Mar 30, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @ScottHech I never bluff. That said, it will sink in better if you find it yourself. Mar 30, 2021
- @SteelHammer88 @wrs_willoughby @chabranigdo @ScottHech Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera that night describing his planned presence as vicious (def: deliberately cruel and violent). Doesn't help his case. Shows intent to be malicious. Mar 30, 2021

- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ Irrelevant. At that point, you're looking for an excuse to prosecute. This was a gang of masked people disabling his vehicle, trying to blind him with mace, and ignoring all gestures and oral pleas to stop. Frankly, if anyone is going to be charged. It probably will be them. Mar 30, 2021
- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ His windshield is clearly obstructed and he is clearly trying to check the damage on his vehicle as he is assaulted. And he verbally tells the assailants to leave him alone. I'm sorry, this isn't even a close one. No prosecutor on the planet would charge this man. Mar 30, 2021
- @Donegalian @EllisMiellis @WalshFreedom @MarlenaFitz The prosecution clearly made that case today. Mar 29, 2021
- Priceless. https://t.co/FR9EtnU0mg Mar 29, 2021
- @AnnCoulter When you maintain a neck hold for two minutes AFTER you can no longer detect a pulse, you likely have some very real legal issues. Mar 29, 2021
- @vetteach1 @BuddyWinston You see some assistance on the mortgage side here and there. But yeah, this likely has squeezed a lot of small landlords out of the market. I have a small rental (no issues there thankfully) and mortgage interest is a small percentage of the expenses. Mar 29, 2021
- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ There was mace on his windshield. Arguably he had to get out of the vehicle to check on it to safely drive away. You can't really take issue with people leaving their vehicle when the attack has made it unsafe to drive. Mar 29, 2021
- @vetteach1 @BuddyWinston Bottom line is not really. Mar 29, 2021
- @BuddyWinston Some folks will just let themselves be sued and simply never pay on the judgements. Others might declare bankruptcy. And still many more will probably negotiate something, because they don't want their credit destroyed. Mar 29, 2021
- @EllisMiellis @WalshFreedom @MarlenaFitz I'd like to think keeping the knee on the neck for two minutes AFTER they couldn't detect a pulse speaks to the utter disregard for human life. When you read the criminal complaint, it's frankly insane. Mar 29, 2021
- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ When a masked gang comes up to you, tries to blind you with mace, arguably disables your truck by getting mace on the windshield, and is pelting you and your vehicle with hard stuff, there is a really good case for self-defense. Mar 29, 2021
- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ Yes, they were macing him, arguably trying to blind him. And tossing stuff at him, when his back was turned, which ultimately led to the gun draw. Frankly, it looks like self-defense to me, albeit we don't have the full context. Mar 29, 2021
- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ Not sure he was actually arrested. Detained yes, but I didn't see any footage of him being led off in handcuffs. I'm not the pistol toting type, and clearly we don't have all the context. That said, I'll bet the draw at least was legal, given he was being maced. Mar 29, 2021
- @jim_enloe @AJNoiter @Bob48349293 @_Ryuuza_ @HowieeeDoin @TMZ Generally, Criminal Law recognizes "bait to kill" provocation as a deal-breaker for a self-defense claim. I don't see that with the man & his truck, perhaps the best evidence is that he didn't shoot. Mar 29, 2021

- @silvermanmarkj It is a really bad idea to have a sloppy carry for an AR-15 at night. https://t.co/0vv6NS1dVV Mar 29, 2021
- Happy Passover! Mar 28, 2021
- Finished Halo 5. Kinda glad I waited to play it on the Series X and an HDR enabled set. Beautiful game. On to Halo 4. Mar 27, 2021
- Provide seeds but not full blown answers. Let folks work for it. Mar 27, 2021
- @Peaches_Sabrina @NakikoSix @th3v0t4ry Also a legally bad idea to announce on camera your intent for the night is to be Safe but still Vicious. Makes the poor ass carry seem a little less like an innocent mistake. Mar 27, 2021
- @tso19891 @toofilthy8701 @MrAndyNgo @USATODAY @hemjhaveri You sure? Completely sure? 100% sure? Mar 27, 2021
- @tso19891 @toofilthy8701 @MrAndyNgo @USATODAY @hemjhaveri No, he is on camera stating "I'd be safe but stay vicious". To the clear alarm of the person talking to him. Don't believe me? Do some homework. Mar 27, 2021
- @tso19891 @toofilthy8701 @MrAndyNgo @USATODAY @hemjhaveri When you are on video stating in advance your intensions are to be vicious (unnecessarily cruel), you might have legal issues if you kill someone after demonstrating a provocative carry. Speaks to reckless premeditation. Mar 27, 2021
- @GQMagazine @DougBockClark I'm surprised the article doesn't comment on Mr. Rittenhouse stating his plans for the evening were to Stay Safe but Still Vicious. The kid literally said he was going to be vicious that evening; the definition being "deliberately cruel or violent." Mar 27, 2021
- @ClstConservativ Watch the beginning of this video at 0.25x speed.

 https://t.co/2S8SY6qA14 Yeah, its quite possible the gun is brandished a bit. Or with that idiotic carry, perceived to be brandished. These aren't innocent mistakes when you announce on camera you plan to be vicious. Mar 27, 2021
- @ClstConservativ It's on video. In case you didn't notice, that was a closed caption tag sticking out there. Mar 27, 2021
- @ClstConservativ Pretty sure I included this image in an earlier post. Frankly, it looks to me like a likely brandish. Mr. Rosenbaum chased him literally right after that. https://t.co/AdeweRrpyU Mar 27, 2021
- @ClstConservativ If you threaten to kill someone with your held weapon, you might get chased and attacked. Self-defense and third-party defense privilege applies to everyone, not just self-proclaimed vicious wielders of guns. Mar 27, 2021
- @ClstConservativ There is a real question of whether Mr. Rittenhouse brandished his weapon. If so, then yes, a friend of the threatened might try for a disarm. It was dark and the carry style was sloppy and yes, vicious. https://t.co/9TvNTf8qsS Mar 27, 2021
- @ClstConservativ Mr. Rittenhouse is on camera stating his approach to the night is to be vicious. Safe but still vicious. The definition of vicious is to be dangerously aggressive.

 Mar 27, 2021
- @RThornhill7 @verge The laminated screen is a nice feature in a sub-\$500 tablet. Mar 27, 2021
- @DouglasSFrisk @OpenSCADGuns @tmhypheng @WSJ The guy, Dominick Black, is being charged for two counts of death related to providing a weapon to a minor. Facing 12 years in prison. Mar 26, 2021

- @verge It's a great device, particularly at that price, albeit I wonder when a refresh is coming. Mar 26, 2021
- @mojo_girl @ALisainChains81 @tlhicks713 His name is Dominick Black and he has been charged with two death counts related to providing a gun to a minor. He faces up to 12 years. Mar 26, 2021
- @0rf The potential gun brandish at 4:42 is interesting. If that happened, Mr. Rosenbaum could very well have been privileged under Wisconsin's third-party defense statute. Tough to see the gun (black) but the arms, leg, and shoulder positions? Hmm. Mar 25, 2021
- @0rf YouTube might not want to monetize off of death and/or tragedy. It's a bad look frankly. Also, people get shot. Lack of gore aside, it's pretty horrifying. That said, the potential gun brandish at 4:42 is something I hadn't seen before. Mar 25, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 See four replies to "what is incorrect?" Mar 24, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 It is legally thorny to use lethal force on someone after firing wildly where stray bullets might hit people. Forget the original pursuers; everyone else in the immediate area would be lawful to stop more shooting before they got killed. A reasonable person would know that. Mar 24, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 And Mr. Rittenhouse never got a good look at Mr. Huber. He had just cranked off two wild shots, and then killed someone trying to take his weapon before he fired more. Whether this was an initial pursuer or a new bystander trying to save himself, it was all the same to him. Mar 24, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 In short, Mr. Huber very likely had lawful self-defense and third-party defense rationale for going for the disarm. He had been drawn into this, had been subject to gunfire, and when he tried to save himself and others, was killed for it. 2/2 Mar 24, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 For starters, before Mr. Huber attacked with skateboard, Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired two wild shots. Mr. Huber was not an original pursuer but has now been jeopardized by someone shooting wildly in his area. 1/2 Mar 24, 2021

 ☐
- @B0SSB0Y @YAZIBAQ @AinsleyZachary That is clearly the point of contention here. Nite Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @YAZIBAQ @AinsleyZachary The gun grab only came after two wild shots had gone off. At that point, it is very much a matter of self-defense and third-party defense. Any bystander worried about getting shot was privileged to go for the disarm Mar 24, 2021
- @YAZIBAQ @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Only valid against unlawful Interference. Sorry, you can't pick out singular sentences and have them legally valid. Mar 24, 2021
- @YAZIBAQ @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Actually, legally you can't. Self-defense is only valid against unlawful interference. If those making the intercept of Mr. Rittenhouse were legally privileged under third-party defense statute, it would be unlawful to fire on them. Mar 24, 2021
- @YAZIBAQ @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Saying your next target is the police is hardly helpful. Be honest. Mr. Rittenhouse sucks at this. Mar 24, 2021
- @YAZIBAQ @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Actually, Wisconsin third-party defense allows for action against an apparent threat. So yes, you don't need to be 100% sure of all

- the circumstances to be privileged to take action against a loose gunman running toward new people at low ready after shooting someone. Mar 24, 2021
- @YAZIBAQ @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Gaige asked Mr. Rittenhouse if he shot someone. Gave him one last precious chance to explain his earlier shots. He gets a non-denial which if we are being honest about I don't even think he clearly heard. Mr. Rittenhouse ignores all followups, and Gaige is genuinely alarmed. Mar 24, 2021
- @YAZIBAQ @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Because they didn't want their friends and loved ones down the street gunned down. If you have ever cared about someone more than yourself, this really isn't hard. No one was doing this for fun. Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary And yes, there were plenty of people in Mr. Rittenhouse's path, including protestors with signs. Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary If you've already fired two shots wildly, the guy trying to grab your gun could very likely be a bystander trying to stop further gunfire, to save himself and others. Shooting to kill at someone you've never gotten a good look at is frankly legally dicey. Mar 24, 2021
- @conrad_ritchie @dorimosher @TommyKnuckles6 @TJ66122592 @odhinnhrafn @alkeiser99 @cenkuygur He pushed someone while holding a loaded AR-15 and you are wondering how that isn't a really bad provocation? Wow. Ok. Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Training is a good idea so you don't kill people who are convinced you are running off to kill their buddies down the street. Because a self-defense defense only works against unlawful interference and those intercepting him likely were legally privileged under third-party def Mar 24, 2021
- @conrad_ritchie @dorimosher @TommyKnuckles6 @TJ66122592 @odhinnhrafn @alkeiser99 @cenkuygur The case is Wisconsin vs Rittenhouse. Maybe ponder that. Mar 24, 2021
- @conrad_ritchie @dorimosher @TommyKnuckles6 @TJ66122592 @odhinnhrafn @alkeiser99 @cenkuygur Ok, believe what you want. Mar 24, 2021
- @conrad_ritchie @dorimosher @TommyKnuckles6 @TJ66122592 @odhinnhrafn @alkeiser99 @cenkuygur I don't know how you are unaware of the two shots before the skateboard even entered the picture. Too much doctored video maybe. Mar 24, 2021
- @conrad_ritchie @dorimosher @TommyKnuckles6 @TJ66122592 @odhinnhrafn @alkeiser99 @cenkuygur Yeah no, he fired two wild shots at an unarmed guy. Then Mr. Huber made his approach with the skateboard and made contact. These are established facts, documented by the criminal complaint and undoctored videos. Mar 24, 2021
- @conrad_ritchie @dorimosher @TommyKnuckles6 @TJ66122592 @odhinnhrafn @alkeiser99 @cenkuygur Mr. Rittenhouse had already wildly fired two shots before the skateboard came into the picture. And the medical exam the police carried out showed no signs of head trauma. Bullshit tends to die in court. Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Bullshit. For one, cops and private security personnel wear uniforms and/or have badges so bystanders can tell who is who when bullets start flying. And generally, they don't run at new people at low ready after shooting someone without an explanation. Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Oh, I know a coup attempt isn't the same as rioting. No worries. Mar 24, 2021
- @AinsleyZachary @B0SSB0Y It's clear Mr. Rittenhouse never priced in or trained for what happens if you actually shoot someone. It's a reason why private security training,

- firearms training, and a 21+ age requirement are required for armed private security roles in Wiscosnin. Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary I'm referring to ignoring all calls for answers by people responding to the shooting while running at new people with a held weapon at low ready. Incredibly, incredibly dumb. No post-shooting training whatsoever. Mar 24, 2021
- @conrad_ritchie @dorimosher @TommyKnuckles6 @TJ66122592 @odhinnhrafn @alkeiser99 @cenkuygur Pro-tip. If you ever shoot someone, make a self-defense claim immediately. Don't run toward more people with a weapon at low ready while actively ignoring pleas for answers. It avoids the whole "my best bud is down the street and about to get shot" problem. Mar 24, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Wanna work armed security? Fine, take the legally mandated training so you know how not to manslaughter people. Mar 23, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 But more to the point, based on your account, this would be a conviction. If Mr. Rittenhouse is aware he has convinced everyone he is a shooter on the loose, then he knows their interference on his person is legal. His shots, at least without explanatory words first, are unlawful Mar 23, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 You're missing a few things, and some statements are simply incorrect. Mar 23, 2021
- @Goodson__Truman @UGetWhatUVote4 Kyle Rittenhouse Fired on people responding to a shooter. Potential disqualification of self-defense as force used on lawful interference. Pro-tip: make a loud self-defense claim for shooting #1 before killing more people trying to stop you. Mar 23, 2021
- @B0SSB0Y @AinsleyZachary Without the weapon, Mr. Rittenhouse wouldn't have been patrolling a riot, hence he'd still be alive and also not facing serious felony charges. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver You know what. You can have the last word in this chat. I think we've exhausted the arguments for our respective positions. Be well. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver Irrelevant and Irrelevant. He visibly shot someone under unknown circumstances and then ran off toward more people with a held weapon, to the clear alarm of everyone there. And fired on people he reasonably knew were worried he was going to kill again. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver No, he called his friend, not the police. That much has been proven. You fail to understand he communicated with literally no one onsite after killing someone. There was no self-defense claim. No explanation. He just ran off at low ready toward more people. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver No, it comes down to communication. Everyone is yelling "he shot someone!" and "why did you shoot him!?" and "stop him!". For 45 secs He simply ignores this and runs toward more people with a held weapon. "It was self-defense guys, call 911!" is a good idea before more shots. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver That assessment isn't in alignment with what 939.48(4) (4) says. At all. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver That is my whole point. The people intercepting Mr. Rittenhouse very likely are operating under 939.48(4) (4) privilege on behalf of everyone Mr. Rittenhouse is running toward with a held weapon

- after shooting someone. In short, their intercept of him likely wasn't unlawful. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver I'm sorry, but you aren't reading the statute correctly. You're conveniently ignoring that the first sentence classifies the interference involved as "unlawful interference". That applies statute-wide. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver Yes, I have. All sentences count. Deadly force can be used if there is reasonable fear of great bodily harm / death AND the interference is reasonably determined to be unlawful. By your reading, the Denver shooter could claim self-defense against anyone charging to stop him. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver https://t.co/F4OyitH8cA. Unlawful interference is prominent in 939.48(1) (1). There is a reason why armed&dangerous suspects can't just kill people who try to stop them as they are running toward more potential victims with held weapons. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver You need to read the statute more closely. Mr. Rittenhouse can use force for "the purpose of preventing or terminating what the person reasonably believes to be an unlawful interference". He has to reasonably believe their intercept of him is inherently unlawful. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @nuancemagee @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver Irrelevant. The information has been accurately conveyed that Mr. Rittenhouse shot someone and he knows it. He simply doesn't give two shits people are construing him as a threat, because he can kill them if need be. As he said earlier in the night "stay safe but still vicious". Mar 23, 2021
- @nuancemagee @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver I've watched all the video. Ignoring "he shot someone!" and "stop him!" and "why did you shoot him?!?" for 45 seconds and then gunning more people down without even an explanation isn't helpful to Mr. Rittenhouse's case. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver If you have a held weapon, run at new people after shooting someone, and ignore all pleas for answers, yeah, its legally strained to shoot people trying to stop you without so much as a "guys, it was self-defense". You generally can't trick people into their own deaths. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver Or more to the point, if a reasonable person in Mr. Rittenhouse's position would understand these people were trying to intercept a shooter on the loose running toward more potential victims, that is problematic for his case. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver Irrelevant. The self-defense statute only applies to use of force against unlawful interference. If their intercept of Mr. Rittenhouse was in lawful defense of third-parties, and Mr. Rittenhouse understood the possibility of that, he is in deep trouble. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver The second group of people were likely acting in lawful third-party defense on behalf of everyone Mr. Rittenhouse was running toward with a held weapon after gunning someone down without explanation. This is why Mr. Rittenhouse is in the deepest of trouble. Mar 23, 2021

- @HamishMoosh @CaraLee1221 @adamcbest Except fire on people engaged in lawful third-party defense against an active shooter running toward more protestors after gunning someone down. "It was self-defense guys." really should have come before more bullets. A self-defense claim only works for unlawful interference. Mar 23, 2021
- @centerrightsin1 @great_lake_St @MessMakerPro @marwilliamson His words, not mine. https://t.co/8TtmDqiiRa Mar 23, 2021
- @centerrightsin1 @great_lake_St @MessMakerPro @marwilliamson No, without the weapon, he wouldn't have been patrolling a riot with an "I'd be safe and stay vicious." attitude. Maybe his straw-purchasing friend takes the fall for giving his vicious friend a gun. It isn't impossible. Mr. Black is facing 12 years; probably will get em. Mar 23, 2021
- @centerrightsin1 @great_lake_St @MessMakerPro @marwilliamson 1) The Supreme Court has affirmed ownership of a weapon for self-defense is guaranteed by 2A. 2) Mr. Rittenhouse's bad carry and lack of training led him to fire on people lawfully responding to an active shooter. This is why he is in the deepest of trouble. Mar 23, 2021
- @zenrazor007 @dijoni @CBSNews @vdpierre9 @CBSDenver Imagine the Colorado shooter stopping after his first four shots and running out to his car for more ammo. Imagine a group of people trying to stop him there, but he uses the last bullets in his magazine to mow them down. Imagine people claiming those shots as self-defense... Mar 23, 2021
- @Dewbura Or he wouldn't have been patrolling a riot with a held weapon in the first place with a "stay safe but still vicious" self-proclaimed attitude. Wonderful guy. Friend who straw sold him the weapon is facing 12 years for this. Kid couldn't care less. Mar 23, 2021
- @fedboi0 @jamiejsfilms @BreadPanes Imagine the Colorado shooter stopping after his first four shots and running out to his car for more ammo. Imagine a group of people trying to stop him, but he uses the last bullets in his magazine to mow them down. Imagine people claiming those shots as self-defense... Mar 23, 2021
- @JDominoEffect @DoctorHenryCT Imagine the Colorado shooter stopping after his first four shots and running out to his car for more ammo. Imagine a group of people trying to stop him, but he uses the last bullets in his magazine to mow them down. Imagine people claiming those shots as self-defense... Mar 23, 2021
- @Quaferu Different jurisdictions. Different bail rules. Mar 23, 2021
- @donasarkar @MicrosoftTeams @DVF Amen. Honesty, Cortana lost out very early in our home smart speaker setup as Cortana could never understand my wife. Mar 22, 2021
- @TheOldPoet @JusticeLiberty0 @Rocket_1981 @ziggystardad @jdd2169 @JDW714 @ConcernedinPV @Merry_Hippie @1Quetzalcoatl1 @Vickie627 @_Kel_Varnsen_ @chrisg409ubc @RAGINxCAJUN @JenCages @TaiDecker @BSHerrle @henriziolkowski @LHudson @instinctnaturel @Emma34770971 @ziggystardad7 @medwoman1 @PoliticsPot @MistressRedWasp @Sinner_Lilith @laylow88861429 @Brian_Alford @ahrehead @atumpkins11 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @PaulStetson13 @peeps_joey @TraderTime411 @hrt6017 @mesenjero @Prince3eb @RickySi16087724 @_FarrahMoody @CC_CodysCanine @22CB22CB @secretlyAkat @Joshua33180945 @CarrieAMaze23 @psfnyc5 @mathmomma24 @ThePartyOfLogic @JoeZ56590020 @CamyS_2016 @mrreynolds1 @TimmyYorkie Running at new people with a held

- weapon after gunning someone down while refusing all calls for an explanation? Yeah, if Mr. Rittenhouse blew off cops asking for an explanation, they probably would have lit him up and justifiably so. Mar 22, 2021
- @SonVlads @RhinoRamirez6 @tvdfan_andmore @GOPLeader @JohnCornyn "Guys it was self-defense!" from Mr. Rittenhouse might have changed the moral and legal landscape significantly. Unfortunately, the lives of the people concerned he was going to kill again weren't worth words to Mr. Rittenhouse. Mar 22, 2021
- @SonVlads @RhinoRamirez6 @tvdfan_andmore @GOPLeader @JohnCornyn If you watch the video with audio, everyone is yelling "he shot someone!" and "stop him!" as he is running toward more protestors (well ahead of the police line). This is a matter of firing on people engaged in lawful third-party defense against an armed&dangerous suspect. Mar 22, 2021
- @SonVlads @RhinoRamirez6 @tvdfan_andmore @GOPLeader @JohnCornyn Irrelevant. Wisconsin privileges citizens to act as third-party defenders of others should the circumstances warrant. A gunmen running toward more protestors with a held weapon after gunning someone down and then refusing to address the matter likely qualifies. Mar 22, 2021
- @JessicaLantz1 @JustFreedom1776 @johnniewalkerd1 JusticeFreedom is an account near dedicated to #kylerittenhouse defense. Honestly, I'd block and move on. The account will never admit those responding to shooting #1 likely were operating under lawful third-party defense of everyone Mr. Rittenhouse was running toward. Mar 22, 2021
- @StandWithAZ @AllLove4Philly @johncardillo Mr. Rittenhouse had already wildly fired twice... Mar 21, 2021
- @RhinoRamirez6 @tvdfan_andmore @GOPLeader @JohnCornyn Legally incorrect. If you shoot someone, ignore all pleas for answers, and run at new people with a held weapon, you have very likely triggered 939.48(4) (4) privilege among the confused and scared people worried their friends and loved ones are next. Mar 21, 2021
- @RhinoRamirez6 @tvdfan_andmore @GOPLeader @JohnCornyn The folks intercepting Mr. Rittenhouse after shooting #1 were very likely acting in lawful third-party defense on behalf of everyone he was running toward with a held weapon. Lethal self-defense is only lawful against unlawful Interference. Mar 21, 2021
- @frankapizzi @HalBlackspear @TalbertSwan Core legal problem is that for shooting #2, Mr. Rittenhouse fired on people very likely engaged in lawful third-party defense. The self-defense statute only applies to unlawful interference. "Hey guys, it was self-defense" likely needed to come before more bullets. Mar 20, 2021
- @ElJefe080 @Liam95263538 @ShoshanaHantman @JackPosobiec I'm sure that line will be used on the jury. Mar 20, 2021
- @ElJefe080 @Liam95263538 @ShoshanaHantman @JackPosobiec No, the charging docs literally demonstrate two minutes of applying a hold on someone already in handcuffs without a pulse, right down to the time stamps, over the advice of the surrounding officers If you don't see the potential legal problems with that, ok. Mar 20, 2021
- @ElJefe080 @Liam95263538 @ShoshanaHantman @JackPosobiec Two dozen times for two minutes after no pulse has been detected? Mar 20, 2021

- @ElJefe080 @Liam95263538 @ShoshanaHantman @JackPosobiec You clearly don't get the relevance of applying force to someone who doesn't have a pulse (provably no longer a threat). No procedure backs that elective action. Mar 20, 2021
- @ElJefe080 @Liam95263538 @ShoshanaHantman @JackPosobiec You clearly haven't read the charging document. It isn't legally advisable to keep someone in a hold for two minutes after you've already determined their pulse has stopped. Mar 20, 2021
- @Liam95263538 @ElJefe080 @ShoshanaHantman @JackPosobiec Literally not true. The death was ruled a homicide by the coroner. Bullshit dies in court. Mar 20, 2021
- @jbouie People adjust their concerns with their level of income. It becomes more "can I remodel the kitchen" this year vs. "can I make rent". A person's attitude toward money don't really change as income goes up. Many will always find a way to feel they aren't rich. Mar 20, 2021
- @verbalese Sedition. Not treason. You need to aid a foreign adversary for the big T word. Mar 20, 2021
- @norightairpod I saw a picture of him with a conservative reporter who hocks their coffee with an associate link. Maybe you saw a picture I didn't. Be well. Mar 19, 2021
- @norightairpod I'm not. I really don't like them. I'd just say disproven claims simply give them room to say they are being unfairly accused of support they didn't provide.
 Generally, the use of their brand here wasn't authorized. https://t.co/lg0sIqNEhp Mar 19, 2021
- @norightairpod Honestly, I suspect it was Mr. Rittenhouse's former fascist lawyer John Pierce trying to coop the brand, in order to boost the appeal of his client. It's an old trick, and anyone can order a shirt. Why the coffee company had to squash it fast. They wanted no part of this. Mar 19, 2021
- @norightairpod Someone who deeply disagrees with everything Mr. Rittenhouse did. Not a fan at all, nor am I of the coffee company. Disinformation just isn't helpful. There's no evidence that company supported Mr. Rittenhouse in any explicit capacity. More like a bad use of an affiliate link. Mar 19, 2021
- @naplesjo76 @stevenwynne15 @IBF_007 @Shiasaidit @bachelordiscuss @mattjames919 @mattjames You think that uniform is real?;) Mar 19, 2021
- @norightairpod Honestly, that coffee company was explicit they are not supporting him. https://t.co/QX4oy9fkFC Mar 19, 2021
- @Rocket_1981 @ziggystardad @jdd2169 @JDW714 @ConcernedinPV
 @Merry_Hippie @1Quetzalcoatl1 @Vickie627 @TheOldPoet @_Kel_Varnsen_
 @chrisg409ubc @RAGINxCAJUN @JenCages @TaiDecker @BSHerrle
 @henriziolkowski @LHudson @instinctnaturel @Emma34770971 @ziggystardad7
 @medwoman1 @PoliticsPot @MistressRedWasp @Sinner_Lilith @laylow88861429
 @Brian_Alford @ahrehead @atumpkins11 @LadyOfTheOcean1 @PaulStetson13
 @peeps_joey @TraderTime411 @hrt6017 @mesenjero @Prince3eb @RickySi16087724
 @_FarrahMoody @CC_CodysCanine @22CB22CB @secretlyAkat @Joshua33180945
 @CarrieAMaze23 @psfnyc5 @mathmomma24 @ThePartyOfLogic @JoeZ56590020
 @CamyS_2016 @mrreynolds1 @TimmyYorkie @TXMac58 Mr. Rittenhouse's problem is he killed someone clearly engaged in lawful third-party defense. It is a bad idea to panic bystanders by killing someone, refuse to provide any explanations, and then run toward new people with a held weapon ready to kill again. Mar 19, 2021 ₱

- @RexThe2nd The second time was against people engaged in lawful third-party defense. Lethal force can only be used on assessed unlawful interference. Mar 18, 2021
- @econmikeinsz @Jdhughes099 @mmm_kantaloupe @mattyglesias The prosecutor has threaded it as a reckless homicide and reckless endangerment that then lead to intentional homicide. Basically, two shots had been fired before Huber went in with this skateboard. On his way out, Mr. Rittenhouse intentionally fired. It was a decision. Mar 18, 2021
- @Jdhughes099 @econmikeinsz @mmm_kantaloupe @mattyglesias Not defending him in the slightest myself. If nothing else, the fact he illegally purchased his weapon isn't helpful. Wasn't simply a borrow. Mar 18, 2021
- @Jdhughes099 @econmikeinsz @mmm_kantaloupe @mattyglesias Wasn't from another state. Was illegally straw purchased for Mr. Rittenhouse in Wisconsin by Mr. Black, who is facing 12 years for this, with or without a self-defense argument being successful. Mar 18, 2021
- @realGordonRose @EliskorSakura @AdamGoldberg22 @tombobreaker @badgerwocky @LeadStoriesCom If you kill someone, ignore pleas for answers, and run at more people with a held AR-15, you have very likely triggered 939.48(4)(4) privilege allowed per statute, on behalf of everyone in the shooter's path. "Hey guys, it was self defense" might have changed that. Mar 18, 2021
- @realGordonRose @EliskorSakura @AdamGoldberg22 @tombobreaker @badgerwocky @LeadStoriesCom Mr. Rittenhouse killed someone clearly engaged in lawful third-party defense of people he was running toward after his first shooting. You can only use lethal force against perceived unlawful interference. Not a guaranteed conviction, but per statute, likely murder. Mar 18, 2021
- @shrey_z Only demonstrates the boy who thinks he's a man belongs in the "ex" column. Mar 18, 2021
- @econmikeinsz @mmm_kantaloupe @Jdhughes099 @mattyglesias Yes, toward potential new victims with a held AR-15, right after killing someone. Hence why everyone has lawful third-party defense privilege. Mar 18, 2021
- @econmikeinsz @mmm_kantaloupe @Jdhughes099 @mattyglesias Maybe he was trying to escape and was going to mow down the protestors and the police in his way. You're asking a lot of people who need to make sense of a shooting and someone running toward new people with a held AR-15 who is ignoring all calls for answers. Mar 18, 2021
- @econmikeinsz @mmm_kantaloupe @Jdhughes099 @mattyglesias People asked Mr. Rittenhouse why he shot someone for 45 seconds and were yelling "he shot someone!" and "stop him!". That's Mr. Rittenhouse's core legal problem. Their concern with him was very clear and communicated well. He didn't give two shits. Their lives weren't worth words Mar 18, 2021
- @econmikeinsz @mmm_kantaloupe @Jdhughes099 @mattyglesias Mr. Rittenhouse killed someone he convinced was a shooter on the loose, without a word of explanation. That's the core problem. He shot someone acting in lawful third party defense, and arguably, words should have come before bullets. Mar 18, 2021
- @Vii43950778 @PupArtimus @penguingothel @EugeneLeeYang Fair point. Mar 18, 2021
- @Vii43950778 @PupArtimus @penguingothel @EugeneLeeYang With respect, the trial is purely whether the government can conclusively prove a crime and apply punishment.

- Mr. Rittenhouse killed someone truly convinced he was a shooter on the loose, without a word of explanation. Whether that is murder or not will always be contentious. Mar 18, 2021
- @shepard84716870 @UR_Ninja It was a mutually agreed upon delay. Neither side was ready for the trial to start by March 29th. Mar 18, 2021
- @CaptAmazo I'd you block like maybe 15 accounts, it largely goes away. 5 in particular. Mar 18, 2021
- @johnhawkinsrwn Sucks to be his trusting friend. Mar 18, 2021
- @Christi13418252 @NickAtNews @nytimes @GiuliaMcDonnell There are two kids in the picture. This is what murderous assholes do. They make people decide between dying beside their wife or surviving to raise their kids. Mar 18, 2021
- @ayushi7rawat LOGO Mar 17, 2021 🗗
- @barnes_law @freekyleusa @RekietaMedia Very likely an incorrect read of the statute. Mar 17, 2021
- @campbell_loy You know what, the last word is yours. Have a good night. Mar 17, 2021
- @campbell_loy You clearly haven't seen the whole assembled video that shows the 45 seconds after shooting #1. It's very damning. Mar 17, 2021
- @campbell_loy If you shoot someone with a high powered rifle, and then run into new people clutching said gun at low ready, it's legally advisable to make a self-defense claim before resorting to shooting the panicked, scared, and yes angry bystanders. Pro-tip of the week. Mar 17, 2021
- @RapeMatters @campbell_loy The video is very clear he didn't hear Mr. Rittenhouse clearly. Bullshit dies in court. Mar 17, 2021
- @campbell_loy Legally irrelevant. He was responding to a known shooter with a held AR-15 running toward new people, who had refused to address why he had shot someone. Clear legal third-party defense Frankly, this is the "good guy with the gun". In a sane political environment, you'd see that Mar 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @campbell_loy Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't say things to help him earlier. Here's his classic "I'd stay safe but be vicious". https://t.co/q0dLxT8Iq1 He doesn't view anyone who might get the wrong idea about him worth even trying to save. In his own words, he's vicious. Mar 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @campbell_loy Legally irrelevant. It speaks to a complete lack of empathy, to be 100% oblivious to the idea that multiple people now view him to be a lethally armed threat running toward new people. I see this indifference in eight year-olds all the time. Kid missed a developmental step. Mar 16, 2021
- @RapeMatters @campbell_loy Mr. Rittenhouse would need to believe people aren't trying to intercept a dangerous shooter on the loose still dangerously armed. Frankly, the VAST majority of the shouts are "he shot someone!" and "stop him!". You see a stretch where I don't. For a jury to decide. Mar 16, 2021
- @campbell_loy 1) He's not in jail 2) Mr. Rittenhouse shot people responding to a shooting who were likely acting in lawful third-party defense. Self-defense is only valid against unlawful Interference. Hence why he is in deep. Mar 16, 2021
- @Capn_Crunchynut Mr. Grosskreutz never had a clean shot that wouldn't have endangered others. He's literally the trained good guy with the gun, and unfortunately sometimes you lose when you have principles. Mar 16, 2021

- @OwenORourke7 They get off on the fact that Anthony Huber and Gaige Grosskreutz were tricked into getting shot. Full stop. Mar 15, 2021
- @WillHil56004860 @JustFreedom1776 @drunkAI3 @CryptoJudge99 @ochocinco Frankly, it's a bit of a double dip prosecution. Try to convict the adult for giving a minor with bad judgement a weapon and also try to convict the minor who the law recognizes has bad judgement. I'd convict on at least reckless homicide but an acquittal wouldn't surprise me. Mar 15, 2021
- @WillHil56004860 @JustFreedom1776 @drunkAI3 @CryptoJudge99 @ochocinco Dominick Black is the individual who purchased Mr. Rittenhouse the weapon and drove him there. Mom had nothing to do with it. https://t.co/Lg4tfog5Dw He is facing up to 12 years in prison for this. Mar 15, 2021 2021
- @AFriendlyDad Have you sent this to the prosecutors? They have email. Seriously, "I'd be safe and stay vicious" seems like something they should know about. Mar 15, 2021
- @Bobs_Burgers20 I'm sure Dominick Black is amused. Regardless of Mr. Rittenhouse's case, Mr. Black is on the hook for up to six years for that death. Mar 15, 2021
- @JustFreedom1776 @WillHil56004860 @drunkAI3 @CryptoJudge99 @ochocinco In short, Mr. Rittenhouse would need to reasonably believe the people trying to stop him aren't trying to stop a shooter on the loose, otherwise his use of lethal force is invalid. Not a completely impossible defense, but not an easy one either. Mar 15, 2021
- @JustFreedom1776 @WillHil56004860 @drunkAI3 @CryptoJudge99 @ochocinco Gentlemen, for shooting #2, if respondents are trying to stop a shooter after shooting someone, they are likely engaged in lawful third-party defense on behalf of everyone Mr. Rittenhouse is running toward. Mr. Rittenhouse can only fire on assessed unlawful interference. Mar 15, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus I'm not giving anyone any benefit of the doubt. I'm merely saying you can't draw conclusions from incredibly blurry video. Certainly, witness testimony will play into this, as will Mr. Rittenhouse's testimony if he takes the stand. Mar 15, 2021
- @parkstorm @cspanwj The high power rifle was allegedly unlawfully straw purchased for him by Dominick Black, who is facing 12 years in prison for his role in the two deaths. Mar 15, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @ShovelingYou @BoozeNNews Vanilla stuff most likely DNA wise. Confirming the weapon in custody is the one from the shootings. Determining if Mr. Rosenbaum touched the weapon. Determining who loaded the lethal ammunition. Etc... Mar 15, 2021
- @OwenORourke7 @stevenwynne15 Honestly, I don't see a forensic video analysis changing much here. DNA testing probably is for vanilla confirmation that the weapon in custody is in fact the one used. The most discovery probably lies in filling the gaps not established in video (witnesses, etc...) Mar 15, 2021
- @bryan_wheelock @EricRAv8r @BULLCITYBOI @nypost @DLoesch Has nothing to do with politics. Rosenbaum's buddy is being prosecuted for arson and his weapon discharge. Charges are going out where probable cause exists. Frankly, the city probably owes Grosskreutz a few million bucks. Mar 15, 2021
- @tedcruz Snowflake Mar 14, 2021
- @PadraigClark @derrickvanorden @RobertPLewis @1st_praetorian Snowflake Mar 14, 2021 @

- @derrickvanorden Snowflake Mar 14, 2021
- @TheAnimatedBat A friend of mine who watched this with me asked why they couldn't have just wound up together. I had to explain that one is a murderer and one wasn't. Too great a divide. Mar 14, 2021
- Beautiful Day in Fairfax!:) Got to see the my Dad and his wife for the first time without masks, thanks to the wonderful vaccines:) Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus I wouldn't put full stock in blurry footage. It's possible as noted in the video Mr. Rittenhouse pointed his weapon at someone who isn't Mr. Rosenbaum and then Mr. Rosenbaum tried to do something about it. Again, everyone is cagey on this. Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus Honestly, no video shows what initially triggered the confrontation. Even the defense team and Mr. Rittenhouse have offered two potential accounts. Frankly, everyone is cagey there. Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus "We appreciate you guys we really do" very much puts the the liability for that more on the police and perhaps less on Mr. Rittenhouse, hence the \$20mil in lawsuits. But the unlawful provocation is still the same, be it enabled by idiot adults. Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus Yeah, it's anger at having people illegally patrol them with held weapons as part of an illegal militia. It's a provocation, be it causing anger, not fear. It's a messy situation because the police arguably gave Mr. Rittenhouse and the militia unofficial permission. 1/2 Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus Again, an acquittal wouldn't surprise me, but the two cases are very different and a concealed pistol doesn't have the provocative impact as a held AR-15, which seems important to the prosecution here. If nothing else, this highlights the dangerous ambiguities of Op carry .2/2 Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus Read the GQ article. Another reporter explicitly warned Mr. Rittenhouse he was coming across as threatening. It just didn't register. And frankly, straying into a neighborhood is not the same as being on an explicit self-annoited civil order mission. 1/2 Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus It factors into circumstances and totality. Did the defendant in that case have a held long range weapon, whose carry style had been explicitly warned against by his own side? An acquittal wouldn't surprise me, but different weapons and carry styles. Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus And yes, Mr. Rittenhouse physically retreated. Certainly that will factor into a self-defense claim. Mar 13, 2021
- @_AuberonHerbert @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus Bye Mar 13, 2021 P
- @_AuberonHerbert @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus A held weapon a second or two away from killing everyone around them? Let's call that a gray area. Mar 13, 2021

- @_AuberonHerbert @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus Not a good argument for a court room. Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus Basically, armed self-defense is for when trouble finds you, not for when you proactively go looking for trouble. Hence why armed militias are unlawful when not under civil command. Mar 13, 2021
- @RapeMatters @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus I say situational, because if you go looking for danger with a weapon in violation of curfew with a "we don't have nonlethal" attitude and a threatening carry that two conservative reporters noted, it's a recipe for reckless homicide. Public projection of armed force gone bad Mar 13, 2021
- @JuriPekka @barnes_law Completely untrue frankly. Multiple people told Mr. Rittenhouse his carry style was inherently threatening, and he didn't care. That assessment is also featured in the criminal complaint. Guy facing 12 years for giving him the weapon is up a creek. Mar 13, 2021
- @EAnzorean @barnes_law The case is Wisconsin vs Rittenhouse chief, not Rosenbaum vs Rittenhouse. You should reflect on why. Mar 13, 2021
- @DanDanBell @barnes_law The case is Wisconsin vs Rittenhouse chief, not Rosenbaum vs Rittenhouse. You should reflect on that. Mar 13, 2021
- @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus And hunting. Always forget hunting. Of animals, not people. Mar 13, 2021
- @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus The 2nd amendment use cases are 1) castle defense 2) Situational self-defense 3) Situational third-party defense of people 3) overthrow of a corrupt government 4) deputized support of government. Militias outside of civil command are illegal. Mar 13, 2021
- @GeneD2112 @_AuberonHerbert @Chubs1224 @LPMisesCaucus In Wisconsin, you need to be an agent of the owner of the property to defend it, and if you are armed, be 21+ and have gone through training so you know how not to manslaughter people. Mar 13, 2021
- @barnes law Immaterial to the case. Mar 13, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Honestly, it's not a bad read. Frankly, they're pretty fair to all parties. https://t.co/dL4TPc6ndJ Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Uh, no, that is factually incorrect. I'm sorry, maybe you should read the GQ article that just came out. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law I said the beginning of the chase, which wasn't at the gas station. It was at the car lot down the road. Different locations dude. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law You don't have a grasp of the timeline or the locations. You just don't. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law That isn't WHEN the chase started. Police had ordered people to leave and a significant amount of time passes. Gaige and Huber were no longer at that location when the chase started, they were down the street. Again, BS dies in court. Mar 12, 2021

- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Mr. Huber was not where the chase started. Gaige was not where the chase started. Again, these are just basic facts. Again, I hope a fair defense doesn't try your nonsense. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law If you shoot someone, ignore all calls for answers, maintain a held weapon, and run toward a playground full of kids, you might have several aggressors who take interest in stopping you. And lots of what you said is simply factually not true. Again, bullshit dies in court.. Mar 12, 2021
- @TheWand36470391 @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Legally, that is not true. Legally, you can act on an "apparent" threat. To argue the elements aren't there for that is frankly a stretch. Mar 12, 2021
- @TheWand36470391 @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law No. Lethal self-defense can only be used against "unlawful interference". Again, he is an armed&dangerous suspect who has non-denialed shooting anyone and yes, is running toward more protestors with a held weapon. Legal privilege to stop this kid likely is present. Mar 12, 2021
- @TheWand36470391 @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law It does actually. The "reasonable" standard factors in age when assessing what is reasonable. And frankly, the under-18 possession law is fairly explicit the adult gets blame for deaths, when they occur, which is instructive if you can't find Utter Disregard for Human Life Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @TheWand36470391 @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law No. Mr. Rittenhouse never got a good look at Mr. Huber before he trigger pooled. I hope for the sake of a fair defense they don't use your arguments. Mar 12, 2021
- @TheWand36470391 @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Again, a non-denial from someone who just shot someone isn't particularly helpful here. And frankly, "going to the police" in the heat of the moment could be taken as them being his next target. It's fair to say Mr. Rittenhouse sucks at this. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @TheWand36470391 @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law That's a horrible assumption to make. Mr. Huber wasn't an aggressor earlier that night, neither was Gaige. But the legal question really is what can you expect of a 17 year old enabled into this at every step of the way. I'd say that is a fair question and one for the jury. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @TheWand36470391 @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Completely not true. Again, bullshit will fall apart in court. Mr. Rittenhouse ran past a surprised Gaige, who desperately asked what happened? Mr. Rittenhouse blew him off with a non-denial and ran toward more people. The rest is history. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @TheWand36470391 @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Complete bullshit and irrelevant. Was the guy who tried to kick Mr. Rittenhouse at the gas station? In the seconds they had to act, did they really

- make that connection? Because, really, after those two first bullets, everything is very different. Mar 12, 2021
- @TheWand36470391 @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law 1) Mr. Gaige asked him if he shot someone and the answer was a troubling non-denial. 2) It's clear the message wasn't heard well, as Gaige immediately asked for clarification, which he didn't get. The phone mic prob worked better than human ears Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @TheWand36470391 @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Completely not true. Bullshit will crumble in court faster than you can imagine. That said, there isn't a guaranteed conviction here. Kid was airdropped into this by irresponsible adults, notably Dominick Black. He's already getting up to 12 years for all of this. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law The handgun and the skateboard aren't relevant. Mr. Rittenhouse wildly fired two bullets at the guy trying to jump kick him. If those bullets are wrongful, everything afterward is 100% justified. Really, it all comes down to that moment, as the charges indicate. Mar 12, 2021
- @TheWand36470391 @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law He was running toward protestors holding signs, and the police, who he might be looking to kill. Again, this is all happening fast, and its unfortunate Mr. Rittenhouse ignored pleas for answers for 45 seconds. No one was doing this for fun. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Under the Law in Wisconsin, that isn't an absolute. If you shoot someone unexplained, ignore pleas for answers, and then run at new people with a held weapon, you've very likely triggered legal defensive privilege for other people. Shooting at those people is legally tenuous. Mar 12, 2021
- @digitalaether @wutangmexican11 @AcornFrances @MomofDukey @barnes_law Frankly, Mr. Rittenhouse's biggest liability is the people intercepting him after shooting #1 probably were operating under lawful third-party defense on behalf of everyone he was running toward with the still held weapon. Self-defense only is valid against unlawful interference Mar 12, 2021
- @AngloRemnant @WSJ @Isegoria I don't recall libel. More just that they don't have a deal with this kid. Mar 12, 2021
- @emeckejr The world isn't ready for seamless and cheap digital transfer of information and disinformation. This much is true. Mar 12, 2021
- @emeckejr Yeah no, the primary target was Facebook which was always a long shot. Mar 12, 2021
- @confusedcacti Stop insulting turds! Mar 11, 2021
- @adamscrabble @Cernovich @barnes_law @thevivafrei I don't get the concern frankly. Mar 11, 2021
- @deneenknews Curious how DNA plays into this. Possibly trying to figure out who actually came into physical contact with the gun itself? Mar 11, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff I disagree but you be you. Mar 10, 2021

- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff "Guys it was self-defense!" would have been effortless. The idea words would have insured lethality is laughable when the opposite happens quite often. Given he did shoot a guy and ran into new people at low ready, it was the least he could do. Mar 10, 2021
- @summer7570 Probably for the exact reasons brought up by the attorneys. Discovery is a big one. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff No, the person could say "Guys it didn't happen" or if it did, "It was self-defense fellas". Words, said loudly and affirmatively, would have drastically changed the dynamics here, and quite possibly the outcome. Being aloof for 45 seconds wasn't smart. Mar 10, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff What I'm saying is this case likely hinges on what expectations you put on the 17-year old enabled by stupid adults every step of the way. An acquittal based on youth and inexperience wouldn't surprise me. It's clear per 948.60(2)(c) the providing adult gets blame. Mar 10, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff It comes down to reasonable expectations. I'm 41. I'm wise enough to verbally try to deescalate things before resorting to lethal force. It's amazing what you can talk yourself out of. Mr. Rittenhouse simply doesn't have those tools though. He's a frightened kid. Mar 10, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff That is the argument by the defense counsel. But that requires Mr. Rittenhouse believes their interference is unlawful. A "Guys, it was self-defense!" would have shifted the moral and legal dynamics of this. Problem is Mr. Rittenhouse is a panicked 17 year old kid. Mar 10, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff Honestly, immaterial. There is a reason why "real or apparent" is in the 3rd person defense statute. Everyone is scared, angry, and arguably in shock. Again, a minor should never have been put in this situation by a 19 year old adult. I'm sympathetic to that. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff Your opinion is your own, but frankly, by your standards someone could shoot someone unexplained, and run toward a playground full of children with a held weapon, gunning down everyone trying to stop him. A bit hard to swallow frankly. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff The held long range weapon contributed to the perception of an apparent threat. Again, no one is doing this for fun. And a jump kick isn't a "stomp". Not fun to be hit with, but very different attacks. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff No, shoulder hit at best. Again, the police thoroughly examined Mr. Rittenhouse for injuries. All they found were scratches on the hands. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't hit on the head with the skateboard. The police's medical exam showed no signs of head trauma. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff Defense of the protestors and police Mr. Rittenhouse is running toward with a held weapon after shooting someone without explanation. Everyone is yelling "Stop him!" and "He shot someone!". The video is nothing but authentic. Mar 10, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff Mr. Rittenhouse did not call the police. He called his buddy. Mar 10, 2021

- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff A dangerously armed suspect running toward new people after shooting someone without explanation? Yeah, some force is allowable there. Mar 10, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff The adult who straw purchased the weapon for Mr. Rittenhouse. He's on the hook for up to six years per death, regardless of any self-defense claim. Frankly, that one is 99% open & shut. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff In Wisconsin, you can use self-defense against "unlawful interference". Everyone intercepting Mr. Rittenhouse after shooting #2 was likely acting in lawful third-party defense. And frankly, it was pretty obvious. But then, again, Mr. Rittenhouse is 17. Not lost on me. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff Self-defense yes. Legal self-defense, reasonable people can disagree. Firing on people convinced you are a killer on the loose has legal complications frankly. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Yes, I saw the prior hearings. The primary beefs were: 1) Is it one felony count or two? 2) Did the police follow the rules filing their compliant? None of which are compelling defenses frankly. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff No, every killing bullet was felonious. The only question is whether Mr. Rittenhouse pays the tab along with Mr. Black. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff I think its very reasonable to say every trigger pull is a decision. Again, perhaps you disagree. That's fine. Mr. Black probably wishes Mr. Rittenhouse hadn't taken that fourth shot; it sucks to be his trusting friend. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff I disagree. By bullet #3, there's simply no prayer he would have ever managed to get the weapon and any lunge was clearly a fail and a miss. But again, reasonable people might disagree here. Mar 10, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @wudupkylie @GabeHoff It's in sequential order. If you understand what binary search is, you can find it inside of a two minutes. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff Lower left corner in split video. Pause right before the fourth bullet. https://t.co/PDe0Cp4NIQ Mar 10, 2021 @
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff Militias are explicitly illegal per Wisconsin statute. See article 20 of their Constitution. And yes, the militia guy patrolling with Rittenhouse wrote a whole blog about it. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff And yes, from the right camera angle, he's clearly down. Maybe not flat but certainly no longer a threat. The fourth bullet frankly is a tad much. Mar 10, 2021
- @wudupkylie @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff In many jurisdictions, if you field yourself in a known dangerous situation with a gun as your proclaimed only way out as part of an illegal militia during a lawful curfew and then shoot someone, you're in deep shit. To think otherwise is to court tragedy. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 In other words, "I would have won, but he can't afford me." Classic bravado. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 There is a reason why no one is investing in Mr. Black's case and why he is now desperately seeking a public defender. But sure, happy to see how this plays out. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Irrelevant. Mar 10, 2021

- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Irrelevant. Take your own weapon out of the safe. Don't take the minor's illegally purchased weapon out. This isn't hard. Mar 10, 2021
- @RapeMatters @demo4freekyle @FireForEffect2 It isn't nontrivial. You're correct. Mar 10, 2021
- @RapeMatters @demo4freekyle @FireForEffect2 There is no lawful owner. That's kinda the point of a straw purchase. Mr. Rittenhouse paid \$1,200 for the weapon. It's frankly a bad joke to pretend no one knew conceptually whose weapon it was, despite the ineffective barrier to access the step-Dad put in place post-sale. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Then why did they take Mr. Rittenhouse's money and buy the weapon? Why not say, "sorry kid, wait till you're 18." Limited edition paint job? Seriously, this logic will fall apart in court. Kid paid for the weapon. Kid got the weapon. People dead. Full stop. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 His son is facing 12 years in prison. The rioting from what I understand never was remotely close to Mr. Black senior's residence. Sounds like a moron to me. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Um, no, he didn't say that. As a matter of fact, he admitted he knew Mr. Rittenhouse shouldn't have had the weapon AS HE PROVIDED TRANSPORTATION from the property to the riot. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 No, frankly it seems to be beyond you that Mr. Black and Mr. Rittenhouse have competing legal interests here. Frankly, Mr. Black recklessly endangering Mr. Rittenhouse is a card the defense should be playing.

 Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 "Access to that weapon at any time" is irrelevant. I've kept stuff I own in storage facilities. I can't just go anytime I want. Money changed hands. It was a sale. Mr. Rittenhouse clearly felt the gun was his. Full stop. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 That statute is about sale to adults, for all intents and purposes. The minor statute has explicit liability language for what happens when death occurs when a minor discharges a weapon. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Irrelevant and besides the point. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 You act like a full confession hasn't already been given: https://t.co/jVYlguPRt6 I mean, Mr. Black really really needed to discuss this with a lawyer before talking to the cops. Mar 10, 2021
- @RapeMatters @demo4freekyle @FireForEffect2 The homeowner decided to address the crime by locking up the weapon. And then like a moron took it out of the safe. One of the many mistakes adults made that evening. But probably not strictly illegal. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Irrelevant. Mr. Black didn't put the gun in the safe. It was the homeowner who made that call, after the illegal straw purchase. Mar 10, 2021
- @RapeMatters @demo4freekyle @FireForEffect2 Mr. Rittenhouse wanted the gun, gave Mr. Black the money to procure said weapon, and Mr. Black purchased the weapon Mr. Rittenhouse wanted, furnishing access on multiple occasions. This is purely a follow the money kind of thing fellas. Mar 10, 2021

- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Yes "sells". As in accepting money, lying on federal gun ownership paperwork, and providing a weapon to a minor, along with free storage so Mama doesn't find out. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Irrelevant. If early access to the weapon wasn't the point, the purchase could have easily been made on Mr. Rittenhouse's 18th birthday. As Mr. Black's Dad can attest, yes they used the weapon before the night of the shooting. Mar 10, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 With respect, the charges regarding Mr. Black involve "selling", per statute. Mr. Black took Mr. Rittenhouse's money and furnished him the weapon. And yes, Mr. Rittenhouse was provided access to the weapon. Basically a sale with free storage to keep mama from getting mad. Mar 10, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 The charges have been filed for Dominick Black. https://t.co/Lg4tfog5Dw Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Mr. Black is not Mr. Rittenhouse's legal guardian or parent. Not relevant. According to Mr. Black, he didn't give Mr. Rittenhouse the weapon that night. Mr. Rittenhouse simply retrieved the gun he knew was his. Only the straw purchase enabled that. Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 Mr. Black's Dad locked up the weapon, as he knew Mr. Rittenhouse didn't have a hunting cert, but unlocked it during the civil unrest. Mr. Rittenhouse retrieved the gun sold to him. 2/2 Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 The purchase question isn't unclear at all frankly. Mr. Rittenhouse gave Mr. Black money. Mr. Black bought the weapon in his name, with Mr. Rittenhouse's money, with the understanding Mr. Rittenhouse could use the weapon before he turned 18. Already confessed. 1/2 Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 To me its pretty clear Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't allowed to have the weapon. He wasn't in affirmative compliance with the statutes which would have enabled an exception to the under-18 rule. And it's likely he knew, having arranged the straw purchase of the weapon. Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 A fair analogy. And another example of why you shouldn't talk to the cops without a lawyer. Mr. Black confessed to the cops he knew the carry wasn't legal. This statute violation affects him most, and ambiguity is a hard defense for him now. Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 It's not about meeting both sections, it is about meeting one or the other. Hence the word " and ". "not in compliance with ss. 29.304 and 29.593 " If you are 17, 29.593 is the path for compliance. Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 No, I'm saying he is "not in compliance" because he can't be. Compliance is an affirmative set of actions you take to enable permission to do things. Mar 09, 2021
- @RapeMatters @FireForEffect2 29.304 is a titled 16-and-under statute. Mr. Rittenhouse technically can't be in compliance with it, as he was 17 at the time. 29.593 is the elective compliance path for legal carry if you are 17. Mar 09, 2021
- @AngusMonfree @10Flights_ @GabeHoff Hope Dominick Black feels those killing bullets were worth it. He's getting up to 6 years per kill, for a whopping 12 years in prison. Sucks to be Mr. Rittenhouse's trusting friend. Mar 09, 2021
- @AngusMonfree @10Flights_ @GabeHoff Rule of Law dictates his near two decades in prison were the punishment for those crimes. Want more? Pass tougher Laws. Using said

- crimes as justification post fact for a potential extrajudicial kill is fascism 101. The gypsies were the first to go in the 30s. Mar 09, 2021
- @FireForEffect2 The statute reads "not in compliance", not "non-compliance". Mr. Rittenhouse didn't take elective compliance actions that would have legalized the carry. Think learners permit for driving cars. Same concept. Mar 09, 2021
- @FireForEffect2 Very likely a misread of the statute. Mar 09, 2021
- @ibogost Superhuman aim. They could do better at showcasing that. Mar 09, 2021
- @10Flights_ @GabeHoff There is a chance of an acquittal. My point is the opinion of one jury won't constrain how people will evaluate Mr. Rittenhouse's actions. Really, all the trial is for is whether the government gets the go-ahead to do something about Mr. Rittenhouse's actions or not. Mar 09, 2021
- @AngelKa36216359 @GabeHoff Four shots from a high powered rifle, including one to the back after the unarmed guy is already on the ground, is debatable force for someone who technically hasn't touched you. As I said, reasonable people might disagree on that.

 Mar 09, 2021
- @ChaosCharza @RekietaMedia My take is that if this was a simple misunderstanding of statute, the magistrate would have never let it get past probable cause. "Oh, that's a rifle" or "oh, you're 17" seems pretty basic stuff to dismiss on. But hey, I could be wrong. Mar 09, 2021
- @ChaosCharza @RekietaMedia In all honesty, the jury can ask the judge would any 17 year old without a hunter's permit be able to carry the weapon. Judge would say no, and they'd probably run with that answer. But honestly, Dominick Black is the one up the creek on this one. Mar 09, 2021
- @ChaosCharza @RekietaMedia Probably a misread of the statute frankly. Statute reads "not in compliance" not "noncompliance". Basically, Mr. Rittenhouse didn't take elective compliance actions that would have enabled a lawful carry. Mar 09, 2021
- @_UniqueLaura_ @RekietaMedia @YouTube Probably a misread of the statute frankly. Statute reads "not in compliance" not "noncompliance". Basically, Mr. Rittenhouse didn't take elective compliance actions that would have enabled a lawful carry. Mar 09, 2021
- @Slugbert @YouTube Probably a misread of the statute. Law says "not in compliance", not "noncompliance". Mar 09, 2021
- @greg_rage @YouTube Probably a misread of the statute. Statute reads "not in compliance", not "noncompliance". Mar 09, 2021
- @MrBCWalker @YouTube I don't own a restaurant. I'm not in noncompliance with health ordinances but am also "not in compliance" (statute wording) with them either. Mar 08, 2021
- @MrBCWalker @YouTube 1) He's misreading the statute. 2) the statute says "not in compliance", not "non-compliance" 3) this isn't an unclear point; Dominick Black in his police interview confessed Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't allowed to have the weapon. Mar 08, 2021
- @GabeHoff A trial is somewhat immaterial here. In countless jurisdictions, his actions would be considered murder, and frankly, reasonable people will disagree for years. Kid fired on people trying to stop a killer on the loose, without a word of explanation. Mar 08, 2021
- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 In short, not intentional homicide because he didn't want to shoot this man and was likely panicked, but

- 939.48(2)(c) because the prosecutor believes Mr. Rittenhouse was trying to get someone to flinch. A bit of a loose interpretation, I know. 2/2 Mar 07, 2021
- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 My hunch: the argument might be that Mr. Rittenhouse was trying to bait and kill black people, but accidentally baited someone he didn't necessarily want to shoot. In short, 939.48(2)(c) enabled the start of the confrontation. 1/2 Mar 07, 2021
- @afarmerinohio @jbinia That water bottle + "we appreciate you guys l, we really do." might cost the city \$20 million. Mar 07, 2021
- @jamesoflynn @SparklyB As Android 18 said in Dragonball Z Abridged, "how quickly bravado flies out the window when you are flat on your ass." Mar 06, 2021
- @harryusa1776 @Twitter @jack Mr. Sulu became the captain of the Excelsior, a bigger better ship than the Enterprise. You were spreading misinformation. Mar 06, 2021
- @beancrisp @weirdgardener @RepPressley Not every jury will have the gift of your presence. Still horrible guidance. Mar 06, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 Mr. Rittenhouse's statements to the Antioch police are referenced in this article: https://t.co/bZjrItPHG3 The full statements haven't been released to the press, however. Mar 05, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 You really need to watch this in its entirety. Frankly, this is what you get for the rest of the day. Do your homework. https://t.co/jVYlguPRt6 Mar 05, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 According to Mr. Rittenhouse's own statements to police and his apparent statement to Mr. Black, he electively confronted Mr. Rosenbaum with his gun, having noted earlier in the night "we don't have nonlethal". Maybe he was lying both times, I don't know. Mar 05, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 I really don't have to explain anything to you. I've been pretty open about whether a kid clearly endangered by Mr. Dominick Black & a dumb militia should face the brunt of consequences here. Personally, I think the prosecutor feels they have a case around 939.48(2)(c) (c) here.

 Mar 05, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 So the underlying question is: 1) Is he demonstrating agency for that decision OR 2) Is he the sidekick of idiot adults who lost their charge and then found bodies when they finally caught up. Worth noting again the adult who gave him the gun is likely getting 6 to 12 years. 2/2 Mar 05, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 Understand, every "ordinary person" is at home. Government curfew, remember? The specific text is "reasonable person in the defendant's position". Bottom line, Mr. Rittenhouse went there to confront that type of trouble, with a "we don't have nonlethal attitude". 1/2 Mar 05, 2021
- @beancrisp @weirdgardener @RepPressley Wisconsin explicitly punishes imperfect self-defense and premeditated provocation as a setup for bait & kill. Not saying if either apply here, but saying lethal self-defense is universally allowed in all circumstances is horrible guidance. Mar 05, 2021
- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 3/2: It's noteworthy that every killing bullet is felonious without factoring in Kyle's verdict; the under-18 statute puts a price of up to six years in prison per death for the adult who provided the weapon.

 Mar 05, 2021

- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 This feels like an episode of Rick and Morty, where Rick so very clearly puts Morty into an unfamiliar and dangerous situation, and in that, Morty does something seemingly horrible. Something someone more prepared probably wouldn't have had to do. 2/2 Mar 05, 2021
- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 The question is what "reasonable person" standard do you go with: 1) A self-styled vigilante who should know his shit and announced proudly he is running into harm's way and shucks, we don't have non-lethal. 2) A 17 year old kid endangered by Dominick Black & dumb militia. 1/2 Mar 05, 2021
- Windy times in Fairfax. Mar 05, 2021
- @ChiefsfanCHI @rock_climber02 @thelaymansterms That kid patrolling any neighborhood with a held weapon full of imperfect teenagers should give anyone serious pause. Mar 05, 2021
- @STDeltaShift Mr. Data is Jellico's ideal first officer. Mar 05, 2021
- @beancrisp @weirdgardener @RepPressley He acted in self-defense. The question is whether it was legally recognized self-defense. Mar 05, 2021
- @MeganAnnHall @Kearney9 @GarandGlen @ChrisMurphyCT Dominick Black, the 19-year old who straw purchased the weapon for Mr. RIttenhouse, will 99.99% likely be convicted of two felonies with a total potential sentence of 12 years. Doesn't get reported much. Mar 03, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 1) Information Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't have at the time he fires isn't relevant. That's the Law and the self-defense statute fully reflects that. 2) Panicked people don't make judgements as to whether "such force" (from statute) is necessary. Mar 03, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 Irrelevant. What matters is the information Mr. Rittenhouse had at the time. Which isn't much frankly. Mr. Rosenbaum is a pissed off guy running at him. And yes, panic traditionally negates self-defense. People go to prison all the time for panic shots. Mar 03, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 1) Would never have been in that situation 2) A very loud affirmative "STOP!" or "STOP OR I'LL SHOOT!" This is the first thing you learn in any self-defense class. Make your defensive intensions loud, clear, and unmistakable. Mar 03, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 I don't think that is even his line of reasoning frankly. I see a panicked untrained kid suddenly without a chaperone, who has triggered aggression he has no idea how to address. The gun is the default. Now if that is more the fault of dumbass enabling adults, maybe a fair point Mar 03, 2021
- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 https://t.co/QwqWGtHfSb And with that, nite. Mar 03, 2021
- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 Lol. Ok, I think that's a night for me. Bye. Mar 03, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 I see you left out WI-815. An interesting choice. Mar 03, 2021
- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 Are you referring to a sworn officer explicitly given that authority? Mar 03, 2021
- @LGray15 @freekyleusa @RapeMatters @johnniewalkerd1 For many reasons, that isn't true. Mar 03, 2021

- @RapeMatters @LGray15 @freekyleusa @johnniewalkerd1 The phrasing of the story from Mr. Black was Mr. Rittenhouse trying to get him to stop. Sounds like more than a suggestion was offered. Frankly, ordering people around with a held weapon is a legal no-no. It's just not possible to separate the weapon from that. Mar 03, 2021
- @LGray15 @freekyleusa @RapeMatters @johnniewalkerd1 You can't threaten someone with a gun if they are breaking a window you aren't privileged to defend. Especially with a legal curfew in effect designed to prevent this all from happening. Civil unrest handling is exclusively the province of sworn officers per statute. Mar 03, 2021
- @freekyleusa @RapeMatters @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Yeah, you really need to watch Mr. Black's long interview with the police. Or stick to puppy photos. Mar 02, 2021
- @freekyleusa @RapeMatters @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Once again, are you confirming on behalf of Mr. Rittenhouse that Mr. Rittenhouse electively engaged Mr. Rosenbaum with a held weapon over a window? Mar 02, 2021
- @freekyleusa @RapeMatters @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 There is a functional difference between a strapped AR-15 and a held one... Seriously. Honesty. Genuinely. Stick to puppy photos. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 I prefer the short form version. https://t.co/HszGDwhZoM Mar 02, 2021 @
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 The defense can try to reconcile the incongruities like that, but as a pattern, they would appear to try to cast the shootings in a more favorable light. It isn't helpful the police didn't find any injuries on Mr. Rittenhouse consistent with the damage he said he took. Mar 02, 2021
- @freekyleusa @RapeMatters @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Are you confirming on behalf of Mr. Rittenhouse that he engaged Mr. Rosenbaum first? Are you confirming that part of the story? This is breaking news folks. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Yes, but this is a heck of a lot to get wrong. And legally hazardous. If he lied about the details of what happened to cast the shootings in a more favorable light, it speaks to his confidence about if they were justifiable on their own merits. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 In Wisconsin? Shucks, yeah they sell em. They'd be crazy not to. But if you have kids, this feels old hat. When they are in trouble, they tend to embellish details and conveniently leave damaging context out. He was never hit with a bat for instance, despite claiming otherwise. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 I would suspect Mr. Black heard wrong, but Mr. Rittenhouse apparently said the same thing at the Antioch police station, about being chased by someone he engaged about breaking windows. https://t.co/LnJnyRhOoS Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Mr. Black is the drummer, not the lead singer. It's easy to miss him. I only saw this interview recently. Frankly, it's why I lean hard on Mr. Rittenhouse being an endangered kid. This was Black's straw purchase, his idea, his car, his trusting of Mr. Rittenhouse to a militia... Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 You're welcome. My guess is witness testimony will uncover if the story is true or not. There are legal complexities either way. Mar 02, 2021

- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Dominick Black's statement to the police (https://t.co/tAEkLB2NOZ) on what Mr. Rittenhouse said happened. From what I understand, Mr. Rittenhouse also said the same thing in the Antioch police station's lobby. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 What is key is that according to Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black's initial police statements, Mr. Rosenbaum chased Mr. Rittenhouse after Mr. Rittenhouse with his gun ordered him to stop breaking a window. If true, this is an elective armed conflict started by Mr. Rittenhouse. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 This is reflected in mandatory training required to work armed private security, laws that explicitly forbid private citizens from acting as public officers, and the training police undertake where-as their kill rate for unarmed perps is very very low. 2/2 Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Here's what I would say. An adult who electively violates curfew, straps on a large lethal weapon, and makes it his business to enforce civil order against known agitators would be held to a higher standard than some adult who randomly finds trouble 1/2 Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Sure. If he's an endangered kid put into this situation by irresponsible adults, particularly Mr. Black, then there is an argument about what reasonable expectations are. I'll certainly grant that. This does feel like a case best dealt with in juvenile court. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 I think that is a very generous interpretation of the statute, as this is all happening inside of a minute, and the term "exhausted" is by its nature past tense. Agree to disagree. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Considering Mr. Rittenhouse had time to stop/chat with a militia guy, but didn't address the scared/angry/confused crowd pursing him, yes. A simple loud "STOP!" would have been worth trying. The dynamic is very clear; the kid doesn't know how to address people angry with him. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 And that is where there is a communication differential. Up to the last second, everyone has made it very clear to Mr. Rittenhouse their concern with him. And he doesn't address this concern in the slightest, at least not to everyone's ears despite that being possible. Why? Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Thanks for that. So not at shooting #2, but perhaps a factor in it happening. That's fair. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Wisconsin's self-defense statute also allows for third-party defense. Whether a gunmen is running toward children on a playground or unsuspecting cops or protestors in front of the cops, the principle is the same. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 We'll have to agree to disagree there. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Was Ziminski in the vicinity of shooting #2? I didn't see him. Frankly, it was a distinct event triggered when Mr. Rittenhouse ran out of the lot into new people at low ready. I didn't think Ziminski kept up with that. Can you point him out? https://t.co/fMAteABVFn Mar 02, 2021

- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Yes, the Law says "adequate notice". Again, the person Mr. Rittenhouse shot at initially for shooting #2 never heard it. Which is why a proactive LOUD "That guy tried to kill me! I'm getting the police!" was so very important here. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 And yes, exhaust every reasonable means is explicitly in the Wisconsin self-defense statute if you have created unlawful provocation, which can be criminally explicit or simply tortious. 939.48(2)(a) Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 If you are arguing that he's an endangered 17-year old without the experience to handle this put there by a 19-year old who is already going to prison for 12 years for this, at least that sounds reasonable. The defense, bafflingly, isn't making this argument. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 That's legally not true. At all. A promise to head to the police doesn't negate the shooting that occurred or that the apparent threat is still holding the lethal weapon. Without an explanation of what happened, its a ridiculous assertion. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Kyle doesn't "announce" his intentions. That's kinda the problem. "GUYS, THAT GUY TRIED TO KILL ME, I'M GETTING THE POLICE!", something that everyone would hear, would be an announcement. Coughing up a non-denial and ignoring the follow-ups isn't an announcement. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 It has nothing to do with being sure of anything, but rather exhausting all reasonable options. Imagine cops shooting suspects without saying "Stop or I'll shoot!". Maybe he is a dumb 17-year old kid and it isn't reasonable for him to think of this. It's not the tack D is taking Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 If you listen to the audio, you hear Gaige asking the question again. He doesn't seem to react to what Mr. Rittenhouse said. And frankly, directional phone mics can outperform human ears. Mr. Rittenhouse also ignores the follow-up. To me, the video is pretty authentic. Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 If Mr. Rittenhouse is firing out of panic, he isn't making a lawful/unlawful determination on why people are trying to stop him. He's just shooting (reckless homicide). Personally, I think that is where this case likely will land. I don't necessarily see intentional. 3/3 Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 But the key concern for Mr. Rittenhouse is two-fold for shooting #2. Did he exhaust all reasonable options? I'd argue no. Did he believe the interference on him was unlawful? That's a stretch. He must affirmatively believe these ppl had no right to intercept him. 2/3 Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 With "reckless homicide" (charge #1) in Wisconsin, I'm pretty sure self-defense is a negative defense. Meaning it is on the prosecution to prove up front self-defense isn't a valid defense. This is different than intentional homicide. 1/3 Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Mr. Rittenhouse really needed to electively and unprompted yell "Guys, that guy tried to kill me!". There's a real chance things would have turned out different if he had. Mar 02, 2021

- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Here's the thing about that.
 1) It was a non-denial at best and a plan to attack the police at worst 2) Listening to the audio, it's clear Gaige didn't hear him. 3) Frankly, it didn't make a difference. Gaige was adding to a chorus of people yelling "Stop him!" Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Wisconsin allows for third-party defense against "apparent unlawful interference". Mr. Rittenhouse was running toward more protesters (and yes cops) after shooting someone, and ignoring calls of "why did you shoot him?" and "he shot someone!" 2/2 Mar 02, 2021
- @RapeMatters @freekyleusa @LGray15 @johnniewalkerd1 Honestly, I think it is immaterial. A magistrate who had weeks to think about this determined probable cause exists that a murder happened for shooting #1. You have to give the people living this in real-time at least that much. 1/2 Mar 02, 2021
- @freekyleusa @LGray15 @RapeMatters @johnniewalkerd1 And @RapeMatters read on the first two shots in shooting #2 triggering a criminally reckless situation is a good one. And frankly, it isn't dependent on whether shooting #1 is ultimately determined to be felonious. Mar 02, 2021
- @freekyleusa @LGray15 @RapeMatters @johnniewalkerd1 Deploying deadly force on people who are convinced you are a killer on the loose armed with a long range weapon is no small matter. Especially with time and opportunity to use auditory to perhaps make it not necessary. This will come up at trial. Mar 02, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @johnniewalkerd1 @freekyleusa 1) Mr. Rittenhouse didn't try to verbally stop things because he reasoned he could kill them if need be (intentional homicide) 2) Mr. Rittenhouse is in childlike panic simply completely indifferent what he has just triggered (reckless homicide) 2/2 Mar 02, 2021
- @LGray15 @RapeMatters @johnniewalkerd1 @freekyleusa Here is where "intentional" comes in. The pursuit lasts 45 seconds before anyone seriously tries anything physical. Everyone is very vocal yelling "He shot someone!" and "Stop Him!". Decision tree why Mr. Rittenhouse didn't yell "It was self-defense guys!" 1/2 Mar 02, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack I'm sure that excellent argument will be made in court: / Mar 02, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @Charles89638601 @jack Lol. No, during questioning he literally voluntarily brought up that he had straw purchased the weapon and lamented that if he hadn't let Mr. Rittenhouse have it, perhaps none of this would have happened. https://t.co/jVYlguPRt6 Mar 02, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @Charles89638601 @jack There's no legal rationale not to have a conviction there. The perp already admitted in a police interview the gun exchange wasn't legal. Mar 02, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @Charles89638601 @jack It's not impossible, but if it happens it'll because he's an endangered 17 year old put there by an idiot adult who is already likely getting 12 years for giving a minor a gun resulting in 2 deaths. Mar 02, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @jack I think you are missing the obvious threat of a reckless homicide conviction for shooting #2. Mar 02, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack As for "I'm going to get the police" 1) A non-denial at best, a plan to attack the police at worst 2) It was in reply to one guy who clearly didn't hear it, if we are being honest about the audio. 3) Irrelevant as it doesn't affect the first shots of shooting #2 2/2 Mar 02, 2021

- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack This might come as a surprise to you but people are allowed to have unguarded emotional moments when someone is gunned down in front of them and then the armed suspect runs into their neighborhood at low ready. https://t.co/tVGgbyDPJA 1/2 Mar 02, 2021
- @Brian_DurbanBoy @michellemalkin @CassandraRules At its core, this case has little to do with the 2nd Amendment. It's just not worthy of free legal investment and frankly, you don't want to go cheap when you need to hire competent. Mar 02, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @Charles89638601 @jack I'd say leading people on that you are a murderer on the loose because you are worried about incriminating statements and then gunning them down when they try to stop you opens up more legal peril. Mar 02, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @Charles89638601 @jack This is very true. Wisconsin might be a state where you can shoot someone and run into fresh people with a gun at low ready, ignore calls for answers, and everyone simply needs to be cool with it. That's literally the test of the Law right now. Mar 02, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @Charles89638601 @jack That is literally in the self defense statute if you have created an unlawful provocation, which can be either explicitly criminal or simply tortious. See 939.48(2)(a) Shooting without a "Stop, it was self-defense!" seems like an option was missed. Mar 01, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack At the bail hearing, yes. Because it is a loaded term to use at a bail hearing where the only factor in play is whether the defendant will show up to the trial. I think the judge played it straight. He has to for a conviction or acquittal to carry meaningful weight. Mar 01, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack My read: If jury instruction says Mr. Rittenhouse needed to exhaust all options before firing again, you need to seriously ask why he didn't make a loud self-defense claim for shooting #1 considering he had time & opportunity. My guess is he is simply in a state of panic. 2/2 Mar 01, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack Irrelevant. Once those two shots were fired (if they were not legal), you have an active shooter, and frankly anything goes. Ultimately it becomes a question whether Mr. Rittenhouse was legally privileged to take those two shots. 1/2 Mar 01, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @jack Let's just say it is extraordinarily unwise to field yourself as someone keeping civil order with a weapon if you haven't been trained on what to do post shooting. Remaining completely aloof after shooting someone while running into new ppl isn't smart 2/2 Mar 01, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @jack The problem is shooting #1 <> shooting #2. Mr. Rosenbaum was a bad actor no doubt. My opinion of him is not particularly high. But his motivations/faults do not seamlessly graft to the other two ppl drawn into this due to warning screams of a shooter. 1/2 Mar 01, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack Mr. Rittenhouse fired four shots during Confrontation #1 and then fled the scene. During shooting #2, Mr. Rittenhouse's first two shots were at the unarmed guy who tried to kick him, before Gaige had joined in the attempt to disarm Mr. Rittenhouse. Mar 01, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @jack So your argument is their lives weren't worth words? Just let people believe you are a murderer and kill them if they catch up, when "that guy tried to kill me?" might make all the difference? I'm not saying this is a conviction, but probable cause. Certainly. Mar 01, 2021

- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack There were two distinct confrontations. Of those six shots, four were in confrontation #1. Confrontation #2 only happened because Mr. Rittenhouse fled the shooting scene into a neighborhood at low ready, triggering a lethal game of telephone. Mar 01, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @AllAboutCalling @jack It's a non-denial at best. In the heat of the moment not convincing and when you watch the video, it's clear Gaige didn't hear the reply clearly. And at the end of the day, Gaige was late to the confrontation. The gun had already gone off twice before he was visibly in the frey. Mar 01, 2021
- @AllAboutCalling @jack After shooting #1, Mr. Rittenhouse ignored 45 seconds of people pleading for answers from him and yelling "he shot someone!" and "stop him!". He could have yelled "it was self defense guys!" at anytime. Decision tree why he didn't and the rationale for the charges become clear. Mar 01, 2021
- @ajkelkar @XboxSupport Weird. And games you already downloaded wouldn't play? Feb 26, 2021
- @jamie maguire1 Expresso for regular expressions. Feb 26, 2021
- @Alix00656812 @Xbox @XboxSupport If you set your xbox console as your Home Xbox, you can play games when you are offline. Feb 26, 2021
- @ajkelkar @XboxSupport Make your xbox the "Home Xbox". Should let you play them offline. Feb 25, 2021
- @AustinJD14 @HamillHimself Here's really the key. Even in the darkest moments of the character, Mr. Hamill could get you to laugh. Batman beating the living crap out of the Joker for torturing Robin? "If you don't like the movie, I've got slides." Won't lie, that forced a chuckle. Knowing it was wrong. Feb 25, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @WBxActual @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier Think about a old South Park joke. It's better if you figure it out yourself. Feb 22, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @WBxActual @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier If your argument is their lives weren't worth words, I'd say that would be strained in court. Feb 22, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @WBxActual @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier What is sad is that when you see the uncut video, no one was seriously going after Mr. Rittenhouse after shooting #1 until he bolted with his held weapon. People were upset, but this is where immediately and loudly saying "Self defense guys, I'm calling 911" is important. Feb 22, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @WBxActual @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier I would have thought that too, but watch the video in the lower left. Honestly Mr. Rittenhouse doesn't seem to react to it at all for a couple second. His back is turned and frankly there have been noises like that all night. The closer "F YOU!" seems to be what gets him to turn. Feb 22, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @WBxActual @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier Mr. Rittenhouse and the defense have been cagey on the details. It's a pattern you notice when listening to Mr. Black's BS explanation of the story Mr. Rittenhouse told him. It's very possible there is something there, but yeah, I don't know. Feb 22, 2021
- @capnbrunchusa @Twitter bye Feb 22, 2021

- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @WBxActual @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier This is frankly the big unknown, and will probably come down to potential moments involving the Ziminskis which isn't on footage anyone has seen, but there might be witness testimony for. Feb 22, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @WBxActual @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier The footage doesn't really bear that exactly out. One thing of note is Mr. Rittenhouse wasn't cornered (lower left). That said, the "f you" scream seems to cause him to turn and fire and then keep going. https://t.co/2mq6VGH7ZI Feb 22, 2021
- @capnbrunchusa @Twitter Criminal trials have nothing to do with how the public or private companies are allowed evaluate potential wrongdoing. It's merely about whether the government gets the go-ahead to punish alleged wrongdoing. Feb 22, 2021
- @capnbrunchusa @Twitter I think Twitter is concerned about 17-year olds patrolling their neighborhoods with held AR-15s, amongst other imperfect teenagers. They don't want emulation of what happened. I doubt it is explicitly about guilt. Feb 22, 2021
- @capnbrunchusa @Twitter The adult who made this all happen literally confessed to it on camera in a police interview. It isn't a matter of the crime not happening. The only matter is whether the courts punish the adult for it. https://t.co/dJK1YkSTYQ Feb 22, 2021
- @HHero69420 Not sure it has anything to do with guilt. Charitably, its glorification of a 17-year old put in harm's way by an irresponsible adult who has confessed he knew better, and even mused to the cops "In my head I could have stopped it." Feb 22, 2021
- @capnbrunchusa @Twitter Not sure it has anything to do with guilt. Charitably, its glorification of a 17-year old put in harm's way by an irresponsible adult who has confessed he knew better, and even mused to the cops "In my head I could have stopped it." Feb 22, 2021
- @DylanArlaus1 @MDucci791 @lisalynete50 @MrSAADisfaction @MrMan45676274
 @RobNasty666 @laurenboebert I was more or less trying to help your point along. Feb 22, 2021 @
- @DylanArlaus1 @MDucci791 @lisalynete50 @MrSAADisfaction @MrMan45676274 @RobNasty666 @laurenboebert Never said I disagreed with you. Just noting there are likely serious consequences in this case that are worth conveying to folks who might not know about them. Be well. Feb 22, 2021
- @DylanArlaus1 @MDucci791 @lisalynete50 @MrSAADisfaction @MrMan45676274 @RobNasty666 @laurenboebert Mr. Black literally admitted it to police. He literally said he took Mr. Rittenhouse's money. He also confessed he knew Mr. Rittenhouse was to going to have the weapon and that "I could have stopped it all.' He's facing 12 years for this. Feb 22, 2021
- @DylanArlaus1 @MDucci791 @lisalynete50 @MrSAADisfaction @MrMan45676274 @RobNasty666 @laurenboebert Yes. Money changed hands. Conceptually Dominick Black confessed the gun would be Mr. Rittenhouse's. Where the gun was stored is somewhat irrelevant, technically. Feb 22, 2021
- @DylanArlaus1 @MDucci791 @lisalynete50 @MrSAADisfaction @MrMan45676274 @RobNasty666 @laurenboebert It was Mr. Rittenhouse's money. Conceptually the gun was his, despite his name not being on the ownership paperwork. The fact it was stored at Mr. Black's house is a triviality. Money changed hands. Feb 22, 2021

- @DylanArlaus1 @MDucci791 @lisalynete50 @MrSAADisfaction @MrMan45676274 @RobNasty666 @laurenboebert Dominick Black literally admitted it. He took Mr. Rittenhouse's money and bought it for him. https://t.co/ARm7ZkUIel Feb 22, 2021
- @DylanArlaus1 @MDucci791 @lisalynete50 @MrSAADisfaction @MrMan45676274 @RobNasty666 @laurenboebert More specifically, it was an illegal straw purchase, with money provided by Mr. Rittenhouse. Feb 22, 2021
- @freekyleusa @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews And have exhausted all other options, I know. Possibly a valid argument for shooting #1, albeit that could be upended by other factors we just don't know about. Feb 22, 2021
- @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @fr3b0 @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews That is a personal opinion not backed up by how the self-defense statute is written and likely not by the ultimate jury instructions. You must ask yourself what should have happened but didn't. Feb 22, 2021
- @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @fr3b0 @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews Legally incorrect. Read the first sentence of the self-defense statute. Feb 22, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis I would never advocate anyone burn down anything. 175 rioters were arrested. Good. More probably would have if the cops hadn't had to process a shooting scene. Feb 22, 2021
- @fr3b0 @freekyleusa @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews In a word, yes. Loudly. Proactively. And no non-denials, which is ultimately what he tried and frankly it's a question mark if Gaige even heard it. The lack of post-shooting training is obvious. He goes from being the most confident 17-year old within 100 miles to a panicked kid Feb 22, 2021
- @fr3b0 @freekyleusa @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews Again, think about what should have happened but didn't. I could give it to you but it is so much better to figure it out yourself. Feb 22, 2021
- @freekyleusa @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews I'm not sure what you are demanding of someone who in under 45 seconds needs to make a determination whether an armed&dangerous suspect is posing him or anything else (including cops) deadly harm. Given the duress of the situation he was forced into, this is a bum argument. Feb 22, 2021
- @tparlimited @freekyleusa @YahooNews Not really. Mr. Rittenhouse's activities were inherently unlawful (militias are unlawful, curfew violation, unlawful weapons carry).
 My guess though is it will come down to some moments that aren't in the public record. Feb 21, 2021
- @freekyleusa @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews Mr. Huber became involved about midway. Police line argument is irrelevant. I love my cops; I'd intercept an assumed hostile carrying an AR-15 before they perhaps were taken by surprise. It's a tired talking point that frankly likely won't work in court. Feb 21, 2021
- @freekyleusa @failninjaninja @fr3b0 @PstafarianPrice @YahooNews 1) think harder 2) stop defending Mr. Black, the irresponsible adult who endangered Mr. Rittenhouse and has already admitted to doing so. Feb 21, 2021
- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Ponder that assumption. Also ponder that homicide law can punish inaction as well as action. Feb 21, 2021

- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Examine sentence #1 please. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @fr3b0 @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Read section #1 of the self-defense statute and try to understand how it might be relevant. https://t.co/lekbixmdSL Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @fr3b0 @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Let me give you a hint. It really doesn't matter if the first shooting is ultimately judged to be legal or not. The people responding to it are operating under a panicked "probable cause" mindset. And there are 65 seconds between shooting #1 and shooting #2. Identify the problem Feb 21, 2021 #
- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews P.S. I'm not talking about Rosenbaum. Feb 21, 2021
- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Before the skateboard and gun came into the picture, Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired two shots at an unarmed guy, including a bonus shot when he was already on the ground. Stay on task and try to figure our what the prosecutor sees is wrong here. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @fr3b0 @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews So, you aren't going to try and understand why a prosecutor might cry fowl here? Feb 21, 2021
- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews No, Mr. Grosskreutz and Mr. Huber were in an area Mr. Rittenhouse ran into. The guy who said that witnessed the shooting, and then saw Mr. Rittenhouse run into his neighborhood with a weapon at low ready. But again, try hard to figure out the prosecutor's point. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Here's your challenge. Watch the full 65 seconds between shootings and try to guess what a prosecutor might see here that is problematic. https://t.co/fMAteABVFn Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Certainly examination and robust cross-examination of witnesses will be important and fair. It is helpful multiple statements were taken the night of the shooting and not several days later. But sure, a fair point. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews 175 rioters were charged, some with serious felonies. Feb 21, 2021
- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Here's your homework. Watch this compilation showing the 65 seconds, and try to explain why a prosecutor might have a problem with it: https://t.co/s7qa9tuyU8 Feb 21, 2021 @
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier No, that was several minutes (maybe measured in double digits) prior to the confrontation. Later, Mr. Rittenhouse, Mr. Rosenbaum, and Mr. Ziminski get into some form of confrontation. We don't know how that initially played out. Again, the moments will matter there. Feb 21, 2021
- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Have you seen the full 65 seconds between shootings or just the two encounters independently? Feb 21, 2021
- @fr3b0 @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Would you bet your lifetime freedom on that assessment? Maybe put that aside and ponder deeper. Feb 21, 2021

- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier Mr. Rittenhouse is facing a reckless homicide charge. The argument is he provoked someone into their death. My guess is the initial moments of the confrontation will be important, provided there is witness testimony available for them. Feb 21, 2021
- @freekyleusa @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @YahooNews Yes. Very much so. Neither the defense nor the prosecution has explained the initial point of confrontation. Those moments likely matter very much in this. Witness testimony very well might shed some light there. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Ask yourself why the prosecution thinks they have a homicide charge here. Seriously genuinely ponder that question. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews 1) We don't remotely have all the facts about shooting #1. Witness testimony might move that either way. 2) There was no "mob" until Mr. Rittenhouse fled the scene at low ready and made what he did the problem of new people to figure out. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews That said, lots of adults around Mr. Rittenhouse made serious mistakes that night. Mr. Black for recklessly endangering him. The cops for not carding the baby-faced kid with a rifle. The cops for not letting him return to his business. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews It's not about that specific infraction. It's that Mr. Rittenhouse disregarded specific guidance from the sheriff's department to stay home and remain safe, unless you were engaged in peaceful protest. https://t.co/acWH0sM6oZ Electively patrolling ppl with a weapon was not that Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews If the government implements a curfew, the legal standard has effectively been set. Again, "legally complicated" This would be considered reckless homicide in countless jurisdictions and one reason why opinion on this is so divided. Feb 21, 2021
- @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews It actually per statute is very important. Provocation is covered specifically as is whether the interference of the other party is lawful. I'd encourage you to ponder why the prosecution thinks they have an intentional homicide charge. Feb 21, 2021
- @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Honestly, it's folly to compare two very different situations, especially when there is a lawful curfew in effect designed specifically to prevent this from happening. We also don't know the events that led to shooting #1. Witness testimony could swing this either way. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews Because Mr. Rittenhouse said earlier in the evening he was "running into harm's way and that's why I have my gun to protect myself, obviously". Simply put, if you get in front of dangerous people electively with a long range gun as a trump card, that legally can be complicated. Feb 21, 2021
- @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews No, you really need to read the actual Law. There is a reason why the defense has been pretending that shooting #2 has nothing to do with shooting #1 and that these were just random rioters. And what you are missing isn't what Mr. Rittenhouse did, but what he didn't do. Feb 21, 2021

- @PstafarianPrice @failninjaninja @freekyleusa @YahooNews Understand, that isn't really the case. By that logic a campus shooter could murder everyone who tries to tackle him/her. The statute is very clear that the use of force must be against perceived "unlawful interference". You can check the statute yourself. Feb 21, 2021
- @tparlimited @freekyleusa @YahooNews Honestly, not relevant to the underlying reckless homicide charge, which has more to do with electively going into harm's way with a gun and then using it. Frankly, the worse the apparent danger, the crazier it was for Mr. Rittenhouse to try this. Feb 21, 2021
- @failninjaninja @PstafarianPrice @freekyleusa @YahooNews The primary legal issue left unaddressed is did Mr. Rittenhouse believe the interference of those trying to stop him was "unlawful". Basically, people have been provoked into action, and the reason isn't lost on him, hence why he lies to the reporter about shooting anyone. Feb 21, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier Ultimately, if you feel Mr. Rittenhouse was thrown into this by Mr. Black (who will likely get 12 years for 2 deaths), and he did the best a reasonable 17 year old could do in this situation, then an acquittal is possible. But that isn't the line D is pursuing. Feb 21, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier Shooting #1 is sympathetic. But understand the nature of a reckless homicide charge. The worse the danger, the more crazy Mr. Rittenhouse is for trying this given that this is all unlawful (militias are unlawful, curfew, unlawful weapon). But the optics aren't lost on me. Feb 21, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier Irrelevant. The folks shot in shooting #2 had nothing to do with shooting #1. Mr. Huber was literally tricked into his own death. Mr. Black will very likely serve 6 years for that death. As for Mr. Rittenhouse, what he didn't do is the primary problem. Feb 21, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @realDaveReilly @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier I see this in 1-digit aged kids all the time (including my own). They get into a situation they can't handle and then run off to an authority figure for help, while completely not acknowledging the underlying provocation. "Why did (name) want to hit you?" is old hat. Feb 21, 2021
- @Jonandthewhale @realDaveReilly @Chestys_Ghost @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier Shooting #2 is frankly the harder lift for self-defense. Mr. Rittenhouse effectively "checked out" after the first shooting, even so much as lying to people "I didn't shoot anyone!". It's the responsibility dodge of a scared kid and means he knew what he had caused when he fired Feb 21, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly It has nothing to do with the merits of the aggressor but that stray bullets in neighborhoods suck. That said, Wisconsin has some very generous language for shooting even when unlawful conduct is present. It'll all likely come down to jury instruction 2/2. Feb 21, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Jonandthewhale @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly From a reckless homicide perspective,why he was there is very relevant. Electively "running into harm's way, and that's why I have my gun." and then

- making it happen would be an instant conviction in countless jurisdictions, it's especially with a curfew in effect. 1/2 Feb 21, 2021
- @stranahan Mr. Rittenhouse fired on people very likely engaged in lawful third-party defense per Wisconsin statute (stopping an armed&dangerous suspect). Mr. Rittenhouse could only use lethal force on unlawful interference, per statute. Feb 21, 2021
- @NavyLDO85 I wouldn't sweat it. Conceptually this case has little to do with guns. <u>Feb</u> 21, 2021 @
- @GunSmithShep @OdinSQL @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert With respect, see charge #6 in Mr. Rittenhouse's Wisconsin court record. https://t.co/WOxjaqi5Hw Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @OdinSQL @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert And to be clear, he was never charged in Illinois. Technically, no charges were dropped. Feb 20, 2021
- @OdinSQL @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert Yes, agreed. The firearm charges for both Mr. Rittenhouse and Mr. Black are based in Wisconsin. Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @OdinSQL @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert Yes, Mr. Rittenhouse is facing a firearms charge in Wisconsin, not Illinois. I fully understand and agree that Mr. Rittenhouse shouldn't face charges in a state no crime took place in. Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @OdinSQL @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert That isn't true. Charge #6 still stands. No idea where that came from. Feb 20, 2021
- @ConnorSays4 @RohanNaval1 The charge for the first shooting is reckless homicide. Basically looking for trouble with a gun and then using it. In DC, the first shooting would be a guaranteed conviction. Mr. Rittenhouse might benefit from fairly generous retreat language, but I doubt he knew that. Feb 20, 2021
- @ConnorSays4 @RohanNaval1 Honestly, when a judge expert in Law who has weeks to think about this orders a trial, whether the shooting is legal or not is debatable along a couple lines. Mr. Rittenhouse is living this in the moment and I'd say he doesn't know if the shooting is legal. Feb 20, 2021
- @ConnorSays4 @RohanNaval1 That's not how this legally works. Here's what's telling. Mr. Rittenhouse lied to a reporter he ran past saying "I didn't shoot anyone!". Basically, he knows his first shooting is suspect and brought down the house and that is why they are after him. He fires with that knowledge Feb 20, 2021
- @OdinSQL @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert And really, even on Mr. Rittenhouse's side of that, it is very unlikely a clerical "oh that is a rifle" or "oh he is 17" would have survived a probable cause hearing. I'm fairly certain defense attorneys are pushing a misread here. Feb 20, 2021
- @OdinSQL @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert Completely untrue. Very few have commented on Dominick Black's exposure. It is proven he straw-purchased the weapon for Mr. Rittenhouse. It wasn't just a borrow. The monetary transfer really dooms his case. Feb 20, 2021
- @nichcarlson @michelleamark @BusinessInsider But yes, overall a good read. You might float the "unlawful interference" point to your legal experts. It would seem a hard climb for Mr. Rittenhouse to fire on these people if he understands they believe him to be a dangerous threat. Feb 20, 2021
- @nichcarlson @michelleamark @BusinessInsider This is a hallmark of the defense team's strategy, which is to portray shooting #2 as an isolated event, instead of something

- directly fed from the panic created by shooting #1 and Mr. Rittenhouse's unexplained flight from it. Feb 20, 2021
- @nichcarlson @michelleamark @BusinessInsider What the legal analysis leaves out is that even if Mr. Rittenhouse felt his life was in danger after shooting #1, he'd still need to believe the interference from those trying to stop him was unlawful, per statute. Considering he lied about shooting #1 to a reporter, hard. Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert Apprehend is usually a component of an arrest. At this point, though, I think the differences in our understanding of the subject is trivial. https://t.co/g2mgWMUXPf. Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert I mean, if you want to say they detained him when he thought they were going to let him go, OK. Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert No, he was never arrested. He turned himself in later. Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert That could be taken a few ways. <u>Feb</u> 20, 2021
- @OdinSQL @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert Per statute, he's guilty. There's no legal argument not to find him guilty. If you don't want the court system broken, you should be saying the judge should show discretion at sentencing. Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert Mr. Rittenhouse lied to a reporter he passed and said "I didn't shoot anyone". And he apparently lied to Dominick Black in the immediate aftermath of the 2nd shooting about the cause of it. Basically, in the moment, I doubt Mr. Rittenhouse viewed their actions as unlawful. 2/2 Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert The problem is with shooting #2. A reasonable person in Mr. Rittenhouse's shoes must believe the group approaching him is engaging in "Unlawful Interference". Considering he knows they are responding to a shooter on the loose, that is a tough climb. 1/2 Feb 20, 2021
- @GunSmithShep @HelmetSchwartz @RepBoebert Mr. Black, the adult who gave Mr. Rittenhouse the weapon, is facing 12 years in prison over this, regardless of Mr. Rittenhouse's case outcome. Feb 20, 2021
- @g1a1sthefurries @WorldlyAmerica @TVGBadger @laurenboebert It's what Mr. Rittenhouse didn't do which is largely the legal problem. Feb 20, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Todor_Aleksbg @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Thanks for the update and correction. Feb 20, 2021
- @Todor_Aleksbg @Chestys_Ghost @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Fair to enough. Appreciate the correction. be well. Feb 20, 2021
- @RINMichaelis @MrX_UNI One guy was getting up to speed, but for 45 seconds, the calls about him shooting someone and the need to stop him were consistent. An old South Park joke is a bit instructive about why Mr. Rittenhouse faces an intentional homicide charge. Feb 20, 2021
- @RINMichaelis @MrX_UNI The key thing to understand is the video is authentic. People are yelling "stop him!", "He shot someone!", and "why did you do it?". No one is faking and their concern is genuine. Mr. Rittenhouse's legal peril centers not on what he did but what he didn't do. Feb 20, 2021

- @Todor_Aleksbg @Chestys_Ghost @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Wasn't the information I got, but ok. Mine might be out of date. Feb 20, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @Todor_Aleksbg @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Someone close to the defense team told me he was working civil only. Maybe that has changed. Feb 20, 2021
- @RINMichaelis @MrX_UNI Honestly, Mr. Rittenhouse is in high legal peril for shooting #2. The people trying to intercept an armed&dangerous suspect running toward new people probably were operating under lawful third-party defense. Self-defense in Wisconsin can only be used on "unlawful interference" Feb 20, 2021
- @ujkf99 @wokal distance That doesn't say "refreshing" to me. Feb 20, 2021
- @Spinosaurus75 @libertascasii2 And this is the hole the defense is sinking into. It's as much about what he didn't do. Feb 20, 2021
- @Todor_Aleksbg @Chestys_Ghost @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Barnes is on the civil cases, not the criminal cases. Mr. Richards is working the criminal case. Very steep climb. Feb 20, 2021
- @ConnorSays4 @RohanNaval1 Once all the political posturing from both sides is eliminated, the decision tree of why he didn't claim self-defense for shooting #1 despite having 65 seconds to do so becomes critical. Homicide law recognizes the consequences of inaction as well as action. Feb 20, 2021
- @ConnorSays4 @RohanNaval1 Meh. Frankly the biggest problem is for shooting #2, the intercept of him was likely lawful third-party defense, and for self-defense to be legal, it can only be used on "unlawful interference". Hence why bank robbers can't shoot people who tackle them Feb 20, 2021
- @OpinionatedIta1 @Coviddon2 @AJ_Lady_Liberty @mikeblaze_ @DrKarlynB For shooting #2, Mr. Rittenhouse used lethal force on folks engaged in lawful third-party defense against an armed&dangerous suspect running toward new people after shooting someone. Mr. Rittenhouse could only use said force against unlawful interference, per statute. Feb 20, 2021
- @Beardedfox89 @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Well, I gave a rule about blocking people who throw insults, so... Feb 20, 2021
- @Beardedfox89 @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Why did Mr. Rittenhouse lie to Dominick Black about the cause of shooting #2 in its immediate aftermath? Let's just say Mr. Black had quite a mouth on him when giving his police statement. Feb 20, 2021
- @RasenganDaBooty @Lord_Archrr He fired on people engaged in lawful third-party defense (responding to a armed & dangerous suspect). Self-defense can only be deployed against "unlawful interference". Per statute he's guilty in my opinion. But it will come down to jury instruction most likely. Feb 20, 2021
- @RasenganDaBooty @Lord_Archrr The judge doesn't want unnecessary trouble. Mr. Rittenhouse is out on legal cash bond, despite how inadvisable that is. Frankly, anything that reduces unnecessary encounters with him isn't a bad thing, no matter how you view the case. Feb 20, 2021
- @myownsummoner @journalsentinel You find rational relatively dispassionate people. They surprisingly exist. And jury instructions are pretty firm. My guess is jury instructions will make a self-defense claim very very hard. Feb 20, 2021

- @Beardedfox89 @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Irrelevant and largely untrue. BS narratives have a short shelf life in court. Feb 19, 2021
- @Beardedfox89 @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Legally irrelevant. All of it. Feb 19, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Take the last word Feb 19, 2021
- @Chestys_Ghost @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly The handgun wasn't in the picture yet. Nor was skateboard when Mr. Rittenhouse fired at an unarmed man twice over a kick, including a shot when the man had missed and was on the ground. But honestly, you should ask yourself why the DA is going with intentional homicide. Feb 19, 2021
- @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Mr. Rittenhouse heard "he shot someone?!?" and "why did you do it?" and "Stop him!" for 45 seconds. He knew their intent was to intercept a shooter and he knew that when he fired. Feb 19, 2021
- @squirrel1863 @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly The Wisconsin self-defense statute literally says force can only be used against "unlawful" interference of person. If the folks trying to intercept Mr. Rittenhouse were acting in lawful third-party defense, the shots are likely felonious. Feb 19, 2021
- @SarahHauer The hard right Conservatives love Mr. Huber was unlawfully tricked into his own death. Feb 19, 2021
- @MollyBeck Mr. Rittenhouse fired on people likely engaged in lawful third-party defense (interception of killer approaching more people). Self-defense is only legal against unlawful interference. Feb 19, 2021
- @ASU_CRU @Grumpy_Hoosier @realDaveReilly Charges won't be dropped. He might be acquitted. Albeit firing on people convinced you are a killer on the loose is a hard one; Self-defense can only be used against unlawful interference. Feb 19, 2021
- @tracypaints44 @GetMadwp @CoolGirlTran @DiannyRants @kristincake Just block the asshole. Mr. Rittenhouse fired on people convinced he was a killer on the loose having led them on for 45 seconds, despite their calls for answers. They delight in the fact that Mr. Huber was tricked into his own death. Nothing you say will change that. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 I've watched all relevant video. Understand, there are at least three different reasons why a self-defense claim could fail. Why the defense isn't placing blame on the adult (Mr. Black) who recklessly endangered Mr. Rittenhouse is beyond me. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Yes, "great bodily harm", not "bodily harm". A kick probably wouldn't cause that. Understand, self-defense is a really hard climb here, especially with the bonus bullet fired at the guy after he has already passed Mr. Rittenhouse and is on the ground. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 The standard isn't "bodily harm". Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Firing on people who have been convinced you are a killer on the loose without an explanation might be self-defense, but it is very likely not lawful self-defense. We clearly disagree on this point however. Feb 19, 2021

- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Irrelevant. Again, Mr. Rittenhouse started firing shots before he arrived. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 No, Mr. Rittenhouse's shooting at the unarmed guy trying to kick him (very likely legal third-party defense) turns this truly into an active felony. The bonus shot he took when that guy was already on the ground more or less confirms that. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 "Guys, that guy tried to kill me!". Takes a second and he had 45. Seriously. He very likely legally owned the moment that. Your argument is that the lives of the people he shot weren't worth words. In legal parlance, that is utter disregard for human life. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 "Stomps on his head" really isn't what happened on the video. Again, the jury will see the video. All 65 seconds of it. It isn't helpful to Mr. Rittenhouse's case. Again. People are convincing he is a killer on the loose. Mr. Rittenhouse allows that assumption to stand. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Mr. Rittenhouse had already fired twice before the 3rd person with the glock arrived. Irrelevant. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 This is after 45 seconds of not remotely trying to explain what happened. Everyone there is acting very likely in lawful third-party defense. You should review jury instructions for reckless homicide. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 And stops to chat with a militia guy while ignoring the pleas from everyone else for answers. Again, the full 65 seconds, 45 from when a chase truly starts, is damning. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 That is shooting #1. I'm not discussing that. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 From an intentional homicide and reckless endangerment perspective, "not required" is irrelevant. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 And yes, the first thing you learn in a firearms safety course is to make sure you aren't viewed as a threat after publicly using a weapon. Mr. Rittenhouse's flight without providing answers to anyone with a weapon at low ready wasn't that. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Militias are illegal in Wisconsin. Because yes, it is universally illegal in all 50 states to deploy with lethal force outside of civil command. Especially in violation of a curfew. See Wisconsin Constitution, Article 20. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse had 45 seconds to say "hey guys, self defense!" after shooting #1. Why didn't he? The answer: because he could kill them if needed. After he made himself a threat multiple people who don't know each other decided they couldn't ignore. Feb 19, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Actually, looking for trouble electively with a gun and then using it is textbook reckless homicide. For self-defense to be an effective defense, he must have exhausted all options before firing. He never explains his actions to group #2. Not once. Feb 19, 2021

- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Irrelevant. Mr. Rittenhouse had 45 seconds to say "hey guys, self defense!" after shooting #1. Why didn't he? The answer: because he could kill them if needed. Simply put, he turned himself into an un-ignorable threat and knew it. Feb 19, 2021
- @Imweakdontshout @freekyleusa @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk I've already bid everyone else good night. I do the same to you. Feb 19, 2021
- @Beardedfox89 @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 No, that is not how the self-defense and third-party defense statutes read. "Apparent" is an important word. Given that a judge has found probable cause that the first shooting was felonious, you have to give the same benefit to the people living this in real time. Feb 19, 2021
- @freekyleusa @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk Ok. Good night. Feb 19, 2021 @
- @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk @freekyleusa Genuinely, I would review the statute word for word. Good night. Feb 19, 2021
- @freekyleusa @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk If I saw an armed&dangerous suspect about to plow through a police line full of innocent officers about to be taken by lethal surprise, I might do something about that. I wouldn't rely on the police line defense. Honestly. Feb 19, 2021
- @freekyleusa @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk Such glibness won't be helpful in court. Feb 18, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk @freekyleusa I think firing at an unarmed guy engaged in lawful interference for throwing a kick is problematic. At that point it is an active felony. Feb 18, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk @freekyleusa That is legally not true. If that were the case, students could never tackle a campus shooter. Or intercept a known shooter about to ambush a bunch of innocent local police officers. Feb 18, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk @freekyleusa All I'm saying is there is a reason why John Pierce preached a strategy of "jury nullification" in this case. You only bring that up when you know your client is guilty per statute. Feb 18, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk @freekyleusa For an armed-&-dangerous suspect fleeing a shooting scene that even a state judge believes has probable cause to justify a trial. Yeah, that level of force was allowable. And before the skateboard came into the picture, an unarmed guy was shot at twice for throwing a kick. Feb 18, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL @PhaedraXTeddy @ChickenNugLover @R3DMonk @freekyleusa The #1 issue is Mr. Huber was very likely acting in lawful third-party defense against an armed&dangerous suspect fleeing an apparent armed felony. And this was explained to Mr. Rittenhouse verbally before force was used. Mr. Rittenhouse could only fire on unlawful interference. Feb 18, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Understand shooting #2 conceptually has nothing to do with a gun. Mr. Rittenhouse endangered people by convincing them he was a killer on the loose and killed them without even a

- word of explanation when they tried to lawfully intercept him. That is damning. <u>Feb 18</u>, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 To be clear, Mr. Rittenhouse convinced people he was a killer on the loose and refused to address it for 45 seconds. Likely because he could simply kill them if needed. Or he just didn't care. If that is addressed in his video, please send me the timestamp. Feb 18, 2021
- @ArrogantAndross @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 And he is completely wrong. Nothing he says addresses the underlying reckless nature of Mr. Rittenhouse's elective actions or that for the second shooting, he was firing on people engaged in lawful interference (big no-no) Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis There was literally a probable cause hearing where yes, the court determined probable cause existed for Mr. Rittenhouse to go to trial for the first shooting. So yes, even not in the heat of the moment, a court saw potential wrongdoing. https://t.co/Xoi2bGxU9Z Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis A state judge has declared there is probable cause that the first shooting was a felony. You have to give people living this in real-time that same allowance. Especially when the suspect refuses to address the matter for 45 seconds while running toward new potential victims. Feb 18, 2021
- @P01S0N_X @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Yeah. I blocked Yoda. At some point you can tell people aren't discussing things in good faith. Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis That's simply legally incorrect.

 Armed&Dangerous suspects don't get to gun down people concerned with their apparent armed crime. And frankly, I love our local cops. If I thought they were about to be ambushed by a killer on the loose, I might try to make an intercept. Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis I love police. I would definitely try to stop a killer on the loose with a held AR-15 before he had a chance to kill our beloved cops by surprise. Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis That's simply legally not true. The video is damning. https://t.co/KIaxDXOZV5 The audio track demonstrates people operating in lawful third-party defense attempting to stop an armed&dangerous suspect fleeing the scene of a shooting. Cops would have done the same thing. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @P01S0N_X @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 And finally, it is noteworthy Mr. Rittenhouse's first two shots were against an unarmed man operating under lawful third-party defense who was trying to kick him. Before a skateboard entered the picture. Before another gun entered the picture. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @P01S0N_X @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Again, it is very important to note that for a Self-Defense claim to be valid, you must be using it against unlawful interference. Everyone there was operating well within third-party Wisconsin defense rights. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @P01S0N_X @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Here's the whole video, shooting to shooting, put together from multiple phones. The jury will see this. They'll see people above all else worried he is a killer on the loose. And that Mr. Rittenhouse knew this when he shot at them. https://t.co/KIaxDXOZV5 Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @HitsUback @LonJandis Everyone was yelling "he shot someone!", "stop him!". "why did you shoot him?!?". Basically Mr. Rittenhouse would need to

- believe their interest in him was unlawful to fire his weapon for a self-defense claim to have any merit. That is a tough sell. Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis Simply put, Mr. Rittenhouse had 45 seconds to make a self-defense claim in response to their "why did you shoot him!" questions, but played dumb until they felt like they had no choice but to stop him. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @HitsUback @LonJandis Wisconsin allows for third-party defense. Mr. Rittenhouse was running toward new people. It is lawful to intercept an armed&dangerous suspect enroute to more people with a held weapon, be they children on a playground or unsuspecting cops about to be ambushed. Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis That's not a truthful telling of events. Kyle confessed indirectly to new people arriving on the scene (via phone call with buddy) he'd just shot someone and then ran off with his gun at low ready into new people, who saw the shooting from a distance. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @HitsUback @LonJandis My guess is the final conviction, if there is one, will land at reckless homicide, reckless wounding, and reckless endangerment. Which would give the judge discretion at sentencing. Feb 18, 2021 ₽
- @HughMutant @HitsUback @LonJandis Basically shooting #1 enables a much clearer example of Mr. Rittenhouse's utter disregard for human life. A "it was self-defense guys" was probably legally necessary before firing at people so clearly engaged in lawful third-party defense. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @HitsUback @LonJandis Again, I'm not talking about shooting #1. The prosecution around that one centers on reckless homicide, where the dynamics are different and perhaps a conviction is hard. Ignoring pleas for answers for 45 seconds and then shooting people operating in 3rd party D is problematic. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Ok, believe what you want. Feb 18, 2021
- @PrairieCoywolf @religiousjaw @MrMan45676274 @BHPanimalwatch 1) The prosecution isn't playing this out in the court of public option. 2) Dominick Black, the adult who gave Rittenhouse the weapon and drove him there, is facing a 12 year prison sentence for this. 3) The Mom really had little immediately to do with any of this. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Put straight, it is legally impossible to simply ignore the context of why people were trying to stop Mr. Rittenhouse or ignore that Mr. Rittenhouse was aware of their lawful purpose when firing on them. I suspect jury instruction will agree with that assessment. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Irrelevant. In the second shooting, Mr. Rittenhouse was an armed&dangerous suspect fleeing a shooting scene running toward more people. Mr. Huber had clear lawful third-party defense rationale and Mr. Rittenhouse knew that when he shot him. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Yes. Their primary target was Facebook in the litigation and they realized they couldn't win... against Facebook. Feb 18, 2021
- @DeckyGoodfellow @3ForceYoda @Breaking911 The plaintiff cancelled the action, because going after FB and an at-the-time 17-year-old was always going to be a long shot. There was no ruling. The city however is being sued for \$10 million. "We

- appreciate you guys, we really do." when militias are illegal was expensive. Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 For the second shooting, everyone intercepting Kyle is yelling "he shot someone!", "stop him!", "why did you do it?!". Simply put, Mr. Rittenhouse has established himself as an armed-&-dangerous apparent threat and he knows it. His shots are likely felonious. 2/2 Feb 18, 2021
- @3ForceYoda @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Self-defense no doubt. A bank robber who shoots someone trying to tackle him is engaged in self-defense. A carjacker who shoots at a police officer trying to kick him is engaged in self-defense. The key distinction is such defense must be against unlawful interference. 1/2 Feb 18, 2021
- @ChesterStarr Every killing bullet was felonious. Just ask Dominick Black, who is facing a 12 year sentence. The only outstanding issue is how much responsibility falls on the 17 year old. Feb 18, 2021
- @ChesterStarr And no, the feds never had anything to charge the 17 year old with. Just wasn't their jursdiction. Feb 18, 2021
- @ChesterStarr The civil case was pulled because the plaintiffs elected to sue the city. Civil suits against 17 year olds are always tricky. "We appreciate you guys, we really do." when militias are illegal probably cost the city \$10 million. Feb 18, 2021
- @DeckyGoodfellow @Breaking911 Mr. Huber was likely operating in lawful third-party defense against an apparent armed&dangerous threat who had already shot someone, and hadn't explained what happened. Indeed Mr. Rittenhouse was asked for 45 seconds. Mr. Rittenhouse can only fire on unlawful interference. Feb 18, 2021
- @ChesterStarr That man is acting in lawful third-party defense against an armed&dangerous threat that has just shot someone. Mr. Rittenhouse can only use lethal force on unlawful interference. Those shots likely doomed Mr. Rittenhouse to prison. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @HitsUback @LonJandis I mean, you can say what you want on twitter, but lies have a short shelve life in court, as do deceptively cut video. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @HitsUback @LonJandis No, completely not true. Based on the criminal complaint, he did not call the police (called his buddy instead), never talked to anyone onsite directly, and said to new ears "I just killed someone" while on the phone. Then ran off with a held weapon at low ready. Feb 18, 2021
- @CorpseCrusader @gmg98576892 No. The system is cash bail. Mr. Rittenhouse paid and it was his fired counsel who lied on the form. The judge can't be biased, and there was no serious reason to revoke bail. Has no bearing on the case itself. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @CivilLost @LonJandis Wrong people to sue. The city ia still getting sued. "We appreciate you guys, we really do." when militias are illegal is probably worth \$20 million. Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis Basically, when you shoot someone, it is important to make a self-defense claim immediately and loudly. Feb 18, 2021
- @HitsUback @HughMutant @LonJandis The two groups don't know each other and from a distance, it isn't particularly obvious what happened. Understand, a state judge has reviewed shooting #1 and still ordered a trial. For people living through this, yeah, it's valid for them to be concerned. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @LonJandis Basically, it is wrongful to shoot someone without explanation, run into new people with your gun still out to the background screams of

- people yelling about a shooter, and play dumb until people feel they have no choice but to stop you. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @LonJandis I'm not referring to shooting #1. I'm referring to Mr. Rittenhouse running into the new neighborhood afterward with his weapon at low ready offering no explanation for what happened and refusing to answer any calls for answers for 45 seconds. That is likely tortious. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @LonJandis Mr. Huber very likely was acting in lawful third-party defense against an apparent armed&dangerous shooter fleeing a shooting scene. This was made audibly very clear to Mr. Rittenhouse. You can't use lethal force on lawful interference. Feb 18, 2021
- @HughMutant @CivilLost @LonJandis The case was pulled by the plaintiffs. Feb 18, 2021
- @FkOffCommies @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 A state judge has ordered there was probable cause of an illegal homicide for the first shooting. You have to give the people who are living this in real time the same benefit. Kyle ran into their street and made this their problem with a held weapon. Feb 18, 2021
- @derp9869_ @DonRumata18 @RachxKitty Legally not true. If a loose shooter who has visibly shot someone is running toward more people, third-party defensive privilege applies. Yes, you can intercept shooters as they are approaching new targets. Feb 18, 2021
- @FkOffCommies @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 Those are damning shots from a suspected felony shooter who knows the only reason people are chasing him is because they are worried he will kill more people. You can't use lethal force against lawful interference. The kicker is privileged under third-party defense. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 The facts don't bear that our when you watch the whole 65 seconds from shooting to shooting, with audio. Sorry, that is what the jury will see. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 I'm not saying it wasn't self-defense. It just isn't lawful if it is used against lawful interference. This is why bank robbers can blow away people who try to tackle them. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 Self-defense is only lawful if used against unlawful interference. For the second shooting, that wasn't the case. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 Legally incorrect. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 The unarmed black man who intercepted Mr. Rittenhouse before Mr. Huber. Mr. Rittenhouse fired two bullets at him. That is a damning use of force given Mr. Rittenhouse knows for a fact their interest in him is defensive in nature. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 No, I'm referencing the guy operating under lawful third-party defense who tried to kick Rittenhouse after he was an established loose shooter fleeing an apparent armed felony. Rittenhouse tried to shoot him twice. After refusing to even say "it was self defense guys!" Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 And for the record, Mr. Rittenhouse had fired two bullets at an unarmed guy before the skateboard entered the picture. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 For 45 seconds these people yelled "he shot someone!", "Why did you do it?", "Stop him!" before anyone did anything seriously

- physical. Sorry, BS like that will fall apart in court. To shoot people so clearly concerned about a killer on the loose is damning. Feb 18, 2021
- @PeckPolitics @RachxKitty @Breaking911 Mr. Huber was acting under lawful third-party defense against an armed&dangerous apparent threat who ran into their area having committed an apparent armed felony. Mr. Rittenhouse can only use lethal force on unlawful interference. Feb 18, 2021
- @derp9869_ @DonRumata18 @RachxKitty As I said, third-party defense, legal under Wisconsin Law. Feb 18, 2021
- @DonRumata18 @RachxKitty Mr. Huber was acting in lawful third-party defense against an apparent armed & dangerous threat fleeing an armed felony. Mr. Rittenhouse can only self-defend with lethal force against unlawful interference on his person. Feb 18, 2021
- @marklutchman Mr. Rittenhouse fired on people engaged in lawful self-defense and third-party defense against an apparent armed threat escaping an armed felony. Self-defense with lethal force is only permissible against unlawful interference. Feb 18, 2021
- @Indikur Mr. Huber was likely acting in lawful third-party defense against an armed threat who had just apparently committed an armed felony. Mr. Rittenhouse was only legally allowed to use deadly force on unlawful interference. Feb 18, 2021
- @PstafarianPrice @cyantist Dominick Black's case is about child endangerment. Feb 18, 2021
- @NeroBirb @The_HBoriginal @browns_brewer @Oh__Cleveland One 9 mm generally won't win against a four point AR-15 already pointed at you. Mr. Grosskreutz never had a shot prior to that which wouldn't have endangered innocents, hence why he never fired. Feb 18, 2021
- @NeroBirb @The_HBoriginal @browns_brewer @Oh__Cleveland Yeah, in a sane political environment the Conservative take would be why didn't Mr. Huber have a concealed carry. Feb 18, 2021
- @The_HBoriginal @browns_brewer @Oh__Cleveland Mr. Huber was very likely acting in lawful third-party defense per Wisconsin statute to stop a dangerously armed suspect running from an apparent armed felony. Mr. Rittenhouse, per the same statute, can only use force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 18, 2021
- @olehippyinAVL @MelissaWinder6 @realTuckFrumper Wisconsin state law has cash bail only tied to willingness to show to court. It was set at \$2 million. Nazis hacked the system Feb 18, 2021
- @dariaanne Mr. Huber was very likely acting in lawful third-party defense, per Wisconsin statute, to stop an apparent threat who had just apparently committed an armed felony. Mr. Rittenhouse, per the same statute, can only use force against unlawful interference. \$ can't change that. Feb 18, 2021
- @Ptest24619232 Frankly, going after the city is smarter. "We appreciate you guys, we really do." probably cost the city \$20 million dollars. Militias are illegal in Wisconsin. Feb 18, 2021
- @libertydownundr Mr. Huber was likely acting in lawful third-party defense against an apparent threat. His interference on Mr. Rittenhouse's person was likely lawful. Mr. Rittenhouse could only use lethal force against unlawful interference. Feb 17, 2021

- @3illSweet There is no word in our language as flexible. https://t.co/ZLVg9Z9eQi Feb 17, 2021
- @WhiteTallon @TheGraviter Mr. Tallon, by all means, reply if you like. I said good day to Mr. Graviter, and I bid you a good day as well. Feb 17, 2021
- @WhiteTallon @TheGraviter Your explanation would probably get Mr. Rittenhouse convicted. If you read the statute title on Self-Defense, it provides a strong clue as to why. Feb 17, 2021
- @STDeltaShift Oooph. Dark Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon I've forgotten who I said goodbye to, so by all means take the last word. I'm heading off to be productive somewhere. Have a nice day. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon As I have stated before, Mr. Huber's interest is likely largely third-party defense, which is allowed under Wisconsin statute. There is an apparent armed threat in the immediate area that was forced upon him and the people there. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon You know what, take the last word. I intruded in your party. I've made my points clear and so have you. Have a nice day. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon He did defend himself. That is very clear. The question is could he reasonably be certain their interference to his person was unlawful. Given the audio track, that is very very hard to say with a straight face. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon Mr. Huber never heard that explanation. And it is a nondenial at best and a plan to attack the police at worst. The video is nothing but authentic, the person who heard it wasn't malicious and clearly though Mr. Rittenhouse was a threat. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon Mr. Huber never heard that comment. The person who did could easily have interpreted it as the non-denial of a killer on the loose. Look, I want a fair trial. If I were on D, I'd focus on the asshole who put them there, gave him a gun, and stayed on a roof. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon Irrelevant, that is after the second shooting. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon Again, third-party defense is legal in Wisconsin and he is running toward other protestors and unsuspecting cops. And his weapons range could kill them from 550 meters. The reasonable interpretation of statute isn't on your side. But that will be the judge's call. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon Happy to oblige. https://t.co/1SnRLmaxPp I timestamped it to right after the first shooting. There's about 65 seconds between shootings, about 45 seconds between when people took note of him to when Mr. Rittenhouse started firing. The "mob" was a slow snowball effect. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon Irrelevant if you have reason to believe their interest in you is Lawful. And if you fire out of panic, you haven't made that determination. That would be reckless homicide. Mr. Rittenhouse's best defense is he was put there by an abusive adult who also gave him a gun. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon There has been outstanding bias-less video assembly done on YouTube. Feb 17, 2021
- @WhiteTallon @TheGraviter I have. The audio is damning. Mr. Rittenhouse knows this isn't a random mob. Know they were trying to "Stop him!" Because "he shot someone!".

- Under that type of interest, shots without explanatory words seems hard to defend. He had 45 seconds. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon The full unadulterated video of them pleading for answers from him for 45 seconds before trying anything says a very different story. Again, it is very very hard to justify shots without a "Guys, it was self-defense!" first. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon Again, you are ignoring the third-party defense portion. Under your rules, you couldn't intercept a known apparent armed threat running toward a playground full of kids. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon The Law says different. Again, no one was looking for this problem. It arrived at their doorstep gun out to the screams of people yelling about a shooter. A judge will likely find this tortious and thus require the utmost stringent self-defense requirement on Mr. Huber. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon If the loose shooter is running toward people, even cops you think might be ambushed or killed, the Law allows for third-party defense against an apparent threat. Again, Mr. Rittenhouse ran into this neighborhood and made him their problem. Feb 17, 2021
- @TheGraviter @WhiteTallon It is absolutely self-defense, but a required component for legality is you must reasonably believe the interference is unlawful. People are very loudly worried he is a loose shooter. For 45 seconds. Under that context, it is very hard to justify shots before words. Feb 17, 2021
- @WhiteTallon @TheGraviter Irrelevant. Mr. Huber was likely acting in lawful self-defense and third-party defense when trying to intercept a dangerous shooter who ran into their area with a long range weapon. Mr. Rittenhouse can only fire on unlawful interference. Feb 17, 2021
- @EdgeLordLeo1 @jamesrbuk @Change I guarantee you the judge sees someone who should be in prison. He can't make up Laws for that to happen before trial unfortunately. Feb 17, 2021
- Microsoft should seriously consider making an official #xbox webcam for Windows Hello authentication and Skype. Feb 17, 2021
- @OwenORourke7 @JohnLopezIL Simply put, based on witness testimony, it will likely be established Anthony's Huber's actions are covered under Wisconsin Third-Party (girlfriend) and First-Party (self) lawful defense. https://t.co/704oUFyZdD Feb 17, 2021
- @OwenORourke7 @JohnLopezIL The real question is whether a reasonable person in Mr. Rittenhouse's situation wouldn't figure out the people trying to intercept him are simply trying to stop a dangerous shooter in self-defense and third-party defense. Lethal force can only be used on unlawful interference. Feb 17, 2021
- @smcroasters He endangered a minor while staying safe on a roof himself, and the case is flawless. Feb 17, 2021
- @TrishH79 @CTS_Arms_AZ @modeka @FoxNews @JoeBiden @POTUS 175 rioters were arrested in Kenosha. Rosenbaum's buddy is being charged with felony arson. People are being prosecuted, but if a case isn't newsworthy, you likely won't hear about it. Feb 17, 2021
- @TrishH79 @CTS_Arms_AZ @modeka @FoxNews @JoeBiden @POTUS Here you go. https://t.co/zknu87jrYY Frankly, if you felt like Mr. Rittenhouse shouldn't be held

- criminally culpable or at least see leniency at sentencing, some blame perhaps should go to the Rick who put the Morty in danger. Feb 16, 2021
- @TrishH79 @CTS_Arms_AZ @modeka @FoxNews @JoeBiden @POTUS Mr. Rittenhouse's adult friend, Dominick Black, confessed to taking Mr. Rittenhouse's money to buy the gun for him. And then gave it to him and drove him into harm's way, while Dominick stayed safe on a roof himself. These facts are not in dispute. Feb 16, 2021
- @CTS_Arms_AZ @modeka @FoxNews @JoeBiden @POTUS It's kinda a moot gun control point in this case. There were gun laws in place to keep Mr. Rittenhouse from carrying. The gun was illegally straw-purchased and the purchaser is likely going to prison for up to 12 years. Not sure what other lawful measures are available. Feb 16, 2021
- @Amy_Siskind Define strong please...:) Feb 16, 2021
- @thurrott I really want a Hello camera. Have the "Kinect"ed xbox one and an Xbox Series X. Really miss the automated login the Kinect brought to the table. Really handy for families. Feb 16, 2021
- @LConflicts @realstewpeters It ultimately comes down to whether Mr. Rittenhouse running into new people at low ready after visibly shooting someone and not offering any explanation as to why for 45 seconds is tortious, essentially a banana peel left on the floor by someone who doesn't care. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa And yes, I believe they put in the paperwork for a jury. <u>Feb</u> 16, 2021 @
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa Yeah, honestly as someone who wants a fair trial, the best thing to do is to shut the hell up if you are D. The video is pretty clear those trying to stop him aren't being particularly unreasonable. A team of cops would have done the same thing and maybe have been less gentle. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa I believe they asked for charges #2 and #6 to be dismissed at the probable cause hearing. And yeah, it was too soon for that. I don't see how charge #6 would go away. Statute is nested but not particularly unclear. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa In theory anyway. This is Wisconsin and the laws are a bit cray cray They don't even have contactless assault there, if I'm right. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa Yeah, no way. Misspelled last tweet and corrected on a different one. This feel like a tortious question. Was Mr. Rittenhouse wrongful unlawfully to orphan a shooting scene, run into a neighborhood at low ready and refuse even a word of explanation. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa What is very clear is the video is authentic. People are angry and scared at this kid, and they wouldn't have been if he hadn't run into their neighborhood after a shooting with a weapon at low ready. It's more tortious than explicitly criminal, but that would also be unlawful. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa If the judge however feels his actions after the first shooting were reasonable, and he hasn't unlawfully provoked everyone, that would simply be physical escape requirements and an acquittal is more likely. This is already playing out a bit with the dispute on the weapon charge Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa I think if the judge determines Mr. Rittenhouse's provocation to be unlawful and thus he must have exhausted ALL reasonable ways to avoid using lethal force comes into play, yeah, reckless homicide. He will have endangered these people and never used his mouth to fix that... Feb 16, 2021

- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa I think the judge determines Mr. Rittenhouse's provocation to be unlawful and thus he must have exhausted ALL reasonable ways to avoid using lethal force comes into play, yeah, reckless homicide. He will have endangered these people and never used his mouth to fix that... Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @freekyleusa Rough go for the prosecution or the defense? Feb 16, 2021
- @freekyleusa @mirriam71 I've never seen a shooter pledge to attack the police next and run toward them with a held weapon after shooting someone before. Now the intercepters need to protect the cops too. I'm somewhat joking here, but in the heat of the moment, it isn't really a relevant point. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @SecretSquizzer Troubling that's what drives donations. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @SecretSquizzer It does demonstrate it doesn't pay to be the drummer in the back. Mr. Black is facing 12 years for this and maybe he's fundraised two cents. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @SecretSquizzer I've noticed this. I've mentioned the "this is Dominick Black's fault for endangering a youth right?!?" argument, but they won't have it. Most don't want the adult who gave the minor the weapon to be punished either. Feb 16, 2021
- @mirriam71 @SecretSquizzer I feel it'll come down to jury instruction. If found unlawful conduct enables the "must exhaust all reasonable options instruction", it's hard to ignore the lack of "it was self defense guys!" before the trigger pulls, given the pursuit was 45 seconds. Feb 16, 2021
- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven A self-defense claim would shift the legal and morality compass here, but simply put, the lives of the people tricked by Mr. Rittenhouse's actions aren't worth words to him. Feb 15, 2021
- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven Mr. Rittenhouse can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. If the folks making the intercept are doing so lawfully, and he hasn't remotely tried to explain himself, his shots are felonious. Feb 15, 2021
- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven No, he got into trouble he couldn't handle and wanted an authority figure to solve it for him. He had no post-shooting training and basically paraded through a neighborhood at low ready after shooting someone under suspicious circumstances... Feb 15, 2021
- @mirriam71 The video propaganda disseminated for this case is second only to the "Stop the Steal" propaganda that led to the Capitol riots. Feb 15, 2021
- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven Imagine the cops getting a call about a shooting under suspicious circumstances, finding a matching suspect running with a gun at low ready, he ignores calls for answers for 45 seconds and then shoots at a cop twice trying to kick him. Feb 15, 2021
- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven They are operating under lawful third-party defense, per Wisconsin statute and adequately notifies Mr. Rittenhouse their interest in him is the shooting. And when Mr. Rittenhouse fires, the skateboard and gun aren't in the picture. It was at an unarmed guy trying to kick him. Feb 15, 2021
- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven Also, afterwards, don't regroup with your buddy and cry about how you are going to prison for life. It's a dead giveaway in the immediate aftermath you don't believe your shootings were justifiable. Feb 15, 2021

- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven The moral of the story is if you shoot someone under questionable circumstances and lead people on a 45 second parade where they are asking you what happened, make a loud self-defense claim immediately. Feb 15, 2021
- @streettacolobby @SkoczSteven The real problem is if the folks trying to intercept KR after shooting #1 were doing so in reasonable third-party defense (allowed under Wisconsin Law), their use of force on KR would be lawful. KR can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @HitsUback @mirriam71 You are unfamiliar with the facts. Kyle ran into new people after shooting #1, and they had to deal with it. They pursued Kyle in lawful first and third party defense, enabled in Wisconsin Law, similar to how you would intercept a campus shooter. KR can't fire on them. Feb 15, 2021
- @mirriam71 The moral of the story is if you shoot someone under questionable circumstances and lead people on a 45 second parade where they are asking you what happened, make a loud self-defense claim immediately. Feb 15, 2021
- @mirriam71 KR's real problem is if the folks trying to intercept KR after shooting #1 were doing so in reasonable third-party defense (allowed under Wisconsin Law), their use of force on KR would be lawful. KR can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @prenoumena I agree posturing and wishing harm on people is bad. My apologies if I misspoke. Feb 15, 2021
- @jlburns314 The moral of the story is if you shoot someone under questionable circumstances and lead people on a 45 second parade where they are asking you what happened, make a loud self-defense claim immediately. Feb 15, 2021
- @jlburns314 The real problem is if the folks trying to intercept KR after shooting #1 were doing so in reasonable third-party defense (allowed under Wisconsin Law), their use of force on KR would be lawful. KR can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @prenoumena The comments are unnecessary, however he is legally in hot water. <u>Feb</u> 15, 2021 @
- @JohnLopezIL @freekyleusa @barnes_law OK, Fair enough. Hadn't watched. It just registered as an instinctual red flag. Thanks for the clarification. Feb 15, 2021
- @iamReneValle @bigart75 @KTLA The moral of the story is if you shoot someone under questionable circumstances and lead people on a 45 second parade where they are asking you what happened, make a loud self-defense claim immediately. Feb 15, 2021
- @iamReneValle @bigart75 @KTLA The real problem is if the folks trying to intercept KR after shooting #1 were doing so in reasonable third-party defense (allowed under Wisconsin Law), their use of force on KR would be lawful. KR can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL @freekyleusa @barnes_law Mr. Barnes should not be discussing this case on podcasts. This is not helpful for the defense team. Feb 15, 2021
- @PNKFFinal @WBxActual @WhimsyQuest @renegadecheese @libertascasii2 Here's the Law: https://t.co/704oUFyZdD Imagine the cops getting a call about a shooting under suspicious circumstances, finding a matching suspect running with a gun at low ready, he ignores calls for answers for 45 seconds and then shoots a cop trying to kick him. Feb 15, 2021

- @NONOBIDEN @realstewpeters The real problem is if the folks trying to intercept KR after shooting #1 were doing so in reasonable third-party defense (allowed under Wisconsin Law), their use of force on KR would be lawful. KR can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @WBxActual @PNKFFinal @WhimsyQuest @renegadecheese @libertascasii2 Also, afterwards, don't regroup with your buddy and cry about how you are going to prison for life. It's a dead giveaway in the immediate aftermath you don't believe your shootings were justifiable. Feb 15, 2021
- @WBxActual @PNKFFinal @WhimsyQuest @renegadecheese @libertascasii2 The moral of the story is if you shoot someone under questionable circumstances and lead people on a 45 second parade where they are asking you what happened, make a loud self-defense claim immediately. Feb 15, 2021
- @WBxActual @PNKFFinal @WhimsyQuest @renegadecheese @libertascasii2 The real problem is if the folks trying to intercept KR after shooting #1 were doing so in reasonable third-party defense (allowed under Wisconsin Law), their use of force on KR would be lawful. KR can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @BrianOSheaSPI @libertascasii2 @nature The moral of the story is if you shoot someone under questionable circumstances and lead people on a 45 second parade where they are asking you what happened, make a loud self-defense claim immediately. Feb 15, 2021
- @BrianOSheaSPI @libertascasii2 @nature The real problem is if the folks trying to intercept KR after shooting #1 were doing so in reasonable third-party defense (allowed under Wisconsin Law), their use of force on KR would be lawful. KR can only use lethal force on unlawful interference to his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger For this shooting, it is possible that is true. It's unfortunate he didn't stop there. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger @LConflicts The force wasn't unlawful. Hence irrelevant. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger @LConflicts Irrelevant. Feb 15, 2021
- @LConflicts @Kylekroneberger Nope. 939.48 explicitly allows defense of third-parties, including those that might be down the street. Pursuit, interception, and use of force was lawful under 939.48. Mr. Rittenhouse can only fire on unlawful interference. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger Under 939.48 their use of force is 100% lawful. Mr. Rittenhouse can only use lethal force on unlawful interference. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger Irrelevant. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger Yes. That man is acting within the law per statute 939.48 in defense of his person and third-parties. Mr. Rittenhouse has received sufficient audible notices. That use of force was lawful and it is noteworthy did not cause great bodily harm. Rittenhouse fires at him twice. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger Irrelevant as the interference on Mr. Rittenhouse isn't unlawful. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger The first person Rittenhouse shot at in the second encounter. Perhaps you haven't reviewed the full video or audio. Feb 15, 2021

- @Kylekroneberger If the belief is reasonable, the suspect is still holding a weapon capable lethal force, and has ignored all questions regarding what happened, then yes. Under 939.48, the cop who shot Jacob Blake could have put eight bullets in him. Feb 15, 2021 ₽
- @Kylekroneberger Mr. Rittenhouse fires two bullets at an unarmed person operating under lawful defense mandate, well before a skateboard or gun enters the picture. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger Irrelevant. They are operating under a legal self-defense and third-party defense allowance provided by 939.48. They have a reasonable belief he is an active threat and make that known to Mr. Rittenhouse. He can't fire on people acting lawfully. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger Irrelevant. Their interest and interception of Mr. Rittenhouse was lawful under 939.48, and they made their concern known to him for 45 seconds before they tried anything seriously physical. Mr. Rittenhouse can only fire in response to unlawful interference of his person. Feb 15, 2021
- @Kylekroneberger The group of people pursuing Mr. Rittenhouse had a reasonable belief he was a shooter on the loose and made it audibly obvious. As such, their use of force was lawful and protected under 939.48. Mr. Rittenhouse can only fire in response to unlawful force. Feb 15, 2021
- @LConflicts @realstewpeters Nope. Self defense statute explicitly states lethal force can only be used against people conducting unlawful interference on ones person. Those questioning, and finally trying to disarm Kyle were operating under a lawfully protected defense rationale. Shots were felonious. Feb 15, 2021
- @KungPao19 @Dootz1937 @realstewpeters Irrelevant. Feb 15, 2021 @
- @KungPao19 @Dootz1937 @realstewpeters The Judge has no lawful reason to sanction Kyle when he hasn't seriously violated his bail conditions. But yeah, Kyle is asked multiple times why he shot someone. The audio track is damning. He knows they are doing this out of defense. Or he's panicked. Feb 15, 2021
- @Dootz1937 @realstewpeters @KungPao19 Self-defense statute only allows Kyle to fire due to unlawful interference with his person. As he knows they are operating out of self-defense themselves, his shots are felonious. Feb 15, 2021
- @Dootz1937 @realstewpeters @KungPao19 The problem is those trying to intercept Kyle after the first shooting are vocally clear they are trying to stop a shooter on the loose. As such they are operating under a lawful 3rd-party and 1st-party defense. Their interference on Kyle's person isn't unlawful and Kyle knows it Feb 15, 2021
- @realstewpeters Had Kyle stopped with Rosenbaum, he might still have a future. But shooting people who are so obviously acting in legal third-party defense. No. Feb 15, 2021
- @realstewpeters The self-defense statute only allows use of force against unlawful interference of person. The people responding to the first shooting were acting in lawful self defense of their community, allowed per statute (third-party defense) and are vocal about that... Feb 15, 2021
- @SasamiMasaki @krawtch @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers Per statute, he's guilty, and frankly, endangerment of a minor is something juries aren't really sympathetic to. Feb 15, 2021

- @SasamiMasaki @krawtch @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers The minor statute becomes a felony for the adult side of the weapons exchange if death for any reason is the result. Up to six years prison per death and yes, he has been charged with these two felonies. https://t.co/OHcMjTKfcdFeb 15, 2021
- @youngie42 @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Frankly, it would have taken less effort that running a couple blocks. Feb 15, 2021
- @youngie42 @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia I just don't understand your contradiction. You said he exhausted all reasonable options but when I mention one you missed (self-defense claim), you simply say he didn't have to offer it. Doesn't mean it isn't a reasonable option. Feb 15, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia As I said, it will be the judge's call. Feb 15, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia The Law recognizes that provocation can be endangering as people are then reacting out of fear, anger, etc... Friends they might have down the street. Neighbors they don't want to see shot, etc... Ultimately it will be the judge's call what the instruction is. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Not relevant. You can be kind to some and have utter disregard for human life to others. One "guys, the other guy tried to kill me" might have ended this, especially earlier in. It's a worthwhile question to ponder why their lives weren't worth words. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Ultimately, it comes down to endangerment of other parties. Kyle noted earlier in the evening he might need to use his weapon and yet he "runs into harm's way". Wisconsin frowns on elective projection of armed force. It's noteworthy Militias are not lawful in Wisconsin. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia And the guy yelled because he saw a shooting and Kyle running away from the parking lot into their neighborhood with a gun at low ready, which is a threatening carry style. It's provocation under unlawful circumstances. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia And that is damning. Someone went to prison in Wisconsin in a 2016 case for sneaking into a child pornographers home with a gun and firing in self-defense while discovering the pornography. The Laws are HIGHLY slanted toward reducing the risk of deaths. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Basically, Kyle has endangered them by making himself a convincing threat to their community in the emotions of the moment. They ask him several times why he shot someone, but he doesn't care to de-endanger them, despite words being easy and quick. He just doesn't care. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Simply put, the video is nothing but authentic. No one is acting. People genuinely think Kyle is a murderer on the loose and if Kyle hadn't been breaking curfew

- with a held illegal weapon in the neighborhood, this wouldn't be happening. And he fully knows he has caused this. 2/2 Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Kyle running into a neighborhood with a raised unlawfully possessed weapon after shooting someone during a curfew where none of this was legal would be a provocation under unlawful circumstances. He has authentically convinced people he is a murderer on the loose... 1/2 Feb 14, 2021

 ■
- @SasamiMasaki @krawtch @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers That said, Kyle is 17 and it's not impossible a jury finds Dominick Black, the guy facing 12 years in prison for this (straw purchase of weapon for minor) ultimately responsible. The bullets were felonious in that sense. He gets prison regardless of any self defense claim Feb 14, 2021
- @SasamiMasaki @krawtch @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers Reckless homicide is hardly a new legal concept. If you electively and specifically bring a gun to a situation where you know you might need to use it, it's premeditation to be reckless and can defeat a situational defense claim... Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia I think you underappreciate the reckless criminal liability here. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Jury instruction will likely demand more than simple distance retreat. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia From start to finish, there were 45 seconds everyone was asking him why he killed someone. This only happened because he orphaned the shooting scene and ran into the neighborhood. Kyle could have clarified the matter at anytime but didn't because he could just kill them. Feb 14, 2021
- @youngie42 @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Likely jury instruction might differ from that assessment Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957
 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @DonutOperator You are free to believe the misleading footage you have. Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @DonutOperator Kyle had fired his gun twice before the skateboard entered the picture. Feb 14, 2021
- @kill_all_pedos @RekietaMedia @CCrowe90 @PHL_BirdsFlyers Again, you probably don't understand what reckless homicide even is. Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @DonutOperator All I saw was a skateboard hit to a shoulder and an attempt to pull the gun away, with Huber being killed on his way out. I don't recall a hammer being involved. Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @DonutOperator No, neutralization of a known dangerous threat to the community. Again, God forbid these people are concerned about their neighbors, friends, or loved ones getting gunned down by a shooter on the loose. Feb 14, 2021

- @haxtus @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @DonutOperator Irrelevant. The Law has long recognized the rights of citizens to stop/detain suspects reasonably believed to have just committed horrendous crimes, especially if they are still holding the alleged long range murder weapon. Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957
 @PHL_BirdsFlyers Irrelevant. Anyone could be concerned with who this guy who shot someone might kill next. Again, they were asking him for 45 seconds why he did it. Blowing that off and then blowing them away is a strained self-defense argument. Feb 14, 2021
- @krawtch @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers I'd advise anyone that before heading out armed, it is a very good idea to understand the related laws, lawyer or not. Especially in the areas of reckless endangerment and death. Simply put, electively looking for trouble to address with a firearm is legally a bad idea. Feb 14, 2021
- @RekietaMedia @haxtus @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers You are holding a man who has just witnessed a shooting and an escaping suspect armed with an AR-15 to a legally unreasonable standard. No prosecutor in the world would bring charges. Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Legally, you couldn't be more wrong. Feb 14, 2021
- @WookiesCantFly @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Sure. "Hey guys, self defense!" was simply a likely legally required step before killing people whose only crime is they believe you are a dangerously armed killer on the loose. Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Yes, that is damning. The was a slow snowball effect that Kyle could have addressed verbally anytime by making a self-defense claim. He doesn't care to, because he can just shoot them if he has to. On a night where he bragged about "we don't have nonlethal". Feb 14, 2021
- @haxtus @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia No, Kyle coming at them with a threatening held weapon is the provocation, on a night where none of this is legal. To excuse Kyle of threatening everyone with a raised weapon is hopelessly stupid. Feb 14, 2021 @
- @youngie42 @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia He never said, "hey guys, self defense". If you believe their lives weren't worth words, OK, that's youm Feb 14, 2021
- @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia The chase in its entirety is fairly damning. Kyle stops to chat with a militia guy but simply doesn't care about the people troubled by his actions. Because he can just shoot them if need be if he can't reach an authority figure. https://t.co/CBvwSZ41iA Feb 14, 2021
- @RekietaMedia @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers Clearly, you haven't read the statute. Feb 14, 2021
- @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia This is how Kyle looks as he's leaving the parking lot as captured on camera. This is the

- moment he encounters the fresh group of people who had nothing to do with this. There is a lawful curfew in effect. That is inherently troubling, and the carry is illegal. https://t.co/blkwlldlww Feb 14, 2021
- @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia It's not about what's legal, its about simply demonstrating Kyle having an utter disregard for the safety of his own Mom and the Mom yet again enabling Kyle to do what he wants. Kinda like enabling him to be a high school dropout. Feb 14, 2021
- @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia It's not a matter of being 100% sure it would work. it's about the 1% chance it might have. If Kyle is found to be provocative under unlawful circumstances (very likely), jury instruction will require exhausting every reasonable option before resorting to lethal force. Feb 14, 2021
- @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia To drive this point home, he takes his enabling Mom to a bar where people get drunk in a town where half the people hate him and a smaller amount might do something about it. Wearing a "free a f#ck t-shirt". Forget about personal risk, his own Mom is in potential crossfire here. Feb 14, 2021
- @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Sets of compiled video show Kyle had 45 seconds to address this, but he's basically a sibling who gets in over his head, and when it is more than he wants to deal with, runs off to find an authority figure. No one has ever instructed this kid how to independently handle himself Feb 14, 2021
- @runningdogx @RapeMatters @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia The issue is that if you listen to the audio, Kyle knows why they are chasing him. This isn't a random set of rioters, but people convinced Kyle is a murderer and Kyle knows his actions made that happen. A quick "hey guys, it was self-defense" seems like a minimally required try. Feb 14, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Dominick Black literally straw-purchased the gun for Kyle, gave it to him that night, drove him to a dangerous area, and then stayed safe on a roof himself. It's an open and shut case. His own lawyer has quit, it's so hopeless. Feb 14, 2021
- @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia No blame for the adult who gave a kid an illegal gun, drove him to a known dangerous area, and stayed safe himself on a roof? Too bad. I thought we had room for limited agreement. Feb 14, 2021 @
- @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia So you are putting blame 100% on Dominick Black, the adult getting up to 12 years for giving him the gun and driving him there? No argument that he is scum? Feb 14, 2021
- @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia Kyle stopped and chatted with a militia guy, but not the people disturbed he had just shot someone. Their lives weren't worth words to him. In circumstances and totality it is damning.

 https://t.co/AedMBaQnYn He had time for words, he just didn't care. Feb 14, 2021 Feb
- @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia The self-defense statute explicitly states that before you can use lethal force after having provided provocation under unlawful circumstances, you must expend every reasonable method to not use lethal force. "Hey guys, it was self-defense!" would seem reasonable. Feb 14, 2021

- @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia The videos you saw leave out the 45 seconds where no one from the second group had attacked Kyle yet and he ignored their pleas for answers and their "he just shot someone!" shouts. It's quite damning. It proves when he made his trigger pulls, he knew he'd set them up to die. Feb 14, 2021
- @jorje75835957 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @RekietaMedia After the 1st shooting, the concerned bystanders are shouting "he shot someone!" and "why did you do it?!". For 45 seconds. This isn't a random mob, but people worried a murderer is on the loose. Kyle could verbally address this but doesn't because he can kill them if needed. Feb 14, 2021
- @AnnCoulter @jorje75835957 Wow. Feb 14, 2021 @
- @PHL_BirdsFlyers @CCrowe90 @kill_all_pedos @RekietaMedia Dominick Black, the friend who did that, is facing 12 years in prison for this, regardless of Kyle's final case outcome. Feb 14, 2021 &
- @CCrowe90 @PHL_BirdsFlyers @kill_all_pedos @RekietaMedia When you go looking for trouble with a gun as your only tool for defense and then use it, it is reckless homicide in countless jurisdictions. Feb 14, 2021
- @PissedOffReview @freekyleusa Unnecessary. Feb 14, 2021
- @MarcieStarfleet Kirk blew her up, to save a best buddy. Feb 14, 2021
- @demo4freekyle @JohnLopezIL Dominick Black trusted Kyle to not get into trouble with the illegal weapon. He's facing 12 years in prison regardless of any self-defense claim on Kyle's part, 6 per death. It doesn't pay to be Kyle's trusting friend, like it didn't pay to storm the Capitol on Trump's behalf. Feb 14, 2021
- @MistrLoudermilk @HauntedMemphis @MarilynCapps It wasn't her. It was Kyle's friend Dominick Black, who is facing 12 years in prison for this. Feb 14, 2021
- @ShawnLaighean The bail was \$2 million. And Wisconsin bail isn't about risk mitigation, and didn't foresee Internet fundraising. It sucks, I agree. Feb 13, 2021
- @ShawnLaighean The judge recognizes a homicidal sociopath when he sees one. You don't do his job for decades and not know how to do that. He even withheld the address from the DA to keep his staff safe. Cash bail is what it is and he is the ref. He couldn't bend the rules. Feb 13, 2021
- @krskipp @DaFrisbeeFriday @Poggerz13 @cavemanhippo22 @BSdetector_ @LibertarianBlue It's not about initial intent. It's about endangering others by bringing a lethal weapon into a known dangerous environment, with the plan to use it potentially. And then making it happen. At a minimal it's reckless homicide. The core problem lies with a lack of father figure. Feb 13, 2021
- @LeoElLeon11 @washingtonpost The judge knows a homicidal sociopath when he sees one. You don't do his job for decades and not be able to tell. He doesn't like this. Taking the DA out of the loop on whereabouts was for the safety of him and his staff. Feb 13, 2021
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes nite. Feb 13, 2021
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes And finally, he criminal histories of the participants is not germaine. Many reasonable people would have done what Huber and Gaige did. Frankly, character assassinating the local who tried to take out an out-of-town gunman with a skateboard is folly in the courtroom. Feb 13, 2021

- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes And for the second shooting, Kyle ran at the second group of people with the weapon. He's the provoker in this case, and the carry and him being their is unlawful. Again, the standard for self-defense is very high, and he would be required to exhaust every reasonable option Feb 13, 2021
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes There's some points he misses. For one, it is illegal to proactively electively go looking for trouble if you think you might need to shoot someone. If it happens and you shoot, it's reckless homicide. This makes the defense requirement for Rittenhouse in the first shooting high. Feb 13, 2021
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes There has been amazing video compilation work. https://t.co/nFbRcUnKIc Frankly, it blows the claim this was just some random mob out of the water. Kyle threatens them with a gun as he is leaving the parking lot and they have to decide how to handle that. Feb 13, 2021
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes He stopped to chat with a militia guy when people were already people getting upset with him. It's on video. Nothing was wrong with his mouth Feb 13, 2021 &
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes Then there is the fact he neutralized an unarmed man with four rounds from a high powered rifle, the last trigger pull when JR is flat on the ground. Feb 13, 2021
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes Shooting #1 is reckless homicide. Kyle boasted on camera earlier about running into harm's way and that's why he had his gun. You're really not allowed to electively do that, especially with an unlawful weapon and in violation of curfew. Feb 13, 2021
- @RealBlueSpice @JanineMaverick @GotzMadSkittlez @brandnew582000 @Forbes After the 1st shooting, the concerned bystanders are shouting "he shot someone!" and "why did you do it?!". For 45 seconds. This isn't a random mob, but people worried a murderer is on the loose. Kyle could verbally address this but doesn't because he can kill them if needed. Feb 13, 2021
- @Smilex57384116 @Anthony_J174 @pool_store_ownR @mr_atheist @JohnCollins_KP After the 1st shooting, the concerned bystanders are shouting "he shot someone!" and "why did you do it?!". For 45 seconds. This isn't a random mob, but people worried a murderer is on the loose. Kyle could verbally address this but doesn't because he can kill them if needed. Feb 13, 2021
- @dhberry313 @JohnCollins_KP @Smilex57384116 @Anthony_J174 @pool_store_ownR @mr_atheist After the 1st shooting, the concerned bystanders are shouting "he shot someone!" and "why did you do it?!". For 45 seconds. This isn't a random mob, but people worried a murderer is on the loose. Kyle could verbally address this but doesn't because he can kill them if needed. Feb 13, 2021
- I love the new XBox red controller but I already have four... Hmm. Feb 13, 2021
- @UrbanWonKenobi @FusRohDah @mrviewmont @NRA He fired his gun in the air and not at anyone. It was a stupid move, but not necessarily a major factor here, especially with the first shooting being prosecuted as a reckless homicide. Kyle is basically being charged with looking for trouble with a gun and then using it. Feb 13, 2021

- @_Kristiana_ @etgryphon @SalHernandez The judge knows a homicidal sociopath when he sees one. He is looking to minimize any and all confrontations and allegations of bias. The risk profile is what it is. Cash bail is a broken system. Feb 13, 2021 🗗
- @IsaacYankem_DDS @stargazerxx08 @krisDcooney @JaykMan80 @SalHernandez No, his buddy drove him and illegally gave him a gun. He's facing 12 years for this. Feb 13, 2021
- @xylem44 @Andy_Olsen @PenlandKW @SalHernandez He knows a homicidal sociopath when he sees one. I promise you he doesn't like this. Feb 13, 2021
- @kristinnw The judge knows a homicidal sociopath when he sees one. He's been doing this for decades. Absolving the DA of having to manage an unmanageable risk was doing him a favor. Kyle is begging for more confrontations. Hence the bar and the t-shirt. Feb 13, 2021
- @Trumptrain1997 He is charitably a highly controversial public figure people are understandably emotional about, and he brought his own Mom into a bar where people get drunk. Reckless endangerment of one's own Mom is a bright caution flag. Sociopathy doesn't know political boundaries. Feb 13, 2021
- @CuckelF @1_Smooth1 @NBCNews DC Mayor told the city to stay at home. They did. So now it is clear who to arrest and send to prison for decades. 200+ arrests so far and growing. Feb 13, 2021
- @Kittenhouse4 @elzey_t Bottom line is you can't provoke with unlawful activity, and then just kill people who are predictably going to react to it. It specifically ends self-defense privilege per statute. Feb 13, 2021
- @Kittenhouse4 @elzey_t If Kyle is a murderer, he might kill their friends and loved ones. They were defending their community. Kyle knew their concern and didn't care because he could kill them. Considering Kyle's presence and weapon are unlawful, jury instruction will likely disqualify self-defense. Feb 13, 2021
- @SoCalAMO @stuff_charlie I want to know the name of the "brave" man who pushed her through even though people were warning about a gun on the other side. Feb 13, 2021
- @stuff_charlie When you try to cross the last line of defense, the Capitol Police can't assume you don't have a bomb on you. It was an unfortunate but understandable shot. Feb 13, 2021
- @Novatecho @hkislander17 @AP4Liberty @ginacarano @HawleyMO @tedcruz @SenMikeLee @RepThomasMassie Jury instruction will likely require he exhausted all available options before resorting to lethal force. His lack of "It was self-defense guys!" likely defeats a self-defense claim, considering he ran into them with a gun out unlawfully. Feb 12, 2021
- @Novatecho @hkislander17 @AP4Liberty @ginacarano @HawleyMO @tedcruz @SenMikeLee @RepThomasMassie After the 1st shooting, the concerned bystanders are shouting "he shot someone!" and "why did you do it?!". For 45 seconds. This isn't a random mob, but people worried a murderer is on the loose. Kyle could verbally address this but doesn't because he can kill them if needed. Feb 12, 2021
- @goodslut75 @slammy99 @Slang775 @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law Jury instruction will likely require Kyle have exhausted all reasonable options before shooting them. Not saying "Guys, it was self defense" would seem to defeat a self-defense defense. Feb 12, 2021

- @goodslut75 @slammy99 @Slang775 @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law Video evidence shows the concerned bystanders pleading with Kyle for an explanation of the shooting after he charges through them with a held weapon still up and ready to kill. For 45 seconds. Kyle ignores their pleas because he has reasoned he can kill them if need be... Feb 12, 2021
- @Charles89638601 @VoodooChild_40 @CorriHess @TSubtext @WPR @nprate From a public safety perspective, all is not well. But the Judge knew what the Law is and frankly did the DA a favor by absolving him of any requirement to try to manage a legally unmanageable situation. Feb 12, 2021
- @fatcatfoodstamp @tideistrolling1 @yog_urt @ABC The Judge insured they got the address. it was Kyle's former lawyer who fudged it. Frankly, their was no law or precedent to punish Kyle for that, as much as I think he is guilty. Feb 12, 2021
- @ScottAdamsSays @barnes law Trump should have had a dog. Feb 12, 2021 &
- @Siderico @ginacarano It's not so much cancel culture as much as it is brand management. Disney is a family brand that sells cheesy entertainment and keeps its actors on tight leashes. It's the price you pay for working for the Mouse on a beloved franchise. Feb 12, 2021
- @Timcast @benshapiro @ginacarano The Mouse isn't going to be uber liberal or uber conservative. The Mouse sells cheesy family entertainment and every actor is an extension of their brand. They know that when they sign their contracts. Feb 12, 2021
- @JohnLopezIL I imagine all those idiots who stormed the Capitol feel a lot like Dominick Black right now. Feb 12, 2021
- @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law Wisconsin statute: https://t.co/8DoiUw2kwP "unless the person reasonably believes he or she has exhausted every other reasonable means to escape from or otherwise avoid death or great bodily harm at the hands of his or her assailant." ... Feb 12, 2021
- @xan_this @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law Most people were yelling "He shot someone!" and "why did you do it?!" Kyle was explicitly asked that six times at least. To Kyle, it was clear everyone thought he was a murderer on the loose and he didn't remotely try to address that concern because he could just shoot them. Feb 12, 2021
- @Struggl66246911 It's not bias. The DA isn't used to having a homicidal sociopath out on bail because of an Internet fundraiser. The power dynamic is disturbingly new, but the Judge is wise enough to understand the Law is the Law and some risks can't be managed. Feb 12, 2021
- @RyanSeifert9 @Reddyredbuff1 @TheYoungTurks Jury instruction will likely require Kyle exhausted all reasonable efforts before firing. Considering he ran AT the second group with a raised held weapon during a lawful curfew, ignoring pleas from people because he could shoot them later if need be is damning. Feb 12, 2021
- @RyanSeifert9 @Reddyredbuff1 @TheYoungTurks After the first shooting, concerned bystanders were yelling "he shot someone!" and "why did you do that?!?". For 45 seconds. Kyle knew everyone thought he was a murderer, and he didn't explain his actions because he knew he could kill them. Feb 12, 2021
- @Kittenhouse4 @elzey_t Legally, he likely had an obligation to do something that obvious to avoid lethal force. And morally, shooting people whose only crime is they think you are a murderer is all sorts of wrong. If you disagree, we simply have different values. Feb 12, 2021

- @Kittenhouse4 @elzey_t Not relevant. Kyle can be kind to some people and have utter disregard for human life toward others. It was clear to Kyle everyone was concerned he was a murderer on the loose, and he didn't explain himself because he knew he could kill them. Feb 12, 2021
- @jilliancyork Touch typing requires a semester of class to learn how to do well. <u>Feb 12</u>,
 2021
- @elzey_t @Kittenhouse4 He is charitably a highly controversial public figure people are understandably emotional about, and he brought his own Mom into a bar where people get drunk. Reckless endangerment of one's own Mom is a bright caution flag. Unfortunately, the cash bail system is what it is. Feb 12, 2021
- @pistach01 It happened, albeit it was his fired lawyer who did that. Rationally, its hard to put that on Rittenhouse or his current lawyer. Feb 12, 2021
- @Kittenhouse4 @elzey_t That said, Wisconsin doesn't have a death penalty and arguably his second kill could be considered reckless homicide, not intentional, which is a class B Felony. Feb 12, 2021
- @Kittenhouse4 @elzey_t Self-defense instructions to the jury will likely require Kyle tried everything reasonable to avoid taking shots in this circumstance. He clearly didn't, hence a self-defense claim likely won't fly. Feb 12, 2021
- @CaesarDarias @FBI These guys literally tried to overthrow our federal democracy and install Trump as a dictator. That cuts to the core of our entire country. Feb 12, 2021
- @Briant1 @MishaLesTP @auboutducoeur @ggreenwald Fault breakdown for Kenosha deaths Dominick Black (sent minor into danger with illegal gun) 30% Rosenbaum and idiot friend 20% Kyle Rittenhouse 40% People responding to shooter on the loose 0% (tragic victims) Feb 12, 2021
- @rachelquenzer @hellopkw @ajplus The judge doesn't like Kyle. You don't do his job for decades without knowing a murderous sociopath when you see one. But he is obligated to play this straight and having watched the steam, justified all his decisions well. Feb 12, 2021
- @Gr8SageEqlofHvn @FusRohDah @SalHernandez And look, the judge knows a murderous sociopath when he sees one. He doesn't want this kid to walk, I can assure you. But the ref bending rules ultimately isn't helpful. Feb 12, 2021
- @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law Kyle ran at fresh people with a held weapon up and ready to kill on a night where none of this was legal in any way. Under unlawful provocation, all reasonable means must be expended before lethal force can be used. That's jury instruction and statute, not me. Feb 12, 2021
- @jcbrhodesia He was not legally able to issue a warrant. A potential future conviction can't be tainted with probable unlawful bias. Feb 12, 2021
- @smol_turducken @logosaetos @anubisthecult @WideyMcknacker @DanWeeksMusic @latimes She is/was an extension of the Mouse's brand. They have contracts against this sort of thing. They sell corny family entertainment and don't want this remotely in their orbit. Feb 12, 2021
- @Gr8SageEqlofHvn @FusRohDah @SalHernandez A bit of good news to balance out the bad. Perhaps I was being presumptuous. Feb 12, 2021
- @Gr8SageEqlofHvn @FusRohDah @SalHernandez Agree to disagree. Here, I think you need this: CNN: Five people associated with Proud Boys arrested for Capitol riot on conspiracy charges. https://t.co/rKQsatUSwk Feb 12, 2021

- @TxAjm72 Dominick Black sent a minor into harm's way while he stayed safe on a rooftop. Feb 12, 2021
- @NephthysPhoenix It doesn't pay to be Kyle's trusting friend. That t-shirt Kyle was wearing at the bar? He doesn't care his buddy is going to prison most likely. Feb 12, 2021
- @Gr8SageEqlofHvn @FusRohDah @SalHernandez Wisconsin's cash bail system has nothing to do with public safety and the DA never asked for restrictions on abode. And the bogus address was entered in by the fired attorney. I don't like the situation, but it isn't a bad thing the prosecutor is free of this. Feb 12, 2021
- @Gr8SageEqlofHvn @FusRohDah @SalHernandez The sheriff's office will have the address, as will the court. Ultimately, functional public safety falls to the sheriff's office. The fundraising lawyers want their \$2million back. Feb 12, 2021
- @Struggl66246911 It was an unguarded emotional moment. Judge gave him a pass. <u>Feb</u> 12, 2021 @
- @Gr8SageEqlofHvn @FusRohDah @SalHernandez This is an unusual unmanageable situation the DA is not equipped legally to handle. Internet fundraisers hacked the system. The judge was wise to give the DA leave from this responsibility. Feb 12, 2021
- @FusRohDah @Gr8SageEqlofHvn @SalHernandez Yeah, this was somewhat of a nothingburger. The DA is used to being able to keep suspected murderers in prison. He can't control this, and the judge is removing the responsibility for him to try. Feb 12, 2021
- @MarcusTvlCicero Kyle was asked for 45 seconds by the concerned bystanders he ran into with a held weapon as to why he shot someone. Kyle ignored their pleas for answers because he could just kill them if need be. Jury instruction will likely require he exhausted all reasonable options. Feb 12, 2021 ₽
- @slammy99 @JStolz87 @LuffenLuffy @Bwalkercox @brentda22 @barnes_law I'm not so sure. They want the bail money refunded. That's \$2 million at stake. I think the fundraising lawyers want to keep him on a short leash. Feb 12, 2021
- @slammy99 @JStolz87 @LuffenLuffy @Bwalkercox @brentda22 @barnes_law Honestly, Kyle is reckless and this whole bond situation is simply not controllable by the DA. The judge basically made it not the DA's responsibility to try. Feb 12, 2021
- @DoNotTreadOnM3 @Serria @CKeefeShea @larryisrael2 @SalHernandez Internet fundraising has universally destroyed cash bail. It's time to reform the system. Feb 12, 2021
- @larryisrael2 @CKeefeShea @SalHernandez Wisconsin doesn't tie bail to public safety. If we are being honest, fundraising on the internet has broken the cash bail system. Feb 12, 2021
- @hmbryan @CKeefeShea @larryisrael2 @SalHernandez Honestly this is for the best. Kyle is reckless about his conduct. If it led to something bad, it is good that no one would suspect the DA. This whole bail situation is outrageous and not manageable. The judge gave the DA a pass for having to try. Feb 12, 2021 ▶
- @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law Basically, if jury instruction requires he exhaust all reasonable avenues before taking further shots, shooting #2 is problematic. He uniquely could have tried to set the record straight, but their lives weren't worth words to him. Feb 11, 2021 @

- @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law After the first shooting, concerned bystanders asked Kyle for any explanation for the shooting. For 45 seconds. They think he is a murderer on the loose, and Kyle knows that for a fact. And yet he didn't mention he shot in self-defense. Because he could shoot them if need be... Feb 11, 2021
- @Missus_Massacre @WanessamJohnso1 @MugsysRapSheet @TheDailyShow If it was his plan to just shoot them if need be, that is intentional homicide. If he simply didn't care about their safety because their lives weren't worth words, that's reckless homicide. In any event, in circumstances and totality, awful. Feb 11, 2021
- @Missus_Massacre @WanessamJohnso1 @MugsysRapSheet @TheDailyShow Worse is the second shooting. 45 seconds passes where everyone is yelling "Why did you shoot him?" And "He shot someone!". Kyle could have said he shot in self-defense at anytime. I imagine he didn't because on some level he could shoot them if need be. Feb 11, 2021 &
- @JohnLopezIL The court will get a real physical address as will the sheriff's office. That was important. Otherwise, the Judge didn't exceed his authority. Played it straight. Feb 11, 2021
- @billf1229 @NeoLokie @barnes_law No, Kyle is at high risk of going to prison. Frankly it will come down to jury instruction and how stringent the self-defense requirements were for shooting #2. Feb 11, 2021
- @GuteTheGreat Honestly, he did the DA a favor. There is a lot of innuendo about this being a political prosecution (completely untrue). If something bad did happen to Rittenhouse, its for the best everyone knows for a fact the DA had nothing to do with it. Feb 11, 2021
- @GuteTheGreat Honestly, the Judge followed the Law, which is important if you want a potential resulting conviction to stand. Feb 11, 2021
- @kamcb29 The DA never requested a restriction of abode for bail terms. Feb 11, 2021
- @TruePat20987381 @MCD66105794 @barnes_law @marxsbane That of course assumes the first shooting is judged as Lawful, which is a coinflip. Traditionally, when you go looking for trouble with a gun, find it, and use it, it's an automatic reckless homicide. That said, there is an argument for perfect-defense there. Feb 11, 2021
- @TruePat20987381 @MCD66105794 @barnes_law @marxsbane Yeah, six members I think. Frankly, I think the prior defense has botched this. The actions of the folks convinced he's a murderer aren't unreasonable. To portray that as otherwise is folly. The question is was it reasonable for Kyle to withhold a self defense claim from them Feb 11, 2021
- @TruePat20987381 @MCD66105794 @barnes_law @marxsbane If that was his plan so he would have an excuse to shoot, that would be intentional homicide. If he just didn't care because their lives weren't worth words and "we don't have nonlethal", that is reckless homicide. In circumstances & totality, the second shooting is damning. 2/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @TruePat20987381 @MCD66105794 @barnes_law @marxsbane The big question is this. We now know the "mob" wasn't immediate. After the first shooting, Kyle saw their anger, and was asked repeatedly why he shot someone. He could have claimed self defense anytime. Did he not do so because he reasoned he could shoot them if need be? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021

- @MCD66105794 @barnes_law @TruePat20987381 @marxsbane Had Kyle stopped at shooting #1, a conviction would be a serious challenge. I don't see intentional homicide with shooting #2 (yet), but to me it is a clear case of reckless homicide. Feb 11, 2021
- @MCD66105794 @barnes_law @TruePat20987381 @marxsbane Outside of politicization, this is pretty typical. Flags and motives aside, you basically had two street gang members antagonize each other, and when shots went off, a bunch of spooked people trying to stop a reasonably assumed murderer on the loose. Feb 11, 2021
- @barnes_law @TruePat20987381 @MCD66105794 @marxsbane Satisfactory hearing. Court now has a physical address on file, as does the sheriff's office. Tough case ahead for both parties. Jury instruction will be crucial. So much of this will depend on stuff like that. Feb 11, 2021
- @ib13trix @HiraethResists No, the judge is constrained by the Law. Honestly, Kyle has made every court appearance and the DA admitted the statutes didn't contemplate Kyle's actions. Telling Judges the Laws are wrong usually doesn't work. Feb 11, 2021
- @Scotty_DoesIt It will likely come down to issued jury instruction of self defense, and frankly whether the DA ups his game. There is a strong case for reckless homicide with regard to the second shooting, but it requires the most stringent restrictions on self-defense be applied. Feb 11, 2021
- @PolarOlaf @johnny_sd @JonLemire Cash bail laws didn't foresee the Internet and the ability to tap millions of donors on both sides of the political spectrum. Frankly, its ripe for revision. Feb 11, 2021
- @NephthysPhoenix Dominick Black already vouched for Rittenhouse's character in the police interview. Any contradiction of that isn't really helpful now. Feb 11, 2021
- @NephthysPhoenix If its any consolation, Dominick Black is almost certainly going to prison for 6 to 12 years for giving this kid a gun. Feb 11, 2021
- @NephthysPhoenix I disagree with how bail works in Wisconsin. It is clearly vulnerable to Internet hacking by those who have no immediate local stake in the outcome. A legislature might consider the vulnerabilities of bail fundraising. Feb 11, 2021
- @johnny_sd @PolarOlaf @JonLemire The DA is upset that the Laws were so well hacked by the Internet, and that's a fair gripe. But that's for the legislature to address through Laws. Feb 11, 2021
- @johnny_sd @PolarOlaf @JonLemire Yes, the court didn't know exactly where a defendant was supposed to receive mail; a defendant who made every court appearance. I think cash bail is a horrible idea and the concept was hacked here, but bail is Wisconsin has nothing to do with danger to community. Feb 11, 2021
- @johnny_sd @PolarOlaf @JonLemire Now the DA on not being provided the new physical address, that is a bit unusual. Feb 11, 2021
- @johnny_sd @PolarOlaf @JonLemire Again, this was at best a common court infraction. There was no restriction on abode. As the DA said, he understood Kyle might be sleeping in other locations other than his address. Again, for any conviction, the game must be played clean. Feb 11, 2021
- @johnny_sd @PolarOlaf @JonLemire Also, its noteworthy it was his former attorney who filed the incorrect address and has been fired from the case. Feb 11, 2021 @
- @johnny_sd @PolarOlaf @JonLemire Understand, that is purely so the court can serve papers. The DA never requested a restriction of abode. His game is going to need to get better. Feb 11, 2021

- @DarkWebContent @tomkatz If any potential conviction is to be upheld, the Judge can't invent statute to serve a specific case. Cash bail is an awful idea, but in the micro, it would be far worse for a Judge to unilaterally violate statute. Feb 11, 2021
- @PolarOlaf @JonLemire If you are upset, blame the DA. They never asked for a restriction of abode. Feb 11, 2021
- @PolarOlaf @JonLemire Technically, he hasn't violated any conditions of bail. Feb 11, 2021
- @tomkatz @DarkWebContent Judge followed the Laws on the books, but did secure an address. Satisfactory. Feb 11, 2021
- @PolarOlaf @JonLemire Honestly, the judge is following the Law to the letter. Which is VERY important in case any potential conviction is appealed. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @F0X35 @AiydenBugg @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff It's not impossible frankly. The argument should be Kyle's an endangered kid put there by an asshole who stayed safe on a roof somewhere. Trying to put this on the second group when they so clearly have a reasonable concern seems legally folly to me. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @F0X35 @AiydenBugg @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff If any death results from the firearms transfer for any reason, per statute, it becomes a felony and is up to six years per death. Yeah, Dominick Black is probably gonna be locked up for 12 years. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff Yes, it is all on video. It isn't helpful it is documented so well the second set of folks chasing Kyle had a reasonable beef, and Kyle electively chose not to address it. Again, this will come down to jury instruction. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff Except Kyle stopped to talk to a militia pal. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @F0X35 @AiydenBugg @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff Actually no, I think the kid deserves a fair trial. I just think the defense is going about this wrong. Dominick Black is getting 12 years most likely for the deaths that occurred. To convict Kyle is a bit of a double dip. Not sure why that argument isn't being made. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff If he could chat with a recognized buddy, he had time to yell "Self defense fellas". To argue otherwise is to not be acquainted with the facts. https://t.co/IZH3BnWKBF Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff It's one long event, but Kyle's decision to use lethal force can't stretch 90 seconds across two distinct confrontations. Each trigger pull at a different party is a decision. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff But again, there is a real argument for a perfect-defense for shooting #1. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff Not relevant to a reckless homicide case. Kyle predicted on camera earlier in the night he might have to use his weapon if he ran into harm's way. Frankly, the crazier the environment, the more guilty Kyle is for trying this... Feb 11, 2021

- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff Shootings were 90 seconds apart involving different parties with different motivations. All use of lethal force must be properly accounted for. Feb 11, 2021 @
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff The dynamics of the JR shooting are different. It is a reckless homicide charge. It certainly doesn't mean JR wasn't being an aggressive prick. Feb 11, 2021
- @GenderPoly @ThatGuy85336408 @_mangotango__ @GuffeyAndrea @NZdrama_llama @MoondanceGiving @OwenORourke7 I'm not addressing the first shooting here. That is a reckless homicide charge and the dynamics are different. For the second shooting, Kyle had 45 seconds to say "Guys self defense!" He had time and opportunity. Instead he let everyone believe he was a murderer. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff No, I'm looking at perfect defense statutes that involve unlawful provocation, and what a jury might be required to decide is necessary for a perfect self defense claim. I'm analytically not seeing it here. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff Everyone was yelling "he shot someone?" and "why did you do it?". That's not random. That's people legally convinced their neighborhood is in danger from killer on the loose. Again, Kyle would be entitled to self-defense with a reasonable effort here. I just don't see it. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff So yeah, if jury instruction requires reasonable steps be taken to avoid further lethality, basically, the argument becomes would an inexperienced 17 year old know to do that. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff Yeah, there were a good 45 seconds where people were yelling "He just shot someone!" and "Why did you do it?" before anyone touched him. Again, time and opportunity to claim self-defense. If you count from the first shooting, it's about 90 seconds... Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff No, I'm just familiar with jury instruction. If Kyle was legally required to take reasonable actions to avoid lethal force beyond physical retreat, telling people who believed he was a murderer that the shots were in self-defense seems important. He had the time. Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff If that was his plan so he would have an excuse to shoot, that would be intentional homicide. If he just didn't care because their lives weren't worth words and "we don't have nonlethal", that is reckless homicide. In circumstances & totality, the second shooting is damning. 2/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @bryce_sim @AiydenBugg @F0X35 @iisandman_ @Context4Context @AgedContext @PoorlyAgedStuff The big question is this. We now know the "mob" wasn't immediate. After the first shooting, Kyle saw their anger, and was asked repeatedly why he shot someone. He could have claimed self defense anytime. Did he not do so because he reasoned he could shoot them if need be? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021

- @tomkatz @HOIABC_News The "mob" was yelling "He shot someone!" and "Why did you do that?!" To argue they weren't trying to apprehend a suspected murderer on the run is folly. Feb 11, 2021
- @tomkatz @nicky6ino @oo7MGSocom @PS5Console If that was his plan so he would have an excuse to shoot, that would be intentional homicide. If he just didn't care because their lives weren't worth words and "we don't have nonlethal", that is reckless homicide. In circumstances & totality, the second shooting is damning. 2/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @tomkatz @nicky6ino @oo7MGSocom @PS5Console The big question is this. We now know the "mob" wasn't immediate. After the first shooting, Kyle saw their anger, and was asked repeatedly why he shot someone. He could have claimed self defense anytime. Did he not do so because he reasoned he could shoot them if need be? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @ThatGuy85336408 @KyleIsAHero1776 @freekyleusa @Kylesmom19741 Now if you want to argue Kyle is a dumb 17 year-old who can't be expected to handle this well, and Dominick Black should take the heat for putting him in that situation illegally, maybe a jury is sympathetic to that. Feb 11, 2021
- @ThatGuy85336408 @KyleIsAHero1776 @freekyleusa @Kylesmom19741 So yes, under Wisconsin Statute, not taking a couple seconds to yell "A big guy tried to kill me, self-defense fellas! Call 911" isn't helpful to his case. Kyle knew specifically what their beef was. He could have effortlessly addressed it. He didn't. Feb 11, 2021
- @ThatGuy85336408 @KyleIsAHero1776 @freekyleusa @Kylesmom19741 Most people were yelling "he shot someone!" and "Why did you do it!" Given Kyle initiated the provocation with his first shooting under unlawful circumstances, jury instruction might require he have taken reasonable steps beyond physical retreat to address the matter. Feb 11, 2021
- @OdinSQL The Democrats called for this repeatedly before the 2020 election. Hopefully the GOP will agree this time. Feb 11, 2021
- @freekyleusa @barnes_law The big question is this. We now know the "mob" wasn't immediate. After the first shooting, Kyle saw their anger, and was asked repeatedly why he shot someone. He could have claimed self defense anytime. Did he not do so because he reasoned he could shoot them if need be? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @oGrandeAlberto @MailOnline The big question is this. We now know the "mob" wasn't immediate. After the first shooting, Kyle saw their anger, and was asked repeatedly why he shot someone. He could have claimed self defense anytime. Did he not do so because he reasoned he could shoot them if need be? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @PopF17 @FOXBaltimore If that was his plan so he would have an excuse to shoot, that would be intentional homicide. If he just didn't care because their lives weren't worth words and "we don't have nonlethal", that is reckless homicide. In circumstances & totality, the second shooting is damning. 2/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @PopF17 @FOXBaltimore The big question is this. We now know the "mob" wasn't immediate. After the first shooting, Kyle saw their anger, and was asked repeatedly why he shot someone. He could have claimed self defense anytime. Did he not do so because he reasoned he could shoot them if need be? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @WilliamShatner The great William Shatner just tweeted you @bnascarg. Print it, frame it, cherish it. And pencil in that event. Feb 11, 2021
- @cyber3O So Johnny Bravo gone realizing his looks won't bring in the \$\$\$ to live in the big city. Feb 11, 2021

- @cyber3O So that Trump or Johny Bravo? Feb 11, 2021
- @vincevitrano @mkramer1121 If that was his plan, that would be intentional homicide. If he just didn't care because their lives weren't worth words and "we only have lethal", that is reckless homicide. In circumstances and totality, the second shooting is damning. Feb 11, 2021
- @vincevitrano @mkramer1121 The big question is this. We now know the "mob" wasn't immediate. After the first shooting, Kyle saw their anger, and was asked repeatedly why he shot someone. He could have claimed self defense anytime. Did he not do so because he reasoned he could shoot them if need be? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill You are arguing with the State of Wisconsin not me. Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill Yes, I saw the unedited video. Skateboard was to the shoulder and the gun wasn't pointed at Kyle until after Huber was shot. At that point, maybe Gaige tried something. Maybe. But lying about the ordering of events isn't helpful to anyone. Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill Honestly, that isn't really what happened. Again, making stuff up isn't helpful to anyone. Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill It's extremely illegal to electively go looking for trouble predicting you'll draw fire when you admit your only course of action is a lethal outcome. It's called reckless homicide. That said, one might argue a perfect-defense there. 2/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill No, it really isn't and you don't do any favors to Kyle by leaving out important elements. Even the first shooting is very serious legally. Kyle bragged earlier in the night about the potential to use his gun and also exclaimed "we don't have nonlethal" 1/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill If the curfew violation and unlawful carry trigger that jury instruction, then yes, Kyle will have been required to take every reasonable step necessary to avoid using lethal force. Not my rules; it's the State of Wisconsin's. Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill I'm not sure where rooftop came into that. Kyle running with a held feloniously purchased illegally possessed gun up and ready to fire during a night of lawful curfew to the screams of people yelling about a shooter is provocative. A question is whether 939.48(2)(a) comes in. Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill I brought it up because the Self-Defense statute explicitly brings up provocation with the intent to have an excuse to use deadly force as a disqualifier. I also just said it was a stretch. Tell me, would you put Kyle on the stand? Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill The first is intentional homicide and feels a bit of a stretch. The second is reckless homicide and frankly feels about right. Of course, Kyle could just be a dumb 17 year old who didn't know any better. But still, a jury needs to ponder this. 2/2 Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill There two questions to ask. 1) Did Kyle not explain himself to lure bystanders in so he could have an excuse to kill them? 2) Did Kyle simply not care to explain himself because he knew he could shoot the bystanders if necessary? 1/2 Feb 11, 2021

- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill And frankly, if you think Kyle was in real danger, I don't understand the lack of anger toward the adult who put him there while he stayed safe on a rooftop. Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill No, its now public knowledge Dominick's dad had the guns locked up because Kyle hadn't taken the requisite course. The guns came out of the safe to protect the home, but the Dominick and Kyle had other plans. Understand, the case against Dominick is airtight. Feb 11, 2021
- @KyleIsAHero1776 @freekyleusa @Kylesmom19741 Lets just say what ultimately winds up in jury instruction will be very important here. Feb 11, 2021
- @KyleIsAHero1776 @freekyleusa @Kylesmom19741 Video conveniently leaves out when Kyle was asked multiple times by bystanders if and why he shot someone. Not claiming self-defense when everyone reasonably thinks he's a murderer is problematic. Did Kyle not explain himself because he reasoned he could just shoot as needed? Feb 11, 2021
- @FloridaManV2 @JmarM4 @vivianavigil Rosenbaum was an ass, but trying to paint the others as unreasonable will fall apart in court. This is some of the best video compellation I've seen. I have the timestamp right after the first shooting. Everyone thought Kyle was a murderer. https://t.co/JtAPnO5IBx Feb 11, 2021
- @FloridaManV2 @JmarM4 @vivianavigil After the first shooting, people implored Kyle to explain what happened. Answering those pleas with an affirmative claim of self-defense might have stopped the second shooting cold. Not doing so is problematic if jury instruction requires reasonable steps to avoid lethal force Feb 11, 2021
- @MsKenman2008 @DavidBowiesCock @b_4good @Sadenochill Well, per statute, he's guilty. And frankly, his friend knew for a fact Kyle had never taken a gun safety course. If Kyle had had that training, again, things might have been different. Feb 11, 2021
- @MsKenman2008 @DavidBowiesCock @b_4good @Sadenochill Misinformation. I despise it. But yes, his adult buddy gave him the weapon, drove him into protests/riots, sent him on his way, and stayed safe on a roof himself. It's a maximum of 6 years per death and legality of the death isn't a factor for that case. Feb 11, 2021
- @MsKenman2008 @DavidBowiesCock @b_4good @Sadenochill One thing working in Kyle's favor is he is 17. His sense of reasonableness will be based on that age. And Dominick Black, having given him the weapon illegally, will likely get 12 years for this. Going after both is a bit of a double dip. Feb 11, 2021
- @DavidBowiesCock @MsKenman2008 @b_4good @Sadenochill In fairness though, convicting Dominick Black for giving a minor an illegal weapon (12 year sentence likely due to 2 deaths) while at the same time convicting the inexperienced minor is a bit of a double dip. Kyle's best defense is his reasonableness is based on being 17. Feb 11, 2021

Note: Twitter limits the number of tweets returned to 3,200, or 800 for user timeline.

© 2021 Airtight Interactive Inc. | Feedback? | Sign Out