

Count 4: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 5: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 6: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 7: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 8: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 9: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive,

indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 10: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 11: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 12: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 13: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 14: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 15: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 16: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 17: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 18: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 19: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 20: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 21: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

Count 22: DISORDERLY CONDUCT

The above-named defendant between June of 2023, and February of 2024, in the City of Kenosha, Kenosha County, Wisconsin, while in a public or private place, did engage in violent, abusive, indecent, profane, boisterous, unreasonably loud or otherwise disorderly conduct, under circumstances in which such conduct tended to cause or provoke a disturbance, contrary to sec. 947.01(1), 939.51(3)(b) Wis. Stats., a Class B Misdemeanor, and upon conviction may be fined not more than One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000), or imprisoned not more than ninety (90) days, or both.

PROBABLE CAUSE:

On February 5, 2024, Kenosha Police Department Detective [REDACTED] was assigned to investigate an anonymous report made to the Kenosha County Department of Family Services (DCFS) on February 2, 2024.

The information provided to DCFS was that Kenosha Unified School District (KUSD) was made aware of an inappropriate texting relationship between a 14-year-old female student identified for the purposes of this complaint as [REDACTED] and her teacher at Kenosha School of Technology (KTEC) West Middle School, Christian Enwright, hereinafter referred to as the Defendant. Per the report, school staff had already spoken to [REDACTED] who admitted to being friends with the Defendant on SnapChat and TikTok since June of 2023, and conversing with the Defendant daily since Christmas of 2023. However, she denied anything inappropriate ever occurred.

Per the report, [REDACTED] was then released from the school office, with her cell phone still in her possession, and then deleted all the communication between her and the Defendant. [REDACTED]'s mother [REDACTED] was notified, at which time [REDACTED] requested staff take possession of [REDACTED]'s cell phone, which they did. The Defendant was then placed on administrative leave.

On February 6, 2024, Detective [REDACTED] made contact with [REDACTED]'s mother [REDACTED], who confirmed the information provided in the DCFS report. [REDACTED] also provided a screen shot she found in [REDACTED]'s

phone of a SnapChat conversation between [REDACTED] and "Christian Enwright," which took place on February 4, 2024, at 12:13AM, which is the last day of their communication (COUNT 22). It reads, in part, as follows:

[REDACTED]
omggggggg
are you mad at me

Christian:
kinda

[REDACTED]
why????

Christian:
you know why
[name of male classmate with the first initial C]

[REDACTED]
i don't like him.
i tell you stuff all the time how would i like him

Christian:
okay

[REDACTED]
why do you think that

On February 7, 2024, [REDACTED] gave Detective [REDACTED] permission to forensically download [REDACTED]'s cell phone, which was still in the possession of KTEC West. On February 8, 2024, Detective [REDACTED] retrieved [REDACTED]'s cell phone from KTEC West and brought it to the Kenosha Police Department to be forensically downloaded.

In reviewing the download of [REDACTED]'s cell phone, Detective [REDACTED] located images which were saved from [REDACTED]'s SnapChat conversations with the Defendant. These images included "selfies" the Defendant took of himself and sent to [REDACTED], which also included text consistent with the Defendant responding to dialogue or images or videos sent to him by [REDACTED] (COUNTS 3-21). Based on Detective [REDACTED]'s training and experience, he is familiar with SnapChat and knows that people who often send each other short video clips or image with text on it as a way to communicate, in addition to written messages. Detective [REDACTED] also located two images sent to [REDACTED] by the Defendant of his lower body, which also included text. (COUNTS 1-2) Detective [REDACTED] was able to locate the creation date and time of the images on [REDACTED]'s cell phone, but was unable to determine which of [REDACTED]'s messages/videos/images that the Defendant's photographs were in response to.

Those images are as follows:

Count 1:



Date created: Friday, December 29, 2023, at 9:27:48 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 2:



Date created: Friday, December 29, 2023, at 8:37:05 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Context: [REDACTED] advised multiple friends via SnapChat that the Defendant liked a particular pair of shorts she wears and has commented on them in the past. In one video, [REDACTED] tells her friend that she is going to wear them and hopes he likes it.

Count 3:



Date created: Sunday, January 7, 2024, at 10:53:33 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 4:



Date created: Sunday, January 7, 2024, at 11:05:11 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 5:




Date created: Sunday, January 7, 2024, at 11:13:41 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 6:




Date created: Wednesday, January 10, 2024, at 10:44:36 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 7:




Date created: Thursday, January 11, 2024, at 8:39:08 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 8:



Date created: Thursday, January 11, 2024, at 8:56:36 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 9:




Date created: Monday, January 15, 2024, at 8:34:17 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 10:



Date created: Saturday, January 20, 2024, at 1:18:59 AM CST


From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Emojis: Four emergency light emojis

Count 11:



Date created: Saturday, January 20, 2024, at 1:40:37 AM CST


From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Context: During her forensic interview on February 29, 2024, [REDACTED] told Ms. Kohl about a conversation she and the Defendant had, where the Defendant told her how, in another universe, he thought they would be together. He also told her things like "I think about you all the time" and "I miss you."

Count 12:



Date created: Saturday, January 20, 2024, at 1:40:37 AM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Context: During her forensic interview on February 29, 2024, [REDACTED] told Ms. Kohl how the Defendant would compliment her body. If she sent him a picture that included her legs, he would bring that up and say those pictures were his "fave."

Count 13:



Date created: Saturday, January 20, 2024, at 1:40:37 AM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Context: During her forensic interview on February 29, 2024, [REDACTED] told Ms. Kohl how the Defendant once stated that they were in the “same boat together” because he slept with his girlfriend’s mother when he was in middle school. [REDACTED] said he brought this up one day and mentioned how “that’s why it would be okay.” [REDACTED] believed the Defendant was referring to them sleeping together. She advised this conversation took place approximately a month prior to her forensic interview.

Count 14:



Date sent: Thursday, January 25, 2024, at 8:40:25 PM CST


From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Emojis: Fifteen emergency light emojis

Count 15:



Date created: Thursday, January 25, 2024, at 8:57:47 PM CST


From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Emojis: Four emergency light emojis

Count 16:



Date created: Thursday, January 25, 2024, at 11:02:55 PM CST


From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Emojis: Seven emergency light emojis, a face blowing a kiss emoji

Count 17:



Date created: Thursday, January 25, 2024, at 11:15:09 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 18:



Date created: Thursday, January 25, 2024, at 11:16:53 PM CST


From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Emojis: Two face blowing a kiss emojis

Count 19:




Date created: Thursday, January 25, 2024, at 11:20:41 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Count 20:



Date created: Friday, January 26, 2024, at 11:21:56 PM CST


From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Emojis: Smiling face with heart eyes emoji, emergency light emoji

Count 21:



Date created: Sunday, January 28, 2024, at 8:56:14 PM CST

:56:14 PM CST

From: Christian Enwright, the Defendant

To: [REDACTED]

Via Snapchat

Detective [REDACTED] also located 603 deleted SnapChat messages from the Defendant to [REDACTED] between January 26, 2024, and February 1, 2024. These messages occurred throughout the day, including as late as 12:19 AM and as early as 6:37 AM. Although the date and time of the messages were visible, the content of the messages were not due to them being deleted.

On February 16, 2024, [REDACTED] was forensically interviewed at the Kenosha County Child Advocacy Center by Taume Kohl. [REDACTED] was reluctant to provide any information, but did state that she and the Defendant were friends on SnapChat and TikTok. She and the Defendant would use TikTok sporadically to share videos and make small talk, but mostly communicated via SnapChat. [REDACTED] advised that messages between her and the Defendant began to occur more often around December of 2023. [REDACTED] admitted to deleting the Defendant's SnapChat account from her phone the day this was reported to her school because she was afraid of getting in trouble.

When asked about her messages with the Defendant, [REDACTED] mentioned how the Defendant recently got up with her because he thought she liked another guy named [same name as in the SnapChat messages above] (Count 22). When asked how she felt about that, [REDACTED] said, "it wasn't right."

Detective [REDACTED] then spoke to [REDACTED] and coordinated with her in order to obtain all SnapChat data from [REDACTED] account via a user request, which Detective [REDACTED] knows based on his training and experience to be a better way to get SnapChat data rather than via warrant. [REDACTED] did this and forwarded the data to the Kenosha Police Department. Detective [REDACTED] reviewed this information and observed that [REDACTED] became SnapChat friends with the Defendant (enwright23) on June 13, 2023, and that [REDACTED] deleted the Defendant from her account on February 1, 2024.

On February 29, 2024, [REDACTED] was once again forensically interviewed by Ms. Kohl after asking her mom if she could go back to provide more information. [REDACTED] advised that things started between her and the Defendant when the Defendant would complement her. He would tell her, "you look cute" or "you look good." He would also complement her on her eyes and legs. Their hugs also changed from normal hugs to hugs around the waist.

[REDACTED] said her and the Defendant would message all day, but not during school hours. The constant messaging started at the end of November of 2023 or possibility in December of 2023. [REDACTED] advised that they discussed not telling people about their communications, but that he never said it directly, only "implied it." The Defendant would also, once a week, delete [REDACTED] off of his SnapChat. He did this because she was "getting too high up on his friend's list."

[REDACTED] mentioned how the Defendant would get mad at her when he found out she was talking to another guy from school. [REDACTED] said one time she was talking about to him about it and he told her she "better watch what she said." The Defendant also got mad at [REDACTED] when she mentioned how another teacher was cute.

[REDACTED] denied sending the Defendant any nude photographs or videos, or being sent any nude photographs or videos by the Defendant. She did mention one picture she got from the Defendant where he was sitting on the couch with a hoodie. [REDACTED] said he didn't have any pants on, only a towel, and when she asked what he was doing, the Defendant replied, "I can't say."

On March 26, 2024, Detective [REDACTED] interviewed the Defendant at the Kenosha Police Department with his wife Leah Enwright present in the interview room. The Defendant advised he was aware of the investigation and denied he had done anything wrong. The Defendant admitted to

communicating with [REDACTED] via SnapChat. He said at first it was small talk, but acknowledged that the frequency of their communication grew over the past few months. He said they would both exchange still images and short video clips on SnapChat. Detective [REDACTED] then showed the Defendant the images Detective [REDACTED] located on [REDACTED]'s phone that appeared to be of the Defendant (COUNTS 1-21). The Defendant admitted that those were of him and that he sent them to [REDACTED] but said he was only trying to raise her confidence and make her feel better about herself.

Detective [REDACTED] referred his investigation to the Kenosha County District Attorney's Office on April 23, 2024.

In summary, between June of 2023, and February of 2024, while the Defendant was a 7th Grade teacher at KTEC West Middle School, he communicated with a 13-14 year old student of his via SnapChat. That communication occurred on a daily basis, so frequently to the point that in only a one week period, the Defendant sent 608 messages to [REDACTED]. These messages occurred on school days and weekends, throughout the day, including as late as 12:19 AM and as early as 6:37 AM. These communications included messages, short videos, and photographs, some of which were sexually charged (COUNTS 1-21). Based on Detective [REDACTED] training and experience, he recognized the Defendant's behavior as manipulation, otherwise known as grooming. This included developing trust with [REDACTED] giving her special attention, developing secrets, desensitizing and normalizing sexual topics, and pushing personal boundaries. This behavior corrupts the public mores and outrages the sense of public decency.

Your complainant is an attorney with the Kenosha County District Attorney's Office, who bases her knowledge of this complaint on:

- The official law enforcement agency reports of the Kenosha Police Department prepared by Detective [REDACTED] which reports were prepared in the normal course of law enforcement duties;
- Statements by citizen informant(s) [REDACTED], [REDACTED] who are eyewitnesses to the facts they relate;
- Statements by the defendant, which were made contrary to his penal interests;

Subscribed and sworn to before me on 05/10/24

Electronically Signed By:

Jessica L. Krejcarek

Assistant District Attorney

State Bar #: 1083091

Electronically Signed By:

Alexandra K Smathers

Complainant